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# EMPLOYMENT-UNEMPLOYMENT 

## FRIDAY, JUNE 1, 1990

Congress of the United States, Joint Economic Committee,

Washington, DC.
The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:05 a.m., in room 2359, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Lee H. Hamilton (chairman of the committee) presiding.

Present: Representative Hamilton.
Also present: William Buechner, Lee Price, Jim Klumpner, and Chris Frenze, professional staff members; and Joe Cobb, minority staff director.

## OPENING STATEMENT OF REPRESENTATIVE HAMILTON, CHAIRMAN

Representative Hamilton. The Joint Economic Committee will come to order.

This morning we are pleased to welcome Commissioner Janet Norwood of the Bureau of Labor Statistics and her colleagues before the Joint Economic Committee to testify on the employment and unemployment situation for May.

Last month the unemployment rate fell slightly to 5.3 percent, back where it was almost all of last year. There was very little growth in the private sector in May, barely up 10,000 jobs, with all the growth taking place in the service-producing sectors of the economy. Manufacturing employment fell in May by 35,000 jobs, continuing the steady decline that began last year.
In earlier hearings Commissioner Norwood testified that the employment and unemployment data for the first 4 months of this year were affected by the unusually warm weather in January and February and thus were hard to interpret. This makes the May data all the more important for understanding where our economy is today and where it is heading.

The committee will now hear from Commissioner Norwood for her testimony on the May employment data, and then we will have an opportunity for questions.

You may proceed.

STATEMENT OF HON. JANET L. NORWOOD, COMMISSIONER, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, ACCOMPANIED BY KENNETH V. DALTON, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF PRICES AND LIVING CONDITIONS; THOMAS J. PLEWES, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS; AND EDWIN R. DEAN, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF PRODUCTIVITY AND TECHNOLOGY
Mrs. Norwood. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
As always, I have Kenneth Dalton, our price expert, and Tom Plewes, our employment expert with me, and we are very happy to be here.

Employment continued to be weak in May, and unemployment was essentially unchanged. The civilian unemployment rate was 5.3 percent, about where it has been since the autumn of 1988; the overall rate was also 5.3 percent.

Virtually all of the net job growth of about 165,000 registered by the business establishment survey was attributable to continued hiring for the decennial census. With the addition of about 145,000 temporary Census workers over the last month, there was an estimated 325,000 on May payrolls, probably the peak level for this undertaking. Employment in the private sector was unchanged over the month. Although unusually mild weather had contributed to very strong growth in the first 2 months of this year, we have had no private sector job growth over the last 3 months.

Industry employment developments in May followed a familiar pattern, with construction and factory job declines and sluggishness in retail trade and several other service-producing industries.

Construction employment continued to be weak, as hiring fell about 20,000 short of normal seasonal expectations. Employment in that industry is only 30,000 above its level of a year earlier, compared with a 180,000 gain in the prior May-to-May period and about 150,000 in each of the 3 preceding years.

The continuation of employment declines in manufacturing was most pronounced in nondurable goods, as textiles, apparel, and food processing all lost jobs in May. Within durable goods manufacturing, five industries posted declines, but May's drops were smaller than April's. Motor vehicle manufacturing continued to have small job losses, which have now totaled 50,000 over the past year. Nevertheless, manufacturing hours and overtime took a surprising jump in May-especially in autos and steel. This brought the factory workweek to its highest level in more than a year. While we should not put too much credence in a single month, employers may be paying more attention than before to cost control and to flexibility in adjusting inventories to changes in demand.

In the service-producing sector, only health services has had steady and strong growth so far this year. The industry added another 45,000 jobs in May and over the past year has accounted for nearly a quarter of the total payroll job growth, while comprising only 7 percent of overall payroll employment. In contrast, retail trade has been experiencing by far its most sluggish employment period of this long economic expansion, with no net job gains since January.

Turning to unemployment, none of the major worker groups registered significant changes in joblessness. The number of newly unemployed persons actually fell a bit in May, and the number of job losers continued to be quite stable. At this point, then, I see no particular sign that the weakening employment situation has made itself felt on the unemployment side. One major reason for this is that labor force growth has also slowed considerably in recent months.
When we look beneath the overall unemployment data, we see a great deal of diversity among the regions of the country. Regional fortunes seem to be constantly shifting. The striking stability of the national unemployment rate for nearly 2 years now has masked important regional shifts. In particular, the rate of joblessness in New England, which had been unusually low for several years, has risen nearly 2 percentage points over the past year and is now at about the national average.

The Middle Atlantic region, which includes New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, has also seen a slight uptick in its relatively low rate of joblessness.

In contrast, most of the Southern region of the country-where unemployment rates had been among the highest in the Nationhas had a slow decline in joblessness. Generally speaking, the last year has seen some convergence of State and regional unemployment rates, with the worse-off areas improving and the best deteriorating.

In summary, I believe that labor market developments over recent months are now fairly clear. Employment growth has been very slow, and what growth there has been was concentrated in a very few industries. The job market has been bolstered by Census hiring. The private sector has been stagnant for the past 3 months, with job losses in construction and manufacturing. Still, relatively slow labor force expansion has served to minimize the impact of these developments on unemployment.

We would be glad to try to answer any questions you have.
[The table attached to Mrs. Norwood's statement, together with the Employment Situation press release, follows:]

Inemployment rates of all civilian workers by alternative seasonal adiustment methods

| Month and year | Unadfinsted rate | X-11 ARTMA methor |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { X-II method } \\ \text { (official } \\ \text { method } \\ \text { hefore } 198 n \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Range (cols. 2-8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | official procenure | Concurrent (as first computed) | Concur rent (revised) | Stahle | Total | Residual |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) ${ }^{-}$ | (1) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May......... | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 5.? | 5.? | 5.1 | . 1 |
| June........ | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| July. | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | - |
| August...... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.3 | $5 . ?$ | 5.2 | . 1 |
| Sept ember... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | - |
| October..... | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | - |
| November.... | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | . 1 |
| December.... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | . 1 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January..... | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | - |
| Fehruary.... | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| March....... | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.2 | . 2 |
| Apri1........ | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | - |
| May.......... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | . 1 |

```
SOIIRCF: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LAROR
    Bureau of Labor Statistics
    June 1990
```

(1) Lnadjusted sate. Uneaployent rate for all efrilian vorkers, not sessonally adjusted.
(2) Official procedure ( $X-11$ Arima method). The published efasonally adjusted rate for ald civilian workers. Ench of the 3 anajor efrilian labor force coaponents-agriculcural employment, nonagricultural employment and uncoployentr-for 4 age-sex groups-atiea and females, ages $16-19$ and 20 years and ovrf-are ceesonally adjusted 1 ndependently ual ng date from January 1975 formard. The dara eeries for each of these 12 componeats are extended by a year at each end of the original ceries ubsig arima (auto-Regressive, lotegrated, Hoving Average) models chosen specjfically for each sertes. Eech extended sertes is then ecasonall: adjusted with the $\mathrm{x}-11$ portion of the $\mathrm{X}-11$ aRIMA progze. The 4 teenage unemployment and nonagrieul tural employwent component are adjusted vith che additive adjustaent model, while the other components are adjusted with the aultiplicatire sodel. The unemployment rate is coapured by sumaing the 4 seasonally adjusted unemployaent component and calculating that total as a percent of the cirillan labor force cotal derived by sumatng all 12 aemonal: adjusted components. Nl the seasonally adjusted eertes are rerised at the end of each year. Extrapolated factors for January-Junp are computed at the beginal of of each gear; extrapolati factors for July-December are cooputed in the middle of the year after thr June dara become avaflable. Each spt of b-wonth factors are published in advance, in the Jatuary and July isaues, respectively, of Employment and Earninge.
(3) Concurrent (as firat cosputed, X-1l ARIMA method). The official procedure for ecopuration of the rate for alj civilian vorkers uaing the 12 components is folloved except that exerapolared factors are not used as all. Each coaponent is seasomally adjusted with the X-lil arima program each month an the most recent data become vallable. Rates for pach month of the current year are shown an first computed; they are revised onjy onse each year, at the end of the year when data for the full year become avajlable. for example, the fate for January 1985 would be based, during 1985, on the adjustaent of data from the pe:tod January 1975 thzough January 1985.
(4) Concurrent (revised, $x-11$ ARIMA method). The procedure used is idenzica) to (3) above, and the rate for the eurient month (the last month displayed) will always be the same in the two colums. However, all previous monthe are subject to revision each worth based on the seasonal adjustment of abl the components uith data through the eurrent month.
(5) Stable (X-11 ARIMA method). Each of the 12 cdydidan labot force components is exteaded using ARIMA models as in the officsal procedure and then run chrough the $x$ - 11 part of the program using the stable option. This option assumes that seasonal parterns are basically constant from year-ro-year and coaputes final seasonal factort as unweighted averages of alj the seasonal-irregula component for each month across the entire span of the period adjusted. As in the official procedure, factors are extzapolated in 6 -month interials and the serfes art revised at the end of each yeat. the procedure for computation of the rate from zhe seasonally adjusted components 1* also identical to the offiedal procedure.
(6) Total ( $x-11$ arima method). This is one alternative aggregation procpduzf, in which total unemployment and civilian labor force levels are extended utth arima models and directly adjusted with mulripileative adfustapit models in the $x-1 l$ part of the program. The rate 1 s computed by taking seasonally adjusted rotal unemployment as a percent of seasonally adjusted total civilian labor force. Faceors are extrapolared in 6 month intervals and che serjes revised at the end of each year.
(7) Residual (x-11 arima pethod). Thy it another alternative aggregation afthod, in wheftotal civilian aploysent and cirilitan labor fore levele are extended using arima models and then directly adjuaced with multiplicative adjustrent modela. The spasonally adjusted unemployment level is derived by tubracting seasonally adjusted employaent from erasonally dusted labor force. The race de then computed by taking the derived unewployent level as a percent of the labot force level. Factors are extrapolated in 6-month intervals and the erestes revised at the end of each year.
(8) X-1] aethod (official nethod before 1980). The sethod for computation of the official procedure is used except that the seripe arp not extended with ARIMA wodels and the factors are projected in 12 -month interrals. The atandard $x-11$ progra 18 used to perform the spasonal adjustment.

Methods of Adjustment: The $X-1 l$-ARIMA eefthod vas developed ar Statjacics Canada by the Spasional Adjustment and Tfmes Series Staff under the direction of Earela Bee Dagum. The Dethod is desersbed in The X-11 Arima Seasonal Adfustaent Method, by Estela Bee Dagum, Statistics Canada Caralogue No. $12=564 \mathrm{E}$, Tebruary 1980.

The standard $x-11$ wethod is described $9 n X-11$ Varsant of the Census Merhod If Seasonal Ad justment Progren, by Julus Shiskin, AlJen Young and John husgrave (Technsea) Paper No. 15, Bureat of the Cenaus, 1967).

## Bureau of Labor Statistics

Washington, D.C. 20212

| Technical information (202)$523-1371$ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Media contact:$\quad 523-1944$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $523-1913$ |

USDL 90-279
TRANSMISSION OF MATERLAL IN THIS
Media contact:
523-1913 release is embargoid until 8:30 A.M. (EDT), FRIDAY, JUNE 1, 1990

THE EMPLOMMENT SITUATION: MAY 1990

Employment showed little growth in May and unemployment was about unchanged, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor reported today. Both the overall jobless rate and the civilian worker rate were 5.3 percent. There have been few changes in unemployment for over a year and a half.

Private sector employment, as reported by the survey of business establishments, was about unchanged in May, the third successive month of weakness. Goverrment employment rose by 155,000; nearly all of this increase resulted from additional hiring of temporary workers to assist with the 1990 decennial census. Total civilian employment, as measured by the survey of households, rose by 230,000 in May, following a decline of a similar magnitude in April.

## Unemployment (Household Survey Data)

The number of unemployed persons, 6.7 million , and the civilian worker unemployment rate, 5.3 percent, were essentially unchanged in May, after seasonal adjustment. The rate has hovered between 5.0 and 5.4 percent for the past 21 months. Jobless rates for all major worker groups-adult men (4.7 percent), adult women ( 4.6 percent), teenagers ( 15.5 percent), whites (4.6 percent), blacks ( 10.4 percent), and Hispanics ( 7.7 percent)--also showed little or no change in May. (See tables A-2 and A-3.)

The median duration of unemployment edged up in May to 5.4 weeks. About 1.4 million, or 1 in 5 unemployed workers, had been jobless for 15 weeks or longer, a situation that has prevailed for the past year and a half. (See table A-7.)

## Civilian Employment and the Labor Eorce (Household Survey Data)

Total civilian employment rose by 230,000 in May to a seasonally adjusted level of 118.4 million. The proportion of the working-age population that is employed (the employment-population ratio) was little changed at 63.0 percent; it has fluctuated around this high level for the past 15 months. (See table A-2.)

The civilian labor force, at 125.0 million , and the labor force +.1cıpation rate, at 66.6 percent, were little changed over the month.

Table A. Major indicators of labor market activity, seasonally adjusted


Over the past 12 months, the labor force has increased by 1.4 million, with adult women accounting for about 70 percent of the gain. (See table A-2.)

## Industry Payroll Employment (Establishment Survey Data)

With the addition of an estimated 145,000 temporary census workers, total nonfarm payroll employment increased by 165,000 in May to a level of 110.6 million, after seasonal adjustment. Private payrolls, however, were little changed, following a loss of 125,000 jobs (as revised) in the previous month. (See table B-1.)

In May, the goods-producing sector suffered employment declines for the seventh month out of the last nine. Manufacturing employment fell by 35,000, with small losses throughout both the durable and nondurable goods components. Since reaching a post-recession peak in March 1989, 310,000 factory jobs have been lost; industries hardest hit include electrical equipment $(-85,000)$, motor vehicles $(-55,000)$, apparel $(-50,000)$, fabricated metals ( $-35,000$ ), and textile mills ( $-25,000$ ). Reflecting continued weakness in the housing market, the construction industry added fewer workers than usual in May, resulting in a seasonally adjusted employment decline of 20,000 . Mining employment rose slightly and has increased by 35,000 over the past year.

In the service-producing sector, goverrment jobs rose by 155,000 , with almost all of the net additions being temporary census workers. Elsewhere in the sector, employment in wholesale trade increased by 15,000 in May, with most of the increase in the nondurable goods component. For the second straight month, employment was little changed in transportation and public utilities and in finance, insurance, and real estate. Retail trade payrolls were also unchanged in May. Enployment in this industry has been weak for the past 4 months, particularly in its general merchandise stores component, where 55,000 jobs have been lost. In the services industry, employment rose by only 35,000 , following a small decline in the previous month. May gains were concentrated in health services, which added 45,000 workers; over the past year, health services accounted for half of the employment gain in the services industry and a third of the increase in total private jobs.

## Weekly Hours (Establishment Survey Data)

The average workweek for production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls was unchanged in May at. 34.6 hours, seasonally adjusted, and has shown little movement thus far in 1990. The manufacturing workweek rose 0.4 hour in May to 41.1 hours, as factory overtime climbed 0.5 hour to 4 hours. These increases were paced by large gains in overtime hours that were principally in the durable goods sector, particularly in motor vehicles and steel. (See table B-2.)

The index of aggregate weekly hours of private production or nonsupervisory workers was unchanged in May at 130.0 (1977=100), after seasonal adjustment. The manufacturing index rose 0.7 percent to 94.8 , as the increase in hours more than offset the decline in employment. (See table B-5.1

## Hourly and Weekly Earnings (Establishment Survey Data)

Both hourly and weekly earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls rose 0.4 percent in May, on a seasonally adjusted basis. Prior to seasonal adjustment, average hourly earnings rose 2 cents to $\$ 9.98$ and average weekly earnings advanced 69 cents to $\$ 344.31$. Both series increased by 4.1 percent over the past 12 months. (See tables B-3 and B-4.)

## Note on Establishment Survey Data

Establishment survey data will be revised based on 1989 benchmark levels with the release of August data in September. The revision will also incorporate the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification codes.

The Employment Situation for June 1990 will be released on Friday, July 6, at 8:30 A.M. (EDT).

## Explanatory Note

This news release presents statistics from two major surveys, the Current Population Survey (household survey) and the Current Employment Statistics Survey (establishment survey). The household survey provides the information on the labor force, total employment, and unemployment that appears in the A tables, marked HOUSEHOLD DATA. It is a sample survey of about 60.000 households that is conducted by the Bureau of the Census with most of the findings analyzed and published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (8LS).
The establishment survey provides the information on the employment, hours, and earnings of workers on nonagricultural payrolls that appears in the B tables, marked ESTABLISHMENT DATA. This information is collected from payroll records by BLS in cooperation with State agencies. The sample includes over 300,000 establishments employing over 38 million people.
For both surveys, the data for a given month are actually collected for and relate to a particular week. In the household survey, unless otherwise indicated, it is the calendar week that contains the 12 th day of the month, which is called the survey week. In the establishment survey, the reference week is the pay period including the $\mathbf{1 2 t h}$, which may or may not correspond directly to the calendar week.
The data in this release are affected by a number of technical factors, including definitions, survey differences, seasonal adjustments, and the inevitable variance in results between a survey of a sample and a census of the entire population. Each of these factors is explained below.

## Coverage, definifions, and differences <br> between surveys

The sample households in the household survey are selected so as to reflect the entire civilian noninstitutional population 16 years of age and older. Each person in a household is classified as employed, unemployed, or not in the labor force. Those who hold more than one job are classified according to the job at which they worked the most hours.

People are classified as employed if they did any work at all as paid civilians; worked in their own business or profession or on their own farm; or worked 15 hours or more in an enterprise operated by a member of their family, whether they were paid or not. People are also counted as employed if they were on unpaid leave because of illness, bad weather, disputes between labor and management, or personal reasons. Members of the Arred Forces stationed in the United States are also included in the employed total.

People are classified as unemployed, regardless of their etigibility for unemployment benefits or public assistance, if they meet all of the following criteria: They had no employ. ment during the survey week; they were available for work at
that time; and they made specific efforts to find employment sometime during the prior 4 weeks. Persons laid off from their former jobs and awaiting recall and those expecting to report to a job within 30 days need not be looking for work to be counted as unemployed.

The labor force equals the sum of the number employed and the number unemployed. The unemployment rate is the percentage of unemployed peopie in the labor force (civilian plus the resident Armed Forces). Table A-5 presents a special grouping of seven measures of unemployment based on varying definitions of unemployment and the labor force. The definitions are provided in the table. The most restrictive definition yields U-1 and the most comprehensive yields U-7. The overall unemployment rate is U-5a, while U-5b represents the same measure with a civilian labor force base.
Unlike the household survey, the establishment survey only counts wage and salary employees whose names appear on the payroll records of nonagricultural firms. As a result, there are many differences between the two surveys, among which are the following:

- The household survey, athoush besed on a smaller sumple, reflects a terger seement of the populasion; the exublishment survey exetudes agricuture. the self-employed. unptid family morkers, privere household workers, and members of the resident Arned Forces;
- The thouschold survey inctudes people on unpaid kave amons the employed: the establishment survey does not:
- The houscholt survey is limined to those 16 years of age and okder; the establishment survey is not limited by age;
- The household survey has no duplication of individuals, bectuse each individual is counted only once; in the extablishment survey, employees worting at more than one job or otherwise appearing on more than one payroll mould be counted separately for etech appearance.

Other differences between the two surveys are described in "Comparing Employment Estimates from Household and Payroll Surveys," which may be obtained from the BLs upon request.

## Seasonal adjustment

Over the course of a year, the size of the Nation's tabor force and the levels of employment and unemployment undergo sharp fluctuations due to such seasonal events as changes in weather, reduced or expanded production, harvests, major holidays, and the opening and closing of schools. For example, the labor force increases by a large number each June, when schools close and many young people enter the job market. The effect of such seasonal variation can be very large: over the course of a year, for example, seasonality may account for as much as 95 percent of the month-to-month changes in unemployment.

Because these seasonal events follow a more or less regular pattern each year, their influence on statistical trends can be eliminated by adjusting the statistics from month to month These adjustments make nonseasonal developments, such as declines in economic activity or increases in the participation of women in the labor force, easier to spot. To return to the school's-out example, the large number of people entering the labor force each June is likely to obscure any other changes that have taken place since May, making it difficult to determine if the level of economic activity has risen or declined. However, because the effect of students finishing school in previous years is known, the statistics for the current year can be adjusted to allow for a comparable change. Insofar as the seasonal adjustment is made correctly, the adjusted figure provides a more useful tool with which to analyze changes in economic activity.

Measures of labor force, employment. and unemployment contain components such as age and sex. Statistics for all employees, production workers, average weekly hours, and average hourly earnings include components based on the employer's industry. All these statistics can be seasonally adjusted either by adjusting the toral or by adjusting each of the components and combining them. The second procedure usually yieds more accurate information and is therefore followed by bis. For example, the seasonally adjusted figure for the tabor force is the sum of eight seasonally adjusted civilian employment components. plus the resident Armed Forces total (not adjusted for seasonality). and four seasonally adjusted unemployment components; the total for unemployment is the sum of the four unemployment componenis: and the overall unemployment rate is derived by dividing the resulting estimate of total unemployment by the estimate of the labor forse.
The numerical factors used to make the seasonal adjustments are recalculated regularly. For the household survey, the factors are calculated for the January- June period and again for che July-December period. For the establishment survey. updated factors for seasonal adjustrment are calculated for 6 months, along with the introduction of new benchmarks. which are diselussed at the end of the next section. and again with the release of data for October. In both surveys, revisions to data published over the previous 5 years are made once a year.

## Sampling variability

Statistics based on the household and establishment surveys are subject to sampling error, that is. the estimate of the number of people employed and the other estimates drawn from these surveys probably differ from the figures that would be obtained from a complete census, everi if the same questionnaires and procedures were used. In the household survey, the amoum of the differences can be expressed in terms of standard errors. The numerical value of a standard error depends upon the size of the sample, the results of the survey, and other factors. However, the numerical value is always such that the chances are approximately 68 out of 100 that an estimate based on the sample will differ by no more than the standard error
from the results of a complete census. The chances are approximately 90 out of 100 that an extimate based on the sample will differ by no more than 1.6 times the standard error from the results of a complete census. At approximately the 90 -percent level of confidence-the confidence limits used by als in its analyses-the error for the monthly change in total employment is on the order of plus or minus 358.000: for total unemployment it is 224.000: and, for the overall unemployment rate, it is 0.19 percentage point. These figures do not mean that the sample results are off by these magnitudes but, rather. that the chances are approximately 90 out of 100 that the "true" level or rate would not be expected to differ from the estimates by more than these amounts.

Sampling errors for monthly surveys are rectaced when the data are cumulated for several months, such as quarterly or annually. Also. as a general rule, the smaller the estimate, the larger the sampling error. Therefore, relatively speaking, the estimate of the size of the labor force is subject to less error than is the estimate of the number unemployed. And, among the unemployed. the sampling error for the jobless rate of adult men, for example, is much smaller than is the error for the jobless rate of teenagers. Specifically, the error on monthly change in the jobless rate for men is .25 percentage point: for teenagers, it is 1.29 percentage points.
In the establishment survey, estimates for the 2 most current months are based on incomplete returns: for this reason, these estimates are labeled pretiminary in the tables. When all the returns in the sample have been received, the estimates are revised. In other words, data for the month of September are published in preliminary form in October and November and in final form in December. To remove errors that build up over time, a comprehensive count of the employed is conducted each year. The results of this survey are used to establish new benchmarks-comprehensive counts of employment-against which month-to-month changes can be measured. The new benchmarks also incorporate changes in the classification of industries and allow for the formation of new extablishments

## Additional statistics and other information

In order to provide a broad view of the Nation's employment situation, bis regularly publishes a wide variety of data in this new:s release. More comprehensive statistics are contained in Emplovment and Earnings, published each month by bls. It is available for $\$ 8.50$ per issue or $\$ 25.00$ per year from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 20204. A check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents must accompany all orders.

Emplovment and Earnings also provides approximations of the standard errors for the household survey data published in this release. For unemployment and other labor force categories, the standard errors appear in tables B through J of its "Explanatory Notes." Measures of the reliability of the data drawn from the establishment survey and the actual amounts of revision due to benchmark adjustments are provided in tables $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{P}$, and Q of that publication.
housenold data
housentil oata


| Employmert staria and eex | Mot mexeonely alpueded |  |  | geemoramy ediveted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} 109 \\ \\ \hline 109 \end{array}$ | Apr. $1980$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1890}{\mathrm{Jan}}$ | Fat. $1990$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Marf. } \\ & 1880 \end{aligned}$ | Anr. <br> 1800 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{may} \\ & \operatorname{teg} \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| amprod poputation' | $\begin{array}{r} 187,854 \\ 124,669 \\ 68.5 \\ 118,712 \\ 63.2 \\ 1,673 \\ 117.039 \\ 3.284 \\ 113,755 \\ 6,456 \\ 4.8 \\ 62,685 \end{array}$ | 189,328 | 189.487126.279 |  | t88,890 | 1898,090 | 128.498 | 189,328 | 109,467 |
| Nenunsumperis popularr |  |  |  | 125,224 | 124.094 |  |  | $128.543$ | $\begin{array}{r} 226,643 \\ 680 \end{array}$ |
| Partielpaion rats' |  |  | 68,8 <br> 10.016 | \% 6.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 60.7 \\ 19,560 \end{array}$ | $66 . a$ 11974 | 120,003 | $\begin{array}{r} 688 \\ 119,773 \end{array}$ | 118.888 |
| Total enployet --- |  | 119,01082.0 | [110.918 63 | 110032 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 18,0003 \\ 6,397 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 63.3 | 63.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 893 \\ :, 057 \end{array}$ |  |
| Employmets-population rutb |  |  |  | 1,673 |  | 1,678 | 1,869 |  |  |
| Rusident Arned Forces |  | $\begin{array}{r} 117,359 \\ 3,102 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118,277 \\ 3,452 \end{array}$ | 117,132 | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 117883 \\ 3.134 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118,035 \\ 3,079 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118,334 \\ 3,200 \end{array}$ | [ $\begin{array}{r}118,118 \\ 3,133\end{array}$ | 168,350$3 \times 05$115045 |
| Chilian employed |  |  |  | 3,137 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 114.257 | 114,825 | 113.9856.418 5.1 62030 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 114,721 \\ 0.535 \\ 5.2 \\ 62.896 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114,957 \\ 6.594 \\ 58.2 \\ 62.782 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}114,983 \\ 8.770 \\ 5.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6.0535.3 |
| Nonepricuthral incueries |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6.457 \\ 3.1 \\ 63.853 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 114,8259 \\ 6.350 \\ 5.0 \\ 69.188 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{62}{ }^{573}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5.3 \\ \hline 6284\end{array}$ |
| Nots in lator force --...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miont toy yore and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 80.167 \\ 68,880 \\ 78.5 \end{array}$ | 00,942 | 01,014 | 80.16780.142 | 90,77260.639 | $\begin{aligned} & 90,822 \\ & 89,839 \end{aligned}$ | 60,074 | 00,042 | 61,737 |
| Mindithutiont peputwort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perticlorition rats |  | 60.150 76.0 | $\begin{array}{r}60,763 \\ \hline 8.4\end{array}$ | 76.7 | ${ }^{786}$ | 78.7 | 78.7 | 78.7 | 70.868.058 |
| Parucipuon ris - | 65.731 | 65.48272.0 | 68,098 | 65,713 | 85,943 | 88.100 72.8 | 68.298 | 68.043 |  |
| Employmunt-poputation ratio |  |  | 72.6 | 72.8 | 72.8 |  | 72.9 | $\begin{array}{r}72.8 \\ \hline 149\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}60.058 \\ 72.6 \\ \hline 1472\end{array}$ |
| Reeidert Ammed Foronim ...-. | $\begin{array}{r}1,511 \\ \hline \text { 200 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,409 \\ & 60.003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,472 \\ 34,024 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}1,583 \\ 04,420 \\ \hline 1827\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,500 \\ 04,602 \\ 3,530 \end{array}$ | 64,711 | 1,469 64.544 | 04.588 |
| CNimen maplopd | 04,220 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,505$\mathbf{5 . 0}$ | 3,735 |  |
| Unemployed |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3.429 \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ | $5.2$ | 3,6505.1 |  |  | 3.699 |
| Unemployment ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woanem, it yere and ower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 07.687 | 28,383 | 08,453 | 07,687 <br> 5808 <br> 008 | $\begin{aligned} & 98,218 \\ & 56,655 \end{aligned}$ | 98.288 | 88.32458.785 | 88,384 | 88.45358.006 |
| Noringitartional poputation | 55,889 | 58,315 | 56,709 |  |  | 58.850 |  |  |  |
| ebor toecr |  | 50.31853.2453.54 | $\begin{array}{r} 57.8 \\ 53,820 \end{array}$ | 537.4.4 | 57.653.617 | 57.753,605 | 57,53,793 | 53,7789 | 53.931 |
| Porchat ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emptorntert-poppation retion |  | 54.415815 | $\begin{array}{r}54.7 \\ 167 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 543 162 | 54.6 174 | 34.5 172 | 54.7 172 | $\begin{array}{r}54.6 \\ \hline 588 \\ \hline 154\end{array}$ | 54.816754.78420755.2 |
| Residert Ammed Fercen |  |  | 53.653 | 52,930 | 53.443 | 53,433 | 53,623 | 53,574 |  |
| Civitann employed | 52.619 2807 |  | 2880 | 2,800 | 2038 | 3,094 | 2090 | 3.034 |  |
| Unownployed .... | 2807 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 53 | 52 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 |  |
| - The poputation and Almed forceat faures are not ackucted for <br>  and sepsonaty modurted collurnis. <br> -Incudes membert af the Amed Forces atationed in the United Statas. <br>  <br> - Total wriplopmert es a percent of tra norinsititurionel popuxation <br> - Unemployment asis a percert ef the lithor force finctucing pre residem Amed Forcois). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Houstheld Data
hoverhold data




| Emporment status, face, se0x, age. andHasperic onigin | Not menomally exflusted |  |  | Seamonaly adiuater |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. $1990$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mary } \\ 1890 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 19890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Feth. $1890$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. <br> 1690 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maty } \\ & 1050 \end{aligned}$ |
| Whute |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civitian noninatations poputation | 159,200 | 160,170 | 160.271 | 159.200 | 159.938 | 100,007 | 180,076 | 180.170 | 800, 271 |
| Civilan labor tore | 105898 | 106,460 | 107,075 | 108.152 | 100.884 | 107.080 | 107,061 | 107.133 | 107,353 |
| Partcipation rets ... | 68.5 | 68.5 | 88.8 | 66.7 | 68.8 | 68.9 | 68.9 | 88.8 | 67.0 |
| Empdoyed -- -- | 101,412 | 103,584 | 102350 | 101,432 | 102,074 | 102.117 | 102,206 | 102.027 | 102,362 |
| Employmen-popuation ration - | 63.7 | 63.4 | 89.9 | 63.7 4.720 | 63.8 4.811 | 63.8 4.982 | $\begin{array}{r}60.8 \\ \hline 4.858 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 63.7 5.109 | 63.9 |
| Unemployed $\qquad$ Unemplophert tate | 4.486 | 4.893 | 4,724 4.4 | 4,720 | 4.811 | 4,862 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4,858 } \\ \hline 4.5\end{array}$ | 5.100 4.8 | 4,891 |
| Cumen 20 yeart and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuvilen tabor torice ........ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.285 \\ 78.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.863 \\ 78.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.902 \\ 78.3 \end{array}$ | 55,280 | 5877178.4 | 58,81578.4 | 56,82878.4 | 588324 | 65,819 |
| Partiopation rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78.3 | 78.3 58.578 |
| Employed --umunu.... | 53,354 | 53,265 | 53,739 | 53,222 | 53.560 | 53.547 | 53.593 | 59,443 | 53.578 |
| Employmera-popitation rasio' | 75.6 | 74.7 | 75.3 | 75.4 | 75.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 75.2 \\ & 2,288 \end{aligned}$ | 752 | 74.8 | 75.1 2341 |
| Unemployed ..............- | $\begin{array}{r} 1.811 \\ 3.5 \end{array}$ | 2.398 | 2.103 3.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 2050 \\ 3.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.211 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,288 \\ 4.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2235 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$ | 2400 | 2341 |
| Women, 20 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 44.039 \\ 57.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.700 \\ 57.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,894 \\ 57.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,057 \\ 57.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,475 \\ 57.4 \end{array}$ | 44.815 |  | $\begin{array}{r}44,740 \\ 57.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,82557.84.98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 57.5 |  |  |  |
| Employed --...-.....an | 42,324 | 42.881 | 43,209 | 42.280 | 42718 | 42.782 | 42785 | 42,685 | 43,16555.5 |
| Employmert-population ration | 54.0 | 55.3 | 55.6 | 54.8 | 55.1 | 58.2 | 55.1 | 552 |  |
| Unemployed | $\begin{array}{r} 1,716 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,719 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,686 \\ \mathbf{3 . 8} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,789 \\ 4.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.757 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.833 \\ 4.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,758 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ | 1,844 | 1,760 3.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participation ras | \$57.0 | $\begin{array}{r}0.097 \\ 54.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 58.3 | 58.9 | 58.7 | 59.0 | 58.8 | 58.8 | 58.4 |
| Employed ...-. | $\begin{array}{r}5.734 \\ 49.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,318 \\ 47.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 , 4 0 3} \\ 48.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,942 \\ 51.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.796 \\ \$ 5.3 \end{array}$ | 5,78858.4 | 58.87 | 5,707 | 5,618 |
| Employmemhooputation retio'. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 521 | \$1.1 | 50.4 |
| Unermployd - | $\begin{aligned} & 850 \\ & 13.0 \end{aligned}$ | 76 | 875 13.9 | 873 | 843 | 802 | 803 | 86 | 190 |
| Uneriploynere mia |  | 12.8 | 13.8 | 128 | 12.7 | 13.0 | 129 | 13.1 | 13.7 |
| nem | 13.912.0 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 14.111.4 | 12.0 124 | 127 | 13.0 127 | 13.124 | 14.2 |
| Worrun |  | 12.2 | 13.8 |  | 124 | 13.2 | 12.7 |  | 13.1 |
| ELACX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.986 | 21,228 | 21.26113 | 20,068 | 21,180 | 21,188 | 21.211 | 21.228 | 21.261 |
| Civilan latortorce .- | $\begin{array}{r} 13.372 \\ 63.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,335 \\ 62.0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}13,454 \\ \hline 64.1\end{array}$ | 13,51063.8 | 13,43763.4 | $\begin{array}{r}13.581 \\ \hline 6.0\end{array}$ | 13.57060.6 | $\begin{array}{r}13.367 \\ \hline 3.9\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | 13,469 63.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed .......................... | 11,882 | 11.97356.4 | 12.09356.8 | 11,08257.0 | 11,07858.6 | 12,030 | 12.148 | 12.181 | 12.179 |
| Employmerd-population ratio' ......-......................---....... |  |  |  |  |  | 58.8 | 57.3 | 57.3 | 57.3 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,362 \\ 10.2 \end{array}$ | 1.40810.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.492 \\ 18.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,532 \\ & 11.3 \end{aligned}$ | 1.407 10.5 | 1.433 | 1,409 | 1,400 |
|  | 11.1 |  |  |  |  | 10.5 | $t 0.6$ | 10.4 | 10.4 |
| Hen, 20 yere and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $6.222$ | 8.216 | 6.255 | 0.209 | 8,18973.5 | 6,172 | 0.227 | 6240 | 6.241 |
| Participation rate... |  | 73.4 | 73.7 | 74.3 |  | 73.3 | 73.8 | 73.7 | 73.5 |
| Employed ...-...... | $\begin{array}{r} 5.618 \\ 67.2 \\ 608 \\ 9.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.589 \\ 68.0 \\ 887 \\ 10.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.672 \\ 80.8 \\ 58.4 \\ 9.3 \end{gathered}$ | 5.817 | 5,498 | 5,803 | 5,631 | 5,681 | 5,672 |
| Employmend-population ration |  |  |  | 67.3 592 | 689 | 58.6 | 60.5 | 68.0 589 | ${ }_{589} 88$ |
| Unemployed $\qquad$ <br> Unemployment rate $\qquad$ |  |  |  | 8.5 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 8.99 |
| Wromen, 20 yeers and over |  | $10.1$ | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civitian labor force. | $\begin{array}{r} 8,293 \\ 60.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,359 \\ 59.8 \end{array}$ | 6,450 | 0.341 | ${ }^{6} 393$ | 6.423 | 6,456 | 0.451 | 4.816 |
| Perricipation rate. |  |  | 60.8 | 60.6 | 60.5 | 80.7 | 60.9 | \%0.8 | 61.3 |
| Employed ...er...... | 5,684 | 5.789 | 5.874 | 5.734 | 5.802 | 5.821 | 5.872 | 5.058 | 5,021 |
| Employnert-papulation rexto | 54.4 | 54.7 | 55.3 | 54.8 | 54.9 | 55.0 | S5.4. | 55.2 | 55.7 |
| Unempioyed $\qquad$ | 9985 | 88.8 | 9.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 08.1 |
| 90th mersen to to 19 ymers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 057 | 782 | 784 | 804 | 928 | 842 | 889 | 870 | 830 |
|  | 39.4 | 35.4 | 36.5 | 41.6 | 42.8 | 30.5 | 41.7 | 40.8 | 38.6 |
| Employed -.---.......... | 572 | 585 | 547 | 814 | 680 | 600 | 645 | 652 | 588 |
| Employment-poputation rution ..... | 28.3 | 27.1 | 25.5 | 28.1 | 31.3 | 27.7 | 30.0 | 30.3 | 27.3 |
| Unimployed ............ | 205 | 177 | 237 | 293 | 248 | 238 | 253 | 227 | 244 |
| Unemploymmert rate . | 33.3 | 23.3 | 30.2 | 32.4 354 | 28.7 | 28.0 | 26.2 | 25.8 | 29.4 |
| Men | 37.0 | 24.7 | 32.6 | 35.4 | 28.2 | 20.5 | 30.0 | 27.2 | 31.1 |
| Wornen | 29.5 | 21.7 | 27.4 | 29.6 | 24.0 | 27.5 | 28.2 | 24.3 | 27.6 |

See tootrotes at end of tatio.


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May 1800 | 4pros | ${ }_{1000}$ | 1 may | 18. | 1000 | Mas. | Aprio | May |
| maspanc oricm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CNMen norturimpond papatation | $\begin{aligned} & 13,71 \\ & 0,034 \\ & 600 \\ & 0.006 \\ & 0.7 \\ & 723 \\ & 7 . \end{aligned}$ | 14.1680.65867.28.77061.87680.0 | 14.2890.84887.70.186207207.6 | 13.7310.3896898.01802874078 | $\begin{gathered} 14,000 \\ 0,40 \\ 67.0 \\ 0.789 \\ 623 \\ 671 \\ 7.1 \end{gathered}$ | 14,1180.40004.00.80861.47447.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,169 \\ & 0.806 \\ & 674 \\ & 0.891 \\ & 0.824 \\ & 734 \\ & 7.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,189 \\ 0.18 \\ 67.7 \\ 8850 \\ 62.3 \\ 785 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | 14.2380.85907.980270.774.87.7 |
| Oviten liter toret . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purtcopalion rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Encloyment-pooudation ralio' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usemptoyed - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment res |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 colvted conmina


NOTE: Doter for the above rice and Mutaperio-oriph groupa wal not
 and thapeice ere incuded in both the white and biack poputition groupe.

(f) trousanda)

| Catagory | Wot menconely |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{1069}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & \text { 1890 } \end{aligned}$ | Mey | $\begin{aligned} & M \operatorname{Myy} \\ & 1060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Jmon} \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F4b } \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mar. } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { APx: } \\ & 1600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ |
| CHARACTEAETS | $\begin{gathered} 147,039 \\ 40,984 \\ 20,790 \\ 0,356 \end{gathered}$ | 117.358 40.008 0.010 <br> 30.010 0.306 | $\begin{array}{r} 118,277 \\ 40,022 \\ 30,258 \\ 0,394 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 117.132 \\ 40.932 \\ 29,600 \\ 8.354 \end{gathered}$ | 117883 29.897 0.215 | $\begin{array}{r} 118,035 \\ 41,347 \\ 20.704 \\ 6378 \end{array}$ | 118,33 20,889 6,211 | 118.116 29,742 0,325 | $\begin{array}{r} 118,350 \\ 40,881 \\ 30,046 \\ 2,400 \end{array}$ |
| Civiten emplopec, 18 yeers and own |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mersod mon, apouse provert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wemred wommen, apouse presert. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wornen who mmertion termot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNOM IMDUSTRY AND CLASE OF WORICER |  | 1.593 | 1,795 | 1,847 | 1,034 | 1,578 | 1,820 | 1.621 | 1.129 |
| Agrouthre: | 1.718 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weop and satary worker. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| geti-employed morkers | 1.411 | $\begin{array}{r}1.400 \\ \hline 109\end{array}$ | 1,534 | 1.377 | 1,354 | 1.378 | 1.457 | 1.429 | 1,502 |
| Unpeid tamily workert |  |  |  | 127 | 107 | 118 | 115 | 112 | 101 |
| Monegricuturtal incustrios:. | 104.878 | 105,250 | 105,779 | 105.232 | 105,747 | 108.117 | 108.028 |  |  |
| Wege and satary workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105,839 | 108,17618,113 |
| Ooverrimery .-. | $\begin{array}{r} 17,368 \\ 87,510 \end{array}$ | 17,941 | 18.187 | 17308 | 17.788 | 17.807 | 17.72488.300 | 17.81688,128 |  |
| Potvate moknetives |  | 87.317 | 67,612 | 87.927 | 68,121 | 80.510 |  |  | 68,063041 |
| Private nouspholds | 1,15888.352 |  |  | 1,12300,604 | 1,035 | 1.021 | 1,009 | 057 |  |
| Other inckatrios .... |  |  |  |  | 67,006 | 67,469 | 07,302 | 87,165 | 07,122 |
| gethemployed workers | $\begin{array}{r} 8,559 \\ 318 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,725 \\ 274 \end{array}$ | 8,774272 | 0.575299 | 0.733258 | 0.629313 | 8.852 | 8.716358 | 6.783254 |
| Unpeid tenly workers |  |  |  |  |  |  | 281 |  |  |
| PERsONS AT WORK PART TME: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Al industreat | $\begin{array}{r} 4,624 \\ 2.115 \\ 2,200 \\ 18,082 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pert tinat for economic ramers |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,574 \\ 2,318 \\ 1,906 \\ 15,807 \end{array}$ | 4.885 | 4.888 | 4.883 <br> 2.402 | 48887 | 5.004 | 4,871 | 4,831 |
| Stack wort |  |  | 2.224 | 2.314 |  | $2307$ | 2,4762.127 | 2.4072.138 | 2,4392052 |
| Coutd oniy find par-time work |  |  | 16,325 | 2,34715,350 | 2.402 285 |  |  |  |  |
| Voluntery pert tirne......... |  |  |  |  | 14.931 | 15,381 | 15,464 | 15,103 | 13.592 |
| Monagrautural incuatrios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patt ume for econemic reasors | 4,411 <br> 1,870 <br> 2.142 <br> 15.850 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,365 \\ 2.177 \\ 1,949 \\ 15,441 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,419 \\ 2,132 \\ 1,914 \\ 75,742 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,043 \\ 2,137 \\ 2,246 \\ 14,977 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,729 \\ 2,240 \\ 2,172 \\ 14,565 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,703 \\ 2,183 \\ 2,173 \\ 14,924 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,747 \\ 2,206 \\ 2050 \\ 14,978 \end{array}$ | 4.63022182.09614.804 | 4,6882.3172.00415.004 |
| Slack wort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coud onfy find per-tine work. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volutary patt urre. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]
HOUSEHOLD OATA HOUREHOLDDATA


| Preperal) |
| :--- |

NA. $=$ not avitatie.


| Catapary | Number of unemployed parsors (in thounymis) |  |  | Unemployment rates' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1809 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. <br> 1090 | nemy | May | $\frac{\tan }{1090}$ | Feb. 1090 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mop. } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Acr. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |
| CHARACTERISTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totad, 16 years and over .-................................................... | 6,419 | 8,770 | Q,653 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.3 |
|  | 3.429 | 3,735 | 3,679 | 5.1 | 53 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 6.4 |
|  | 2.761 | 3.113 | 3,047 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.7 |
| Wormen. 16 yeers and over ............................................... | 2.890 | 3.034 | 2.975 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.2 |
| Women, 20 yoart and own ................................................. | 2.471 | 2.528 | 2438 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| Both sexves, 16 to 19 yeers .............................................. | 1.187 | \$.130 | 1,180 | 16.0 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 14.4 | 14.7 | 15.5 |
| Married men, spouse protwet ............................................ | 1.237 | 1,390 | 1,404 | 20 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
|  | 1.173 | 1.073 | 1,005 | 38 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
|  | 567 | 517 | 511 | 82 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 7.4 |
| Fuatirre workere .-. | 5,129 | 5,509 | 5,240 | 48 | 50 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.8 |
| Partima workers ... | 1.250 | 1,268 | 1,373 | 8.9 | 70 | 7.4 | 72 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 60 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 6.0 |
| moustiry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonagricutiural private wape and salury workers .................. | 4.850 | 5,300 | 5.115 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 5.5 |
| Goote-producing snduaties ............................................ | 1,731 | 2.006 | 1.818 | 5.9 | 67 | 06 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 6.7 |
| Mining ..................................................................... | 37 | 35 | 25 | 4.6 | 68 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 3.3 |
| Contruetion ................................................................ | 604 | 691 | 732 | 9.8 | 93 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 11.5 |
| Mamtacturing ............................................................ | 1,000 | 1.281 | \$.182 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
|  | 597 | 729 582 | ${ }^{688}$ | 4.6 | 88 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 5.5 5.9 |
| Nondurate goods ..................................................... | 489 | 532 | \% 464 | 5.5 4.8 | 50 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 5.2 5.0 |
|  | 3.127 | 3,2939 |  | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.2 |
|  | 258 1.318 | 282 1.484 | 1,478 | 4.0 | 48 | 8.0 | 3.4 | 8.3 | 3.2 |
|  | 1.318 1.553 | 1,484 | 1,511 | 5.6 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 4.4 |
|  | + 510 | . 380 | 457 | 20 | 2.4 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 2.5 |
| Agricuturd wege and seliary worktres ................................. | 180 | 200 | 149 | 9.0 | 22 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 11.0 | 7.9 |

[^1]HOUSEHOLD DATA
Theto A-7. Derration of undmerowiert

| Weate of tracriployment | mot emenonimy celonad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hey | ${ }_{1960}$ | Mas | Meys- | ton | Fab. 1800 | Mar. | ${ }_{1900}^{\text {Apr. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kry} \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ |
| Dunation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lemen ten medrs | $\begin{gathered} 3,008 \\ 1,708 \\ 1,400 \\ 768 \\ 648 \end{gathered}$ | 2080 | 2.8561,921 | 3,070 | 3.919 | 2.150 | 204 | 3.204 | 3.028 |
| 5 to 14 melot |  |  |  | 1,903 | 2.1012 | 200 |  | 2175 | 2.236 |
| 15 mwite and over |  | 1.046 | 1.485 | 1,291 | 1,430 | 138 | 1,303 | 1,303 | 1.374 784 |
| 16 to 20 mentis |  |  | 838 | 80 | 653 | 688 | 631 | 68 | 610 |
| 27 mumbe thd over |  | 731 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Averueg (freari) duration in $\qquad$ Mecten elration, in whetco $\qquad$ | 12.45.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.1 \\ 6.3 \end{array}$ | 11.9 | 12.15.1 | 11.75.4 | 1205.1 | 12.15.0 | 11.65.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.040.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.047.0 | 100.040.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Lexe then 5 week |  | 44.3 |  | 480 |  |  |  |  | 45.6 |
| \$ to 14 meact .... | 27.7 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 312 | 30.7 | 31.6 | 31.1 | 322 | 33.7 |
| 15 medar end over. | 23.4 | 23.5 | 235 | 20.8 | 21.8 | 20.7 | 20.3 | 320.6 | 20.7 |
| 15 to 28 mows ... |  | 14.2 | $13.3$ | 11.18.7 | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ 9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.1 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | 10.70.6 | 10.310.2 | 14.50.2 |
| 27 wowke end Over .-- | 10.5 | 11.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





| 8ex end 90 |  |  |  | Unomployment ratel |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May | A9P: | ${ }_{1200}^{2 m y}$ | may | ten | Fop | mex. | Agr. 1000 | $\operatorname{may}_{1090}$ |
| Texer 16 yeere mad over | 6,410 | 0,700 | 0.683 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 5.3 |
| 18 to 24 yeers .man | 2312 | 2425 | 2,340 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 112 | 11.0 |
| 16 to 19 yeme | 1,187 | 1,130 | 1,160 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 144 | 14.7 | 16.5 |
| $16 \operatorname{ta~}^{17}$ y yme | 516 | 510 | 607 | 146 | 148 | 10.8 | 18.0 | 17.4 | 20.0 |
| 18 to is yeers. | 834 | 609 | 507 | 14.3 | 142 | 13.0 | 128 | 130 | 120 |
| 20 to 24 yous | 1,125 | 1.2095 | 1,109 | 79 | 4.5 | 8.4 | 03 | 0.3 | 8.5 |
| 25 yemet end 0 ver | 4,000 | ${ }_{4}^{4347}$ | 4,245 3 | 4.0 | 42 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| 28 to 54 yers | 3,637 | 3,044 5065 | 3,028 304 | 42 | 43 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 44 | 4.3 |
| ES yowe and own |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lem 16 yume end over | 3,429 | 3,735 | 3.878 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 8 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 8.4 |
| 181024 yeer munur. | 1290 | 1.343 | 1,281 | 10.9 | 112 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 14.8 | 11.2 |
| 16 to to yere | 000 | 822 | 032 | 10.3 | 16.1 | 14.8 | 14.7 | 15.4 | 18.0 |
| 16 to 17 yeer | 302 | 201 | 318 | 18.7 | 142 | 16.8 | 14.9 | 18.1 | 206 |
| 16 ¢ 10 y yere | 371 | 341 | 320 | 181 | 16.0 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 136 | 13.4 |
| 20 to 84 y | 509 | 721 | 629 | 80 | 89 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 60 |
|  | 2.118 | 2383 | 2358 | 38 | 42 | 4.1 | 40 | 42 | 4.1 |
| 28.5049 yors | 1,803 | 2.099 | 200 | 30 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
| 86 yuer and over .-..... | 265 | 310 | 206 | 30 | 36 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| Wornen, 16 yours and over | 2000 | 31034 | 2.078 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 82 |
| 16 to 24 yeme | 1.052 | 1,002 | 1,067 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.7 |
| 18 to 10 yemer | 519 | 508 | 637 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 14.6 | 140 | 13.5 | 14.9 |
| 161017 yetre | 214 | 238 | 270 | 14.3 | 1 LS | 17.3 | 14.9 | 10.7 | 18.4 |
| 10 to 18 y yeere. | 313 | 288 | 287 | 13.4 | 120 | 12.3 | 120 | 121 | 12.2 |
| 20 to 24 yetre .-................................................ | 539 | 574 | 558 | 78 | 00 | 21 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 0.4 |
|  | 1,949 | 1,881 | 1887 | 4 | 41 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 4.1 |
| 28 to 64 yore | 1,774 | 1.705 | 1,742 | 48 | 43 | 43 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
|  | $1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 165 | 160 | 20 | 35 | 33 | 3.3 | 20 | 2.5 |

- Unampolognent ee a percent of the cevirien libior force.




| Occapation | Curian moloped |  | Uramployed |  | Uremploptrent tate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mey } \\ 1809 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mafy } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1890}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } 4 \text { y } \\ & 1069 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totad, 16 yome and ovir' | 117,039 | 118.277 | 8.158 | 63803 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Manecertal and protataiormal apecimy | 30,027 | 30.542 | 588 | 589 | $t .8$ | 1.9 |
|  | 13,041 | 14,733 | 328 | 29 | 21 | 2.0 |
|  | 45.589 | 15,809 | 285 | 235 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Tecruicel anmen and admbibratioe sappont | 35.788 | 37,141 | 1,470 | 1,444 | 39 | 3.7 |
| Tecrractent mid reseded meport | 3.613 | 3810 | 08 | 113 | 26 | 2.8 |
| Setes occupators .-...... | 14.005 | 14.355 | 604 | 629 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
|  | 16,868 | 18,875 | 770 | 702 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| Service cocuptions | 15,434 | 15,614 | :,089 | 1,125 | 8.8 | 6.7 |
| Privis moumphotd: | 876 | 743 | 94 | 53 | 2.7 | 6.7 |
| Pretectep service | 1.018 | 1,047 | 65 | ¢0 | 33 | 4.4 |
|  | 12.040 | 12.821 | 930 | 982 | 0.9 | 7.1 |
| Prucision proeveron, erith and ropait | 13.551 | 13.839 | 721 | 735 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Whacharict ene foperon | 4.650 | 4,46\% | 154 | 142 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Construction tridos. | 4,049 | 8.258 | 385 | 433 | 72 | 7.6 |
| Other precision proctuction, erith and reper | 3,053 | 3.015 | 182 | 100 | 4.4 | 3.9 |
| Operations, fapricators, and latorers. | 18,037 | 17,085 | 1,942 | 1,530 | 8.8 | 8.0 |
| Muctione operators, axemition, and indectiors | 0.312 | 0.004 | 841 | 592 | 7.2 | 8.9 |
| Tramporimion end mataiel moung ocxapplions | 4.925 | 4048 | 208 | 209 | 4.0 | 5.3 |
|  | 4.800 | 4,817 | 483 | ${ }^{605}$ | 0.3 | 12.2 |
| Construevon latores | 713 | 802 | 126 | 176 | 13.0 | 17.6 |
|  | 4,007 | 3.804 | 308 | 493 | 8.3 | 11.0 |
| Ferring, torasty, and latime | 3.804 | 3.070 | 205 | 168 | 8.4 | 4.4 |

' Pursons wath no previova work urpertunce end thoes whowe last tot wes
in the armed Forces are incuced in the urertioney wien.


| Vereran statusand mot | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crivien } \\ & \text { nonimstrutional } \\ & \text { popiction } \end{aligned}$ |  | Cuvilien labor force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Teter |  | Employed |  | Unemployed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Number | Percentit of 1ator forces |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{May} \\ & 1089 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M8y } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & \hline 1808 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{mexy} \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maty } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Nay } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maty } \\ & 1889 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M4y } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & \text { 18989 } \end{aligned}$ | $1900$ |
| VEETHAM-ERA VETERAMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.422 | 7.823 | 0.772 | 0,830 |  |  | 6,6es | 8.690 | 180 | 234 | 28 | 3.4 |
|  | 8.487 | 6.523 | 6.143 | $0.16{ }^{\prime}$ | 5.908 | 5.947 | 176 | 217 | 2.9 | 3.6 |
|  | 1.789 | 1.448 | 1,895 | 1,338 | 1,021 | 1.290 | 64 | 49 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
|  | 3270 | 3.320 | 3.133 | 3,1901 | 3055 | 3,091 | 76 | 109 | 2.5 | 14 |
|  | 1.402 | 1.751 | 1.324 ! | 1,828 ! | 1.294 | 1.567 | 33 | 89 | 25 | 3.6 |
| 50 years and over ............................................. | 955 | 1.100 | 628 | 767 | 615 | 749 | 14 | 17 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| monveterans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16,004 | 17.137 | 14,892 | $18.015{ }^{\text {a }}$. | 14,497 | 15.439 | 485 | 677 | 3.3 | 3.6 |
| 35 to 39 yesrs .................................................... | 7359 | 7.882 ! | 0.873 | 7.407 | 6,721 | 7.242 | 282 | 255 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| 40 to 44 yeers ..................................................\| | 4.638 | 5.0391 | 4.321 | 4,888 3,830 | 4.488 3.600 | 4,624 3,671 | ${ }_{1}^{135}$ | 163 159 | 3.15 | 3.5 |
| 45 to 49 years .............................................): | 4.070 | 4,215 | 3.698. | 3,830 | 3.500 | 3,671 | 108 | 159 | 2.8 | 4.1 |

[^2]



| Oumbers in troutendat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mey. } \\ & \text { tow } \end{aligned}$ | $1000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May. } \\ & \text { t900 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $1$ | Pexh | $\operatorname{man}_{1000}$ | $\begin{gathered} A \neq \pi \\ 180 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{1000}$ |
| Pancoywerle <br> Cavilan noninititutional poputation $\qquad$ Covilat labor torce $\qquad$ <br> Employed $\qquad$ <br> Unemploped <br> Unemploymert ratie $\qquad$ $\square$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { a,3e4 } \\ 5825 \\ \mathbf{3 5 6 7} \\ 250 \\ 4,4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0,392 \\ 5897 \\ 5,562 \\ 315 \\ 54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,385 \\ & 8,806 \\ & 5.004 \\ & 285 \\ & 4.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0,384 \\ 5877 \\ 5,010 \\ 207 \\ 4.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.378 \\ \mathbf{3} 875 \\ 5.568 \\ 307 \\ 5.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.370 \\ & 5.068 \\ & 8.623 \\ & 343 \\ & 5.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0,380 \\ 8,004 \\ 5094 \\ 310 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.382 \\ & 5.945 \\ & 8.604 \\ & 341 \\ & 5.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.385 \\ 6.941 \\ 5.649 \\ 293 \\ 4.9 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 12.190 \\ 6.180 \\ 78.87 \\ 490 \\ 8.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12387 \\ 0380 \\ 7.87 \\ 890 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12351 \\ 6,410 \\ 7,887 \\ 523 \\ .62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.198 \\ 8.809 \\ 7.888 \\ 513 \\ 6.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,300 \\ 8,40 \\ 7,820 \\ 481 \\ 8.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.382 \\ 8,404 \\ 7.049 \\ 664 \\ 6.4 \end{array}$ | 123238,447 | 12337 | 12,359 |
| Criven titor tores - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,495 |  |
| Employed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.977 | 7,955 | 7,880 |
| Uremployed -..-- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 470 | 840 | 545 |
| Usmmploymera raio |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.8 | 6.4 | 6.5 |

 administration od Federy bind alocetion propratis

iable B-1. Enployest on nonepricultural payrolle by indestry
(In thowaende)

$p$ aproliminery.

Note on temporky census worwers
The nurnber of temporary workers assocasted with the 1990 census has an impact on the employ. mern lovis tor 22.000 in feckra essimatad rumber (prefiminam) wes 325.000. which may be subject to soraticart revesion.

| indeatry | Mot memernaliv adfusted |  |  |  | Scemenally adfuated |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mys | ${ }^{\text {HFO}}$ | Afiger | lop | K\% | Jon; | ito | 1970 | $A_{1}$ | Fiove |
| Total mplvite | 34.5 | 34.4 | 34.3 | 34.5 | 34.6 | 34.5 | 14.4 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 34.6 |
| Whatry. | 42.0 | 43.0 | 43.3 | 43.4 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Cenntrustion. . . | 37.7 | 37.9 | 57.3 | 86.2 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
|  | 80.9 | 4.7 | 39.9 | 40.7 | 41.8 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.6 | 40.7 | $4 \frac{1}{4 .} 8$ |
| burable $\qquad$ Overtifo houre $\qquad$ | 41.5 | 41.4 | 48.3 | 41.6 | 41.3 | 41.3 | 41.3 | 41.4 | 41.2 | 41.7 |
| Lumber and wead mrodust | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.4 | 39.7 | 48.5 | 54.8 | 40.3 | 40.2 |  |
| Purniturp end fixtures..... | 32.4 | 31.6 | 41.8 | 38.9 | 19.4 | 38.2 | 31.5 42.1 | 30.3 | 49.8 | 30.4 42.4 |
| Primery metal indurtrim........, | 4.1 | 41.6 | 41.8 | \% 1.6 | 61.2 | 42.8 | 42.3 | 41.9 | 41.8 |  |
| pebrient curnaget end beaie | 8.6 | 1.9 | 43.0 | 44.0 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 42.4 | 48 | 43.0 | 4.0 |
| Pebriested metal moduots.eil: | 41.5 | 41.1 | 49.1 | 42.2 | 42.7 | 42.1 | 42.2 | 41.7 | 41.3 | 42.8 |
| Elatricei ond oletrania | 48.4 | 40.9 | 30.7 | 48.5 | 40.7 | 40.8 | 41.1 | 41.2 |  |  |
| Mo tor verticles emind ention, | 42.7 | 42.7 | 40.8 | 4.0 | 42.3 | 41.4 | 41.5 | 42.8 | 42.2 | 42.8 |
| Inperyenty and roleted provuetid | 0.3 | 11.7 | 41.5 | 4.5 | 12:1 | 41.0 |  | 42.2 | 41.6 | 43.8 |
| Miscellancoue menufteturing. .... | 35.4 | 35.4 | 3.1 | 35.2 | 36.6 | 35.4 | 37.5 | 39.4 | \$9.1 | 37.3 |
| Mondurable moede. <br> overtime hour: | 40.9 | 59.4 | 30.2 | 40.9 | 40.2 | 40.8 | 39.9 | 40.0 | 39.9 | 40.2 |
| Food and hindred producta. | 40.4 |  | 39.7 |  | 40.5 | 40.5 | 40.5 | 40.6 |  |  |
| Tobsece minuretures. |  | 59.9 | 38.0 | 59.0 | (2) ${ }^{2}$ | (2), | (2) 2 | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Appersi and other text | 37.0 | $3 \mathrm{~S}: 2$ | $35 . \frac{1}{2}$ | 38.5 | 37.1 | 36.7 | 43.8 | 40.12 | 48.2 36.4 | 46.8 36.6 |
| Pepar and allised producta | 43.2 | 42.9 | 42.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 | 45.3 | 43.0 | 45.2 |  | 45.5 |
| Crinemitals and slifed mrocioti | 37.4 | 38.5 | 37.3 | 37.5 | 57.7 | 47.7 | 37.8 | 37.9 | 57.7 | 37.8 |
| Petrolew and coel | 45.9 | 44.2 | 44.6 | 43.3 | ${ }^{2}{ }^{1}$ | $\left.{ }^{42}\right)^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{42}{ }^{3}$ | $12 i^{5}$ | ${ }^{2} 26$ | ${ }^{42}{ }^{4}$ |
| Rutbor ond atee plasties pror | 61.5 | 11.3 | 40.2 | 41.5 | 1.5 | 40.9 | 11.1 | 11.3 |  | 41.6 |
| wethar and lenther arochuct | 37.6 | 37.3 | 36.3 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 38.0 | 37.8 | 37.3 | 57.2 |
| Transportation and mblie utilitice | 39.3 | 39.1 | 59.1 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 39.1 | 39.3 | 59.3 | 39.3 | 39.4 |
| Wholeatle trada. | 37.9 | 37.9 | 30.1 | 38.0 | 37.9 | 38.0 | 38.1 | 58.1 | 38:2 | 38.1 |
| Retail trade. | 23.8 | 24.5 | 29.0 | 28.2 | 28.9 | 23.3 | 23.9 | 28.9 | 29.0 | 28.9 |
| Finance, inaurance, and rael metste.. | 35.6 | 35.7 | 36.2 | 35.4 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Servicen. | 32.4 | 32.5 | 32.7 | 32.4 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 52.7 | 32.7 | 32.6 |
| 10 Dete rolate to production markera in mining and conutncturingl conatruetion workere in condtruations and monauparyizary workern in trenspartotion end puplie utilitiess wholerite and ratail trato finance, ingurance, and rael entotel ond fervices. Theoe groupe sceount for approximetely four-ififtu of the tatal eployeef on priveto menagricultursl pevrolla. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| tadustey | Avorese nourly murninca |  |  |  | avarage meakly eneringes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{1989}$ | ${ }^{\text {Mar }}$ | ${ }^{\text {ApP }}{ }^{\text {P90 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Rop }} 1908$ | ${ }_{1029}$ | ${ }^{1990}$ | ${ }^{\text {apropopo }}$ |  |
| Tetal privet | ${ }^{99} 968$ | *9.93 | 99.96 | 9,989 | ${ }^{6383} 85$ | 4341. 39 | ${ }^{* 343.62}$ |  |
| Mining. ......... | 13.13 | 13.4 | 13.52 | 13.49 | 351. | 577.06 | 515 | 585.47 |
| Canatruetio | 13. | 13.47 | 13.38 | 13.51 | 500.66 | 510.51 | 499.07 | 516.08 |
| menufactur | 10.42 | 10.73 | 10.74 | 10.82 | 426 | 456.71 | 427.45 | 442.54 |
| Durable posem. | 10.94 | 11.24 | 11.22 | 11.33 | 454.918 | 465.341 | 452.17 | 472.33 |
| Furnit ury | \% ${ }^{3} 16$ | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$. 41 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1:1797 | 31:26 | 327.941 |  | 329.48, |
| Srimery cieut | ${ }^{10} 12.69$ | 10.95 | 11:19 | 112.07 | 933.28 | 455.52 | 363. 91 | 349.31 |
|  | 14.06 | 14.54 | 11.85 | 18.71 | 613.021 435 | 423.73 | 639.84 | 647.24 |
|  | 11.20 | 11:57 | 11:52 | 11:62 | 47 417 417 | ${ }_{631}^{46}$ |  | - 340.36 |
| Einotricititad liectronic. | 10.33 | 20.54 | 10. 38 | 10.77 |  | 392.32 |  | 932.14 |
|  | ${ }_{10}^{10} 17$ | 14.61 10.5 | 110:44 | 11:75 | 413.54 | 621:8 | 392.04. | (690.48 |
|  | 1:24 | 18.60 | 8.60 | $8{ }^{8} 5$ | 324.66 | $338: 10$ | 327.66 | ${ }^{3} 35.16$ |
| Mondurable | 9.68 | ${ }^{10} 9.08$ | ${ }^{19} 907$ | 19.10 | 3477.30 | 388.80 | 394:34 | 404:00 |
| Tober | 16:13 | 16.46 | 17:11 | 17:12 | ${ }^{637} 3184$ | 638:691 | 650.11 | \$67.68 |
| Imenil mill produqkei | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{7} \mathbf{7} / 35$ | 7.92 | ${ }^{8 .} 89$ |  | 526:39, |  |  |
| proper and alliod pea | ${ }_{10}^{11} 89$ | ${ }_{11}^{12} 13$ | 11:88 | 11.12 | 402. 4.2 | 422.94 | 4 4.212 | S33.08 |
| Chnielils end alited oro | ${ }_{12}^{12.93}$ | 13.30 | 13.45 | 116.83 | 576.46 | 713.25 | 32.97 722 |  |
| Potrol cua nd cost produeta |  |  | 9.60 | ¢:71 | 290.101 | 397.15 | 251.96 |  |
| tot ther and toether products. | 6.58 | 6.36 | 6.95 | 6.92 | 247.41 | 253.15 |  |  |
| yranaportation and public utilitiat. | 12.49 | 12.32 | 12.93 | 12.18 | 400.46 | 501.2 | 505.36 | 506.18 |
| umolesele trada. | 10.28 | 10.65 | 10.76 | 10.70 | 369.61 | 403.64 | 409 | 406.6 |
| Rotali to | 6.69 | 6.76 | 6.78 | 6.77 | 186. | 292.6 | 196 | 194.92 |
| Finenco, insurunat, and real eata | 9.48 | . 67 | -. 98 | 9.92 | 537.41 | 352. | 361. | 353.15 |
| Serriewn | 9. | 9.7 | 9.81 | 9.76 | 301. | 336.381 | 320.39 | 316. |

$1 /$ see footnate 1, table $\mathbf{1 - 2}$.
p = oreliminary


| Induastry | ${ }_{1929}$ | ${ }^{\text {jongo }}$ | F9980 | ${ }^{479} 9$ | ${ }_{1090}^{\text {apr }}$ | Mayay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total privotezi: <br>  <br> Conotrietion.: <br> Encludinp oviorimoid <br> Trentor triton and puilic utiiitió Kholent + trode <br>  survicte. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.78 |  |  | ${ }^{13} 4$ | 44.76 | ${ }^{\text {His }}$ A ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9i) |
|  | 13.32 | 130.35 | 19:65 | 10.71 10.28 10 | ciols | - $\begin{gathered}10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 3\end{gathered}$ | - 1.1 |
|  |  | 10.10 |  |  | 艮12.34 |  | -: 0 |
|  | 10.288 <br> 6.4 <br> 8. |  |  | ${ }^{10} 9$ | 10.76 | \%. ${ }^{108}$ | . 3 |
|  | 9.351 | 9.75 | 9.78 | 9.78 | $9: 78$ | ¢:79] |  |



to bio zopersted out with sufficitent


Gil change way 0.2 percent tres nareh to 2 Dorived by thiming the overtice hours: are poid ot the rote of time and ane N:A.

|  pevrelis by indery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tne | Hot eremomelly odjuntod |  |  |  | smenomally edjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H2\% | ${ }^{20989}$ | ${ }^{1909080}$ | 79900 | ${ }_{198}$ | 19790 | 1960 | 1980 | $\hat{A}_{1990_{\mathrm{E}}}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} n_{2 y}{ }^{199 \mathrm{~K}^{\prime}} \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | 127.5 | 127.3 | 123.6 | 129.8 | 127.6 | 129.5 | 150.2 | 130.3 | 130.0 | 130.0 |
| Total orivet | 122.6 | -1.1 | 98.2 | 101.8 | 102.4 | 102.2 | 102. | 102.3 | 101.0 | 101.8 |
| coods-producine snd |  | E5.1 | 47.1 | 4.3 | $81.8$ | 17 |  | 81.7 | 82.8 | 69.1 |
| mining....... |  |  | 134.7 | 143.4 | 138.2 | 149.5 | 150.4 | 146.7 | 139.5 | 141.1 |
| Construction |  |  | 91.6 | 94.3 | 96 | 93.7 | 94.3 | 94.4 | 94.1 | 94.8 |
| mufoct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91.9 |  |
| Durable poode.iod |  |  | 89:7 | ${ }_{107}^{102}$ | 112 | 110 |  |  | 103. ${ }^{\text {103 }}$ | 103.5 |
| Fwont turs end Axtires.: |  |  | 105:\% | - 107.2 |  |  |  |  | 81.2 | 817.5 |
| Strimery ciolit indistrice. |  |  | 5 | 65. 1.2 | ¢ 6 |  |  |  | $4{ }^{4}$ |  |
| pobrict permeces and umetic. |  |  | \% 8 | ¢ 9.5 | 4 | \% 5 | 8 | \% |  | - |
|  | 23.11 |  |  | 鲑: |  |  |  |  |  | 9.9.5 |
|  | 1 |  | ${ }^{92} 9$ | 74.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 115 |  | ${ }_{32}^{13.7}$ | 118.7 | 1215 | 11 | 15 | 12 | ${ }^{16} 8$ | 16.0 |
| Miecel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monderoble mond | 48.5 |  | \%3.5 | 201:4 | 293:3 |  | ${ }^{104} 5$ |  | 103:4 | 109:9 |
|  | 5. | ${ }_{6}^{4}$ | 39.7 | 17.4 |  |  |  |  | 70: | 17: 8 |
| Iexprit end ther teciii: | ${ }^{191}$ |  | 100:8 | ${ }_{10}^{198 .}$ |  |  |  | 10.1 | 1139:3 | 1919.2 |
| Printint shd mill | 136 | $1{ }^{141}$ | 101:8 | 138 |  |  | 101 |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{143} 4$ | 101 | ${ }^{1018}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{14}{ }^{5}$ |  |  | ${ }^{81} 18.5$ |
|  | 19,? |  | 118.6 | 115.8 | 119 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 93:0 | 51.8 | Sti8 |
| ther | 141.4 | 243.2 | 145.4 | 145.3 | 191 | 1244. | 245.3 | 145. | 146.1 | 145.6 |
| renamortation ond public ut | 116.7 | 128.7 | 119.2 | 120 | 211 | 129. | 120.3 | 120 | 120.4 | 121.4 |
| theol coele tredo... | 126. | 127.4 | 121 | 123.9 | 126. | 122. | 128 | 129 | 129.3 | 29.2 |
| Retall trede | 126 | 124. | 127.8 | 124.2 | 127.2 | 2128.6 | 123.8 | 128. | 129. | 122.7 |
| Finence. inaurance., and real | 141. | 1144.0 | 146.4 | 144.8 | 141 | 144. | 145 | 165. | 144.6 | 145.3 |
| Frmer | 167.1 | 173. | 175.4 | 174.5 | 167. | 172 | 174. | 175 | 175 | 174.6 |

$\nu$ see feoenote 1, table l-z.

Establismment data


| Time epon | Jan. | F\%6. | mm . | Aper. | Mav | June | July | Awo. | Sept | 0 at. | Hov. | Dac. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Privete nonegricultural peyralia. 309 industriesl/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60.7 68.5 88 | 63.5 60.5 57 | 63.0 61.8 52.3 |  | \% $\begin{array}{r}61.3 \\ \hline 52.6 \\ \hline 52.3\end{array}$ | 97:2 | 533.6 | S4,0 | 65:9 | 535 | 68:8 | 54.6 |
|  | 64.6 71.6 52.2 | 65:6 | - $\begin{array}{r}69.5 \\ 64.5 \\ \hline 51.9\end{array}$ |  | 71.1 | 61.9 | 71.2 | 54.2 | 65.3 | 70.1 | 73.4 | 74.6 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r}70.2 \\ 694 \\ \hline 3.9\end{array}$ | 61.5 | 63:9 | 73.6 | 59:1 | 57.7 | 74.6 60.2 | 533.5 | 33.9 | 74.5 | 75.1 |
|  | 76.2 | 76:5 | 74.8 | 74.6 | 75.8 66.6 | 72.8 | 74.1 | 75.5 63.2 | 65.5 | [84.:3 | - 36.6 | 76.1 |
|  | menufocturing payrolls, 141 induatriesl/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 54.3 62.4 4.4 | 56.0 53 4.5 | \$3.08 | $\begin{array}{r}59.8 \\ \text { era } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 38.5 <br> 6.8 <br> $\times 45.0$ | ${ }_{61.7}$ | 59.6 | 431.1 | 348.3 | 52.8 | 64.9 | 54.5 |
|  | 63.1 67.4 42.2 | 61.9 63 41.5 | - $\begin{array}{r}62.9 \\ \hline 54.7\end{array}$ | - 50.9 | 49.3 | 67.0 | 84.9 | 58.2 | 42.1 | 66.7 | 71.3 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}66.3 \\ \hline 6.5 \\ \hline 8.9\end{array}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}66.3 \\ 586 \\ \hline 36.5\end{array}$ | 67.7 | 59.5 | 66.7 | 64.2 | ${ }_{40.1} 6$ | 78.8 | 68.8 | 69:9 | 70.\% | 74.1. |
|  | 73.1 | 70.2 | 70.9 | 73.5 | 72.9 | 49:9 | 30.9 | 49.12 | 71.6 | -70.2 | -69.9 | 67.0 |

[^3]Representative Hamilton. Thank you very much.
Now looking at your summary, what emerges here as the best picture of the economy? Is it an economy that is weakening or is it an economy that has bottomed out and is starting back up, or is it an economy that is just kind of drifting?

Mrs. Norwood. That's really, of course, very difficult to tell. What we are seeing I think is 3 months of no growth. We are not charging downward. On the other hand, there is no sign yet that we are moving upward. It could go either way.

Representative Hamiliton. This net job growth of about 165,000. How many of that is the hiring for the census?

Mrs. Norwood. About 145,000.
Representative Hamilton. So only about 20,000 then would be private sector growth?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes, and, of course, that is not statistically significant. So I would be inclined to say that just about all the growth reflects the Census temporary hiring.
Representative Hamilton. I see.
Mrs. Norwood. And that there is really essentially no growth in the private sector.

I think from these data we cannot say anything more than that for 3 months we have had really no job growth in the private sector.

Representative Hamiliton. And the growth that we have, in addition to the Government sector, has been in only a few industries, health being the major one I presume?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes, health services.
Representative Hamiliton. Health services. Is there any other industry that stands out?

Mrs. Norwood. Not really. There has been little movement in other industries. Earlier in the recovery period business services was the large contributor to growth, and that is no longer happening.

Retail trade, which had been growing strongly in the earlier years of the recovery, seems to have held level essentially over the year.

Representative Hamiloton. So if you look at construction and manufacturing and retail and wholesale trade and so forth, none of those are showing much growth, except in health care.

Mrs. Norwood. Except health services and government.
Representative Hamilton. And government?
Mrs. Norwood. Which is largely due to the Census hiring, and that should probably continue through the summer.

Representative Hamilton. You mean those jobs will go through the summer?

Mrs. Norwood. For the Census Bureau. Those are temporary workers who were hired for a period of time until the census is completed.

Representative Hamilion. Now in automobile manufacturing, why are they working overtime when automobile sales are falling?

Mrs. Norwood. I think that is a very good question, and there are, I believe, as I said in my statement, two possible answers. One is that this is a sharp change. It's a large change, and it's a single
month of change, and we can't be certain that it's really there. We will have to wait another month or two to see.

The other explanation, which to me seems more sensible, is that the auto companies and other employers, too, are trying to keep their inventories extremely lean.
It is much easier to adjust production by adjusting the hours of people on your payroll rather than hiring workers and then getting rid of them. That is much more expensive and it's much more traumatic.

Representative Hamilton. Does your data tell you whether the increase in hours in the automobile industry is throughout all of the automobile industry or just in particular segments?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, Mr. Plewes is just telling me that-well, he can tell you himself.
Mr. Plewes. We saw the overtime only being paid in about 15 assembly plants that produced models that were moving well. It wasn't widespread throughout the auto industry.

Representative Hamilton. Which ones are moving well?
Mr. Plewes. I don't have that with me, sir. We can get that. We just called the auto companies yesterday.
Representative Hamilton. Does anything stand out in your mind? I mean was it the Japanese-owned factories, for example, in the United States, or was there any pattern to it?

Mr. Plewes. I didn't make that distinction, sir.
Representative Hamilion. You did not make that distinction. All right.

Mrs. Norwood. But I think that would support the point that the companies are using hours to adjust their inventories rather than going out and hiring workers when they want to expand production and firing them when they want to adjust their inventories downward.
In many ways it's important that they remain cost conscious. So it's not entirely a bad thing, but we should recognize that there does not seem to be any employment growth in automobiles and that there continues to be a decline in manufacturing. Of course, we have had that for some time. We have lost 290,000 jobs over the year in manufacturing.
What is considerably different now is the situation in the construction industry which is in fact losing jobs.

Representative Hamilion. Now on labor force growth, you commented on that. Where is that comment in your statement?
Mrs. Norwood. Oh, it's down at the bottom of page 3, I think.
Representative Hamilton. It's at the top of page 4, the labor force.growth has slowed considerably in recent months. The labor force growth in the 1970's was an average annual growth rate of 2.66 percent, and then during the 1980 's it grew at 1.67 percent, and now during the 12 months ending in April it has grown only 1.03 percent.

What can you tell us about how the labor force will grow in the 1990's? Is this 1.03 percent going to be the pattern?
Mrs. Norwood. We are going to see very much slower labor force growth.

Representative Hamilon. We are not going to go back to that 2.66 percent of the 1970's?

Mrs. Norwood. No. We expect that the labor force will be growing at about half the rate of the earlier period, and the reason for that is largely due to declines in birth rates. So there haven't been as many children born to grow up to labor force age.

Representative Hamilton. Do the immigration figures have any impact on labor force growth?

Mrs. Norwood. Sure they do.
Representative Hamilton. A big impact?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, and they are difficult to estimate, very difficult.

Representative Hamilton. Very unreliable data?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, I believe so. Obviously the Census Bureau does the best job it possibly can with them, but it is extremely difficult to be certain what they are.

Representative Hamilton. So your projection of labor force growth during the 1990's is in what range?

Mrs. Norwood. It's about 1.6 percent.
Representative Hamilton. Each year, and that is an--
Mrs. Norwood. On average throughout the rest of the century, but we are seeing many fewer teenagers, for example. We had a labor force decline of about 360,000 teenagers from May to May. We had an increase of about $1,400,000$ from May to May in the overall civilian labor force, and I would say that that's roughly half what we had in the 1970's.

So it makes life much easier. You're always on a treadmill and you don't have to keep running faster just to catch up. On the other hand, when the labor force increases very rapidly, that tends to stimulate job growth. So the fact that it's growing more slowly means there is probably somewhat less stimulus there.

Representative Hamilion. Now the thought was during the 1970's that when these housewives and teenagers came into the market and got experience, then productivity would go up. Did that happen?

Mrs. Norwood. One of the views has been, you're right, that as they became better educated they would improve productivity. They are not showing up in our productivity numbers.

Mr. Dean, our expert on productivity, is here. He and his staff have been doing some work on the quality adjustments of labor.

Do you have anything to add to that?
Mr. Dean. Yes. Our preliminary data show that prior to 1973 change in the composition of the labor force based on experience and education was adding about two-tenths of a percent per year.

Representative Hamilton. Two-tenths of a percent a year to what?

Mr. Dean. To productivity.
Representative Hamiliton. OK.
Mr. Dean. After 1973 at the height of the entry of the baby-boom people into the labor force that figure dropped to zero. After 1979 it increased to about three-tenths of a percent per year, and that, we think, was primarily because of the growing experience level of an aging labor force.

Representative Hamilyon. That was now a decade ago.
Mr. Dean. That's right. It was around 1979 or 1980 that entrance of the baby-boom people into the labor force began to taper off.

Representative Hamilion. So the improvement in productivity growth during the 1980 's, was that largely due to the composition of the labor force?

Mr. Dean. No. That was an important contributing factor, but so was an increasing ratio of capital to hours.

Mrs. Norwood. Especially in manufacturing.
Representative Hamilton. Is there anything to suggest that there will be further improvement in the composition of the labor force so that our productivity will go up?
Mrs. Norwood. It's hard to know about the exact relationship of productivity to groups in the labor force, but we know that between now and the year 2000 minority groups are going to be a larger proportion of the labor force, and we know that many members of those minority groups have not had the advantage of education and training and job experience that other people have.
Therefore, there should be some concern because many of the kinds of jobs that we're projecting will be expanding most rapidly are the jobs that require training and knowledge and cognitive abilities. So that you may be seeing an even greater disparity between those who succeed and those who do not, because of the tremendous need of the economy for people with education and training and the problem that many of our minorities have in getting it.
Representative Hamilon. Do you see anything in the figures that would suggest that there will be an increase in productivity growth in the decade ahead?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, I would hope. I'm always hopeful that we will see increases in productivity growth. I do not believe that we are going to see it from the composition of the labor force.

Representative Hamilion. Both in terms of numbers and in terms of quality?

Mrs. Norwood. I think that where we might see it from is, as Mr. Dean has said, a greater recognition of the need for new technology and capital investment, but also the greater cost consciousness that we're clearly seeing in manufacturing. We are reducing production much less than we are reducing employment, and if that continues, then obviously that should show up in increased productivity.

When we move into the service-producing sector, what we have been seeing until now is a tremendous increase in employment growth in services, and that, of course, is a question of how they are used.
Representative Hamilton. During the period of 1948 to 1973 we had a productivity growth of about $21 / 2$ percent roughly, and I guess the question is do you think in the 1990's we will return to that, or does that really look out of reach?
Mr. Dean. I can't see that there are factors operating that are comparable to the factors prior to 1973 . It seems to me it would be extremely optimistic to expect that we would return to the pre1973 rates.
Mrs. Norwood. There are some analyses that suggest that maybe that is the wrong way to look at it, that what we should recognize is that the postwar period of high-productivity growth may in fact have been different from the longer range, basic slow rate of productivity growth.

I'm not sure that that's so. It's very hard to get the really good data from the earlier period, but there are two ways of looking at it.
Representative Hamilton. As in all economic phenomena. [Laughter.] If there are only two, we are fortunate.

Mrs. Norwood. William Baumol from Princeton has done a good bit of work on this, and he argues that some of these changes are not different because we are looking at it the wrong way, that the real change was during the postwar years and, second, that the use of labor in this country is not so different from the use of labor in other countries.
Representative Hamilion. I want to have you comment on the consumer price index. I guess you didn't refer to that in your statement.

Mrs. Norwood. No.
Representative Hamilton. We've had some articles appear recently suggesting that the CPI does not properly measure price increases, and one of the comments is that it understates the impact of increases in property taxes on the housing component of the CPI.
Do you want to comment on that, on the CPI? There are really three criticisms of it. One relates to housing and the property tax, the second relates to the health care prices and the third relates to the product sample for prices in the CPI being out of date.
Let's discuss those a little bit.
Mrs. Norwood. All right. Let me take a stab at that and then ask Ken Dalton to fill in.

On the first issue of property taxes. The CPI housing component actually reflects property taxes through the cost of shelter approach that we had included in the CPI. It seems to us to be behaving in an economic sense quite well. So I would reject that criticism. I don't think that it is valid.

The second issue, or let me take the third one first, and that is that the CPI product groupings are out of date. The CPI is a baseweighted index of the Laspeyres' type, and economic research has certainly shown over a period of years that that creates a somewhat upper bound on the cost of living.

Nevertheless, research that we have done at BLS over several decades has shown that the differences are very small, that the effect of reweighting is really very small.
Representative Hamilton. Now your sample is based on a 198284 survey?

Mrs. Norwood. That's right.
Representative Hamilton. Do you make adjustments in that survey?

Mrs. Norwood. That's the other point that I wanted to make, and that is that the overall weights are kept constant because of the base-weighted nature of the index. That's the theoretical construct of the index that we really believe is correct.

But most people do not understand that there is resampling of the specific item in all the cities over a 5 -year period. So that one fifth of the outlets in the CPI and the specific items that are priced within those outlets are resampled every single year. So it is not true, for example, to say that you have, let's say, a cotton shirt and
that it goes out of existence and everybody is using dacron and cotton shirts and that we are not reflecting that. We would absolutely reflect that as it happens. So it's a misunderstanding.

Representative Hamilton. With regard to this criticism, which is basically that the sample is out of date, your testimony is that it is not out of date?

Mrs. Norwood. That's right. Now I have to say that we have the funds to resample over a 5 -year period. You know, we would like to resample more frequently than that, but I think that on the whole it is a pretty good representation.

Now the other question that goes along with that is whether we should update the weights more frequently, the weights themselves for clothing and food and so on, and we are studying that to try to figure out exactly when we should. We have had a custom of doing it about every 10 years in the United States. We are fairly comfortable with that, but we are examining that all the time to see whether it is possible to have some empirical evidence that we should do it differently.

Representative Hamilton. So the CPI then does not really lag very far behind the market, the reality?

Mrs. Norwood. I don't believe that it does. Now the third, or your second comment, concerns health care. There is a valid criticism I think concerning the pricing for health care. The specific reason for that is that health care is extremely difficult to measure. It's full of technological events, all kinds of computerized equipment, and changes in treatment. It is very difficult to look at a health service as constant over time and to get the information that is necessary to evaluate the changes and to adjust the price of that change.
We are working on that, and Mr. Dalton can tell you something about some of our work in that area, but I think it should be understood that that's a very difficult area. It's one in which we should be doing I believe a great deal more research.

Now I should tell you that I just happened to be thinking about this a good bit because I'm giving an address at the Canadian Statistical Society on Monday, and I've been looking at the Canadian CPI and the U.S. CPI, and they are very similar in some ways. The Canadians have a very good CPI, but because they have comprehensive health service provided essentially by the Government, they don't price health services in the CPI. It's just not there on grounds that the Government is providing it.

So it's much easier for countries like that. We are in a much more difficult position because health services now are about 11 percent of our GNP, and it seems to me that it's terribly important for us to have better measures in general both of the output of health services and eventually the input of them.

Representative Hamilton. The problem here is in part at least measuring the change in the quality of health care, isn't it?

Mr. Dalton. That's exactly the problem. Initially defining what the output of the industry is, what is the health services industry delivering in particular.

Representative Hamilton. Do you make any adjustments, quality adjustments for health care?

Mr. Dalton. Yes, we do on a month-to-month basis. Any of those quality changes we can identify, we attempt to make adjustments.

Representative Hamiliton. Can you say, for example, in looking back over the last year that the quality of health care has improved by so much during the past year?

Mr. Dalton. I don't think so. If we could say that, then we could adjust the index exactly for it.

Representative Hamilton. But you can't do that?
Mr. Dalton. We can't do that, not at this point.
Representative Hamilton. Do you have a similar kind of problem with quality in other components of the CPI?

Mr. Dalton. Yes, we do.
Mrs. Norwood. I don't think it's as severe though.
Mr. Dalton. Perhaps not as severe.
Representative Hamilion. In what areas?
Mr. Dalton. I would say in apparel, although we have made some very substantial gains in that area in recent years, and some of the other services where the output, or what it is exactly that you're pricing, or trying to hold fixed in quality over time is nebulous.
Mrs. Norwood. We happen to have a home on a lake in Maine, and I was up there over Memorial Day weekend. While I was there the electricity was off for a couple of hours. If that happens more frequently, it would be a deterioration in electricity services. You're not having the same quality. You have to restart everything and change all the clocks and you worry about the freezer and so on, but we don't know how to adjust for that. We don't know when it's happening and we don't know how often it happens. So there are a lot of practical, everyday issues of that kind that are extremely difficult.

I am very concerned about medical care measurement. I am also very concerned about the whole issue of technological change, and quality adjustment, and the need for resampling in the producer price area where the prices for products are changing all the time. We have in that program about a 7 -year cycle of resampling, and I think it's far too long.
Mr. Dalton. On average.
Mrs. Norwood. That's on average, and I think it's far too long considering the extent of technological change.
Representative Hamilton. In this unusual surge of employment in health services, where in the health-care industry is that occurring and why is it occurring?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, I think the why is probably that the population is getting older and more health conscious.

Mr. Plewes. It's occurring in two places. It's occurring in hospitals and it's occurring in offices of practitioners, as we classify them in the standard coding.

Representative Hamilton. It's not in nursing homes?
Mr. Plewes. Not to a great extent. It's growing there, but adding additional workers in the offices of physicians is one major development that we have seen over time as physicians do more things in their offices.

Representative Hamilon. Is there any part of the population that is benefiting from the rapid expansion of employment in the health care industry or is it across the board?
Mrs. Norwood. I'm sorry, I didn't hear that question.
Representative Hamilion. Is there any part of the population that benefits from this increase in employment in the health care industry?

Mrs. Norwood. If what you are getting at is the kind of jobs that we have in the health care industry, I think we have basically two kinds, the very good, sophisticated, highly educated jobs and then

Representative Hamilton. I'm wondering if the consumer of health care, is he or she getting better health care because of this surge of employment, and whether or not particular segments of the population are getting better health care because of this surge in employment?

Mrs. Norwood. Tom Plewes was pointing out that one of the big areas of increase is in physicians' offices because physicians are providing more services and more procedures and more tests in their own offices because it's advantageous for them to do that.

I would like to point out that that's the sort of thing that changes the pricing of a visit to a physician because a physician before was not performing these services and now that he has hired people and is doing that, it's very hard to keep up with it.

In terms of whether people are benefiting, obviously if you can go to a physician's office and get everything done, you don't have to go running around to laboratories and other places to have the blood drawn and have other procedures performed. So there are clearly benefits for people.

Is it improving the health of people? Well, as you know, that is a very critical issue and there is a great deal of work going on about it. I can tell you from experience that if there are problems that somebody has that require a lot of complicated equipment, you really never question that. You just go ahead and do it.

Representative Hamilion. Let me ask a question or two about foreign-owned firms. You know, there is a lot of interest in that area in the Congress. As I understand it, the data that we now have on foreign investment comes from the Census Bureau, not from you, basically.

Mrs. Norwood. The Bureau of Economic Analysis.
Representative Hamilion. Yes, and there are problems with that data.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilion. What do you do with respect to foreign investment in the Bureau of Labor Statistics? What kind of information do you have and how do you get it?
Mrs. Norwood. We do not have any surveys which attempt to measure foreign investment. That is not within the area of responsibility that we have in this statistical system. We are, of course, very interested in it, especially because of our export-import price system and other analytical work that we do, but the data on investment are collected either by the Federal Reserve or by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

What we are doing is looking at the possibilities at your request, Mr. Chairman, your's and Mr. Obey's, of trying to see whether we could take some of the data from BEA essentially and link it through our business list to employment, and Tom Plewes can tell you all about that.

Mr. Plewes. Basically, that's correct, we don't identify it ourselves. The BEA has the list, the direct investment survey. What we would do is to match their files-

Mrs. Norwood. What we could do.
Mr. Plewes. Yes, what we could do is to match their files with our large database which consists of employment, standardized industrial classification and payrolls out there in the private sector, and match that in turn with information that we have on occupational staffing patterns of those industries. So that will give us a good basis for determining, if you will, an employment history, a payroll history, and an occupational history of firms that are associated with foreign investment.

We did this on a pilot basis back in 1986 taking seven of their States from a 1984 foreign investment survey and matching them with our file of employment payrolls and found out that we could do that fairly well. We have not done that third part, which is matching the occupations.

Representative Hamilon. OK. Now the problem, as I understand it, with the information from the Census Bureau, the BEA, is that the data is not very timely. It's 2 years out of date or 3 years out of date.

Mr. Plewes. In many cases that is correct.
Representative Hamilion. And that it is not collected at an industry level, but it's collected at an enterprise level.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Mr. Plewes. That's correct.
Representative Hamilton. And that's it's not comparable to equivalent data from U.S. firms.

Mr. Plewes. That point isn't. quite clear, but I think that that's correct also.

Representative Hamilton. The question then is, in the process that you are describing, can you correct these deficiencies?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, we can do some matching so that we could take the data that they had, I mean assuming we had the resources to do this of course. One could take the data that they supply to us and match them to the enterprises in our business list and then go on from there with the occupational employment data that we have. But that process is not going to improve the basic data that they collect. We can't take their survey data and improve them in any way.

Representative Hamilion. Are there weaknesses in that Census data that we ought to be concerned about?

Mrs. Norwood. We have not really done a careful review of the quality of those data.

Representative Hamliton. So you couldn't suggest to us remedies?

Mrs. Norwood. No, I don't think we are in a position to do that at this point.

Representative Hamilon. Is it correct that you have been concerned about the quality of the Census data and that you have been working to improve it?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, let me make clear that there are two sets of data here I think we are talking about. One is the investment survey, which is done by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, and we know really very little about that. The other is the list of business establishments to which those data would be matched. Now we know a great deal about the lists.
Representative Hamilton. Who develops the data on the business establishments?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, BLS has a list which-
Representative Hamilion. You have the data?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, there are two lists, or there are many lists in existence as a matter of fact.
Representative Hamilion. You're getting me pretty confused.
Mrs. Norwood. It's a confusing issue.
Representative Hamilon. The investment survey is in BEA, right?

Mrs. Norwood. That's right.
Representative Hamilton. And that's not your job.
Mrs. Norwood. No.
Representative Hamilton. You don't have anything to do with it?

Mrs. Norwood. No, we don't.
Representative HAMILTON. You don't work with them on it to improve the quality of it?

Mrs. Norwood. No.
Representative Hamilion. It's just there, right?
Mrs. Norwood. That's right.
Representative Hamitron. Then the second list you talked about is the business establishment list. That is your list?

Mrs. Norwood. We have a business establishment list. The Census Bureau also has a business establishment list. The Office of Management and Budget has encouraged us to develop our list further because it's more complete and more up to date, and to make it available as a single list for the whole statistical community. We have been given funds by the Congress to start that. It's a multiyear project and it's well underway.
There are some differences of opinion within the statistical system about that approach, but in any case, whether Census uses or it doesn't, we certainly are moving forward and we will make it available for statistical purposes to agencies which need it.

Representative HAMILION. Well, of course, I appreciate that, and we appreciate your interest and concern about it. From our standpoint here, and the overall point is obvious to you, and that is we need to have better information about these foreign-owned firms.

Mrs. Norwood. I would agree with that completely, Mr. Chairman.

Representative Hamilion. And anything that you can do in coordination with the Census and the BEA will be very much appreciated, of course, by us.

Mrs. Norwood. You should understand, of course, that these matchings are rather extensive and comprehensive and they don't come without cost. Nothing does it seems.

Representative Hamilion. I've figured that out. [Laughter.]
The teenagers coming into the job market, we have fewer of them coming in now; is that right?

Mrs. Norwood. That's right.
Representative Hamilton. Does that mean for our teenagers it's going to be tougher to get jobs this summer?

Mrs. Norwood. It should make it easier for them to get jobs. There are fewer people and there is therefore less competition assuming that there are employers providing jobs for summer youth. There should be about 300,000 fewer 16 - to 19 -year-olds from April to July.

Representative Hamilion. How many fewer?
Mrs. Norwood. About 300,000 fewer than last year. So that should make it easier for them to be successful in their search for work.

Representative Hamilton. You gave us the figure for what age group, the 300,000 ?

Mrs. Norwood. Sixteen- to nineteen-year-olds.
Representative Hamilton. Do anything in the data tell us anything about minority employment and unemployment? Has there been any improvement in the situation for blacks or Hispanics?

Mrs. Norwood. Blacks and Hispanics have had increases in employment, but they still have very high rates of unemployment. Their employment-population ratios have not changed a great deal over the last year.

Representative Hamilton. So there really hasn't been much improvement?

Mrs. Norwood. No, I don't really think so. If you look at it in percentage terms sometimes you can see some changes, but you're talking about small bases. What I thought we might do, Mr. Chairman, is do an analysis of that and perhaps report on it to you at our next hearing.

Representative Hamilton. We would appreciate that.
There isn't any evidence now that the labor market is tightening sufficiently so that employers are having to bring on board more minorities or more low-skilled people?

Mrs. Norwood. I think some of that is happening at the low end of the wage scale quite clearly. There is more competition for jobs, and theoretically that should raise wages to avoid shortages as always happens.

Representative Hamilton. Then, finally, I wanted to ask you a question or two about job training. Do you conduct a survey of firms to determine what kind of job training they do?

Mrs. Norwood. No, we do not on a regular basis. We have occasionally conducted a small supplement on how workers get their training using the Current Population Survey.

Representative Hamilton. Are you preparing to do that?
Mrs. Norwood. I have set up a task force to look at what we know and what we don't know about the extent and cost of employer training. We would like to.do something in that area, at least as a pilot program, and I have discussed it with the education people
in connection with the President's goals on education, but I don't know where that is going. I believe that it would be useful.

Representative Hamilton. Will you keep us up to date on that?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, we would be glad to.
Representative Нamilion. Thank you very much.
Mrs. Norwood. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Representative Hamilton. We appreciate your appearance this morning.
[Whereupon, at 10:25 a.m., the committee adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.]
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## OPENING STATEMENT OF REPRESENTATIVE HAMILTON, CHAIRMAN

Representative Hamilton. The Joint Economic Committee will come to order.

This morning, the committee meets to conduct its monthly review of the employment and unemployment situation. We are pleased to welcome again as our witness, Commissioner Janet Norwood of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, who is here with her colleagues to testify on the employment and unemployment data for July.

The figures released this morning by the Bureau suggest that the economy has shifted into an even lower gear from the slow growth of the first half. Employment declined by 435,000 in July, according to the household survey, and the unemployment rate rose by threetenths of 1 percent, the largest 1 -month increase in more than 4 years.

Payroll employment in private industries declined by 45,000 , reflecting significant job loss in construction and a continued decline in manufacturing. Coming on top of other recent indicators, it also shows a weakening of the economy.
This morning's employment and unemployment data give serious cause for concern about the current state of our economy.

We will turn now to Commissioner Norwood for her testimony on the July data.

STATEMENT OF HON. JANET L. NORWOOD, COMMISSIONER, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, ACCOMPANIED BY KENNETH V. DALTON, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF PRICES AND LIVING CONDITIONS; AND THOMAS J. PLEWES, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS
Mrs. Norwood. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. As usual, I have with me Kenneth Dalton on my right and Thomas Plewes on my left. We are all very happy to be here.

The Nation's job market weakened in July. The civilian jobless rate, which had showed little movement for nearly 2 years, increased by three-tenths of a percentage point in July to 5.5 percent, and the overall unemployment rate rose to 5.4 percent. Employment, as measured in both our household and our business surveys, was down over the month.

The number of jobless persons rose by about 370,000 in July to 6.8 million. Although unemployment increased for men in the 25 and over age group, a disproportionate share of the overall increase was among teenagers. This group typically enters the labor force in very large numbers between April and July each year, seeking either permanent or summer jobs. Even though a smaller proportion of teenagers participated in the labor force this summer, more of those who did were unable to find work.

The unemployment rate for teenagers last month was 16.3 percent, about a percentage point higher than in the past 2 years.

While we cannot say for certain why teenagers had more difficulty in the labor market this summer, we do know that job growth in the retail trade and services industries, which employ many teenagers, has slowed considerably this year.

Young blacks and Hispanics are generally less likely than their white counterparts to participate in the labor force, and those who are in the labor force are more likely to be unemployed. Minority youth account for a very large share of the Nation's high school dropouts, and, as you know, dropping out of high school leads, almost inevitably, to problems in the job market.

In 1989, only about 3 of every 10 black high school dropouts were employed. Lack of a high school education is by no means the sole cause of the employment problems of minority youth, however.

In a survey we conducted last fall, we found, for example, that, of the black and Hispanic recent high school graduates who had not gone on to college, only about half were employed, whereas the proportion among their white counterparts was about threefourths. Thus, the causes of the labor market difficulties faced by minority youth are numerous, varied, and not clearly understood.

Certainly, given their growing representation in the youth population, the problems of these young people will become even more visible in the future.

The number of payroll jobs in private industry declined by 45,000 from June to July. This was the second decline in private industry employment this year, but, unlike the large drop last April, the change in July does not appear to be associated with unusual seasonal movement. Total payroll employment declined by 220,000 in July, but about 160,000 of this amount came from reductions re-
sulting from the planned phasedown of collection activities related to the decennial census.
The largest employment decline in private industry was in construction, which, after seasonal adjustment, dropped by 50,000 in July. The number of jobs in this industry is now more than 100,000 below the level of last fall.

The weakness in July was spread throughout the industry, but residential building has accounted for a large share of the recent job losses in the industry.

Factory employment, which began to slide in the spring of 1989, continued downward, but at a much slower rate than in the early months of the year. The number of jobs declined in electrical equipment and, not unexpectedly, also in those industries that produce goods used by the construction industry.

Following a strong job gain in June, employment in services failed to increase in July. Health services, which had added an average of 50,000 jobs each month in the first half of the year, expanded by only half that amount in July.

Many services industries, including business services, lost jobs. As we have often discussed, the services industry has been the major engine of job growth during the current economic expansion. But that engine seems to have sputtered in July.

The largest over-the-month decline was in government, where 160,000 temporary workers associated with the decennial census completed their assignments. We should be seeing the end of the large movements resulting from census activity within the next few months.
In summary, some deterioration of the employment situation occurred in July. Employment in construction and manufacturing continued to weaken.
With the lack of growth in the private service-producing sector, there was no offset to those losses. Unemployment rose, especially for teenagers, but also for adult workers.

Mr. Chairman, I have included as an addendum to my statement an outline of the system that we are setting up within the Bureau of Labor Statistics to attempt to measure over the coming months and years the effect or possible effect or impact on the employment situation of possible declines in defense expenditures in the economy. There are no data in that discussion but, because it is our custom to discuss with this committee our planned activities, I thought it would be of use to you.

We would be glad to try to answer any questions.
Representative Hamilton. All right. Thank you very much.
[The addendum and table attached to Mrs. Norwood's statement, together with the Employment Situation press release, follow:]

ADDENDUM TO STATEMENT OF HON. JANET L. NORWOOD

Defense-related employment
One area in which there is increasing interest is the potential impact of possible declines in defense expenditures on the economy, and I am often asked what we at the Bureau of Labor Statistics can do to monitor these changes. Although it is still too early to provide any estimates, I would like briefly to review with the Committee our plans for monitoring these developments in the future.

We have several efforts under way. Within our business survey, we have developed a special series to measure employment changes in six industries which rely on defense expenditures for a majority of their output. These industries currently employ about 1.5 million workers. Although this series does not provide a comprenensive or exact measure of jobs attributable to defense spending, it can be useful in analysis of the issue. In addition, information from our Mass Layoff Statistics program can help to identify job losses that can be attributed to defensedependent industries. We are also developing special codes for both our large payroll and our mass layoff surveys that will enable business respondents to identify defense-related changes in employment at the business establishment level.

We believe that the impact of defense cutbacks on employment and unemployment is likely to be far more pronounced at the local than at the national level. Therefore, we are identifying local areas which have significant amounts of defense-related employment, by using the reports on industry employment and wages filed with the unemployment insurance system. We will then review the unemployment situation in those areas with the data from our Local Area Unemployment Statistics program. Finally, we are working with the Department of Defense to develop additional avenues for monitoring the impact of defense cutbacks on the job market.
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f) I-11 gethod (official eethod before 1980). The eethod for computation of the official procedure fo ued exetpt chat the seriet are pot entended with ARIM eodels and the factors are progected la 12 -month ioterrale. The otandard E-II progran is used to perform the cenconel edjustert.

Ethode of Adyusteent: Tbe I-11 ARIMA method vas developed at statietice canada by she Fonoonl Adjutcent and Itmes serles Staff under the direction of Estela bee Dagus. The Ethod is described in Jre X-11 AKIMA Seasonal Adtusteent Mathod, by Estela Bee Dagur, Scatietics Canada Catalogue Bo. 12-564E, February 1980.

The standard $x-11$ eethod is deseribed in X-11 Variane of the Census Method II Seasona: Aducteent Piograz: by Jultus Shiskin, Allan Young and Johe Muggrave (Technical Paper 10. 15, Wreau of the Cenaus, 1967).
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THE EMPLOMMENT SITUATION: JULY 1990

Employment declined in July and unemployment rose, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor reported today. The civilian worker. unemployment rate increased from June's 5.2 percent to 5.5 percent.

Nonfarm payroill employment, as measured by the survey of business establishments, fell by 220,000 in July. About 175,000 of this decline was in Federal government employment, largely among temporary workers hired to conduct the decennial census. Total civilian erployment, as measured by the survey of households, fell by more than 400,000 .

Onemployment (Household Survey Data)
The namber of unerployed persons increased by 370,000 in July to a seasonally adjusted level of 6.8 million . The civilian worker unerployment rate rose 0.3 percentage point to 5.5 -percent. Much of July's increase .occurred among teenagers, although unemployment was up for other worker groups as well. (See table A-2.)

The jobless rate for 16 -to-19-year-olds rose 2.2 percentage points to 16.3 percent in July, despite a relatively small influx of teens into the summer job market. The jobless rate for adult men, at 4.9 percent, was half a percentage point above a year earlier. In contrast; the rate for adult women, although up slightly in July to 4.7 percent, was in line with the rates that have generally prevailed since late 1988. The unemployment rate for whites was little changed at 4.6 percent, while the rate for blacks rose to 11.3 percent. Unemployment among Hispanics, which had fallen in June, increased to 7.9 percent of their labor force. (See tables A-2 and A-3.)

The great majority of the persons added to unemployment in July were either reentering the labor force or seeking their first jobs. There was no significant increase in the number of unemployed who had lost a job. (See table A-8.)

Civilian Employment and the Labor Force (Household Survey Data)
Following little movenent from March through June, total civilian employment showed a decline of $\mathbf{4 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ in July to a seasonally adjusted

Table A. Major indicators of labor market activity, seasonally adjusted

level of 118.0 million. The July employment level was only 450,000 above its level of a year earlier. The proportion of the population holding jobs declined three-tenths of a percentage point over the month to 62.7 percent. (See table A-2.)

The civilian labor force was unchanged in July at 124.8 million , seasonally adjusted. Since July 1989, the labor force has grown by only 660,000 . In contrast, over the prior 5 years, July-to-July labor force growth averaged about 2 million.

The recent slowdown in labor force growth largely reflects declines in the youth population and in their labor force participation rates. The population of 16 -to- 24 -year-olds declined by more than 600,000 over the year. Also, the proportion of these youths in the labor force, at 66.6 percent in July, was 2.3 percentage points below a year earlier and the lowest since 1983. (See table A-2.)

## Industry Payroll Employment (Establishment Survey Data)

Total nonfarm payroll employment declined by 220,000 in July, after seasonal adjustment, to a level of 110.7 million. This large decrease stemmed mostly from the reduction of an estimated 160,000 in the number of temporary census workers, as reductions in data collection began. (See table B-1.)

Private sector employment, which has shown weakness in recent months, also edged down in July, mostly in the construction industry. Construction employment fell by 50,000, after seasonal adjustment, and has declined by more than 100,000 since last fall.

Manufacturing employment continued to trend dowward in July, though at a slower pace than in recent months. July losses were essentially limited to electrical equipment and the industries that produce materials used in construction. Somewhat offsetting this were increases in fabricated metals and several of the nondurable goods industries, including textiles. The number of factory jobs has declined by 325,000 since March 1989.

In the service-producing sector, the number of govermment enployees fell by 175,000 in July, reflecting the winding down of decennial census work. Services-industry employment was about unchanged overall in July. Within services, however, the rapidly expanding health services industry posted only a moderate gain of 25,000 workers. Retail trade added 20,000 jobs, about equal to the average monthly growth this year. Employment in wholesale trade; finance, insurance, and real estate; and transportation and public utilities was little changed in July. Growth in these four industries has been considerably less thus far this year than during most of the expansion of the 1980 s .

## Weekly Hours (Establishnent Survey Data)

The average workweek of production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls was unchanged in July at 34.7 hours, seasonally adjusted. In manufacturing, the workweek declined by 0.1 hour to 40.9 hours, and manufacturing overtime also fell 0.1 hour to 3.7 hours. (See table B-2.)

The index of aggregate weekly hours of private production or nonsupervisory workers was about unchanged in July at 130.8 (1977=100), after seasonal adjustment. This index has been relatively flat thus far in 1990. The index for manufacturing was unchanged at 94.5, and the construction index fell 3.4 percent to 138.0. (See table B-5.)

## Hourly and Weekly Earnings (Establishment Survey Data)

Both average hourly and weekly earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls edged up 0.6 percent in July, seasonally adjusted. Prior to seasonal adjustment, average hourly earnings increased 4 cents to $\$ 10.02$, and average weekly earnings increased $\$ 2.40$ to $\$ 350.70$. Over the year, average hourly earnings rose 4.0 percent and average weekly earnings were up 3.8 percent. (See tables B-3 and B-4.)

## Revisions in Establishment Survey Data

With the release of data for August 1990, national estimates of nonfarm payroll employment, hours, and earnings will be revised to incorporate March 1989 benchmark levels, the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification structure, and updated seasonal adjustment factors. In addition, all constant dollar and other 1977-based series will be rebased to $1982=100$.

The Employment Situation for August 1990 will be released on Friday, September 7, at 8:30 A.M. (EOT).

## Explanatory Note

This news release presents statistics from two major surveys, the Current Poputation Survey (household survey) and the Current Employment Statistics Survey (establishment survey). The houschold survey provides the information on the labor force, total employment, and upemployment that appears in the A tables, marked HOUSEHOLD DATA. It is a sample survey of about 60,000 households that is conducted by the Bureau of the Census with most of the findings analyzed and published by the Bureau of Lebor Statistics (BLS).
The establishment survey provides the information on the employment, hours, and earnings of workers on nonagricultural payrolls that appears in the B tables, marked ESTABLISHMENT DATA. This information is collected from payroll records by ble in cooperation with State agencies. The sample includes over 300,000 establistiments employing over 38 million people.

For both surveys, the data for a given month are actually collected for and relate to a particular week. In the household survey, unless otherwise indicated, it is the calendar week that contains the 12th day of the month, which is called the survey week. In the establishment survey, the reference week is the pay period including the 12 th, which may or may not correspond directly to the calendar week.
The dath in this release are affected by a number of technical factors, inchuding definitions, survey differences, seasonal adjustments, and the inevitable variance in results between a survey of a sample and a census of the entire population. Each of these factors is explained below.

## Coverage, doflalitiona; and differences between surveys

The sample households in the household survey are selected so as to reflect the emtire civilian noninstitutional population 16 years of age and older. Each person in a household is clusifired as employed, unemployed, or not in the tabor force. Those who hold more than one job are classified according to the job at which they worked the most hours.

- People are ciassified as employed if they did any work at all as paid civilians; worked in their own business or profession or on their own farm; or worked 15 hours or more in an enterprise operated by a member of their family, whether they were peid or not. People are also counted as employed if they were on unpaid leave because of illness, bad weather, disputes between labor and mamagement, or personal reasons. Members of the Armed Forces stationed in the United States are also inctoded in the employed total.
People are clasified as unemployed, regardless of their elipibility for unemployment benefits or public assistance, if they meet all of the following criteria: They had no employmem during the survey week; they were available for work at
that time; and they made specific effors to find employment sometime during the prior 4 weeks. Persons laid off from their former jobs and awaiting recall and those expecting to report to a job within 30 days need not be looking for work to be counted as unemployed.
The labor force equals the sum of the number employed and the number unemployed. The unemployment rate is the percentage of unemployed people in the labor force (civilian plus the resident Armed Forces). Table A-5 presents a special grouping of seven measures of unemployment based on varying definitions of unemployment and the labor force. The definitions are provided in the table. The most restrictive definition yields $\mathrm{U}-1$ and the most comprehensive yields $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{T}$. The overall unemployment rate is U - 5 a , white U -Sb represents the same measure with a civilian labor force base.
Unlike the household survey, the establishment survey only counts wage and salary employees whose names appear on the payroll records of nonagricultural firms. As a result, there are many differences between the two surveys, among which are the following:
- The bousehold burvey, ahhough based on a smatler sample, reflects a larser segment of the population; the esuablishment survey excluxdes agricutiture, the selfemployed, unpaid family workers, privile housetold workers. and members of the resident Armed Forees:
- The household survey inctudes people on unpaid leave amone the employed; the establishment survey does not;
- The housebold survey is bimited to those 16 yeari of age and older; the establishment survey is not limited by age;
- The household survey has no duplication of individuak, because each individual is cosinted only onces in the establishment survey, employeses worting at more than one job or otherwise appearing on more chan one payroll would be counted seperatety for each appearance.
Other differences between the two surveys are described in "Comparing Employment Estimates from Household and Payroll Surveys," which may be obtained from the BLS upon request.


## Seasonal adjustment

Over the course of a year, the size of the Nation's labor force and the levels of employment and unemployment undergo sharp fluctuations due to such seasonal events as changes in weather; reduced or expanded production, harvests, major holidays, and the opening and closing of schools. For example, the labor force increases by a large number each June, when schools close and many young people enter the job market. The effect of such seasonal variation can be very large; over the course of a year, for example, seasonality may account for as much as 95 percent of the month-to-month changes in unemployment.

Because these seasonal events follow a more or less regular pattern each year, their influence on statistical trends can be eliminated by adjusting the statistics from month to month. These adjustments make nonseasonal developments, such as deelines in economic activity or increases in the participation of women in the labor force, easier to spot. To return to the school's-out example, the large number of people entering the labor force each June is likely to obscure any other changes that have taken place since May, making it difficutt to determine if the level of economic activity has risen or declined. However, because the effect of students finishing school in previous years is known, the statistics for the current year can be adjusted to allow for a comparable change. Insofar as the seasonal adjustment is made correctly, the adjusted figure provides a more useful tool with which to analyze changes in economic activity.
Measures of labor force, employment, and unemployment contain components such as age and sex. Statistics for all employees, production workers. average weekly hours, and average hourly earnings include components based on the employer's industry. All these statistics can be seasonally adjusted either by adjusting the total or by adjusting each of the components and combining them. The second procedure usually yields more accurate information and is therefore followed by BI S . For example, the seasonally adjusted figure for the labor force is the sum of eight seasonally adjusted civilian employment components, plus the residens Armed Forces total (not adjusted for seasonality), and four seasonally adjusted unemployment components; the total for unemployment is the sum of the four unemployment components; and the overall unemployment rate is derived by dividing the resulting estimate of total unemployment by the eximate of the labor force.
The numerical factors used to make the seasonal adjustments are recalculated regularly. For the household survey, the factors are calculated for the January-June period and again for the July-December period. For the establishmemt survey. updated factors for seasonal adjustment are calculated for 6 months. along with the introduction of new benctumarks, which are discussed at the end of the next section, and again with the release of data for October. In both surveys, revisions to data published over the previous 5 years are made once a year.

## Sampling varlability

Statistics based on the houschold and extablishmem surveys are subject to sampling error, that is, the estimate of the number of people employed and the other extimates drawn from these surveys probably differ from the figures that would be obtained from a complete census, even if the same questionnaires and procedures were used. In the household survey, the amount of the differences can be expressed in terms of standard errors. The numerical value of a slandard error depends upon the size of the sample, the results of the survey, and other factors. However, the numerical value is always such that the chances are approximately 68 out of 100 that an estimate based on the sample will differ by no more than the standard error
from the resulis of a complete census. The chances are approximately 90 out of 100 that an estimate based on the sample will differ by no more than 1.6 times the standard error from the results of a complete census. At approximately the 90 -percent level of confidence-the confidence timits used by als in its analyses-the error for the monthly change in total employment is on the order of plus or minus 358.000: for total unemployment it is 224.000: and, for the overall unemployment rate, it is 0.19 percentage point. These figures do not mean that the sample results are off by these magnitudes but, rather, that the chances are approximately 90 out of 100 that the "rrue" level or rate would not be expected to differ from the estimates by more than these amounts.
Sampling errors for monthly surveys are reduced when the data are cumulated for several months, such as quarterly or annually. Also. as a general rule, the smaller the estimate, the larger the sampling error. Therefore, relatively speaking, the estimate of the size of the labor force is subject to less error than is the estimate of the number unemployed. And, among the unemployed. the sampling error for the jobless rate of adult men, for example, is much smaller than is the error for the johless rate of teenagers. Specifically, the error on monthly change in the jobless rate for men is 25 percentage point; for teenagers. it is $\mathbf{t} 29$ percentage points.
In the establishment survey, estimates for the $\mathbf{2}$ most current months are based on incomplete returns; for this reason, these estimates are labeled preliminary in the tables. When all the returns in the sample have been received, the estimates are revised. In other words, data for the month of September are published in preliminary form in October and November and in final form in December. To remove errors that build up over time, a comprehensive count of the employed is conducted each year. The results of this survey are used to establish neu benchmarks-comprehensive counts of employment-against which month-to-month changes can be measured. The new benchmarks also incorporate changes in the classification of industries and allow for the formation of new extablishments.

## Additional statistics and other Information

In order to provide a broad view of the Nation's employment situation, bas regularly publishes a wide variety of data in this news release. More comprehensive statistics are contained in Employment and Earnings, published each month by BLS. It is available for $\mathbf{\$ 8 . 5 0}$ per issue or $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 . 0 0}$ per year from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 20204. A check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Docurrents must accompany all orders.
Emplovment and Earnings also provides approximations of the standard errors for the household survey data published in this release. For unemployment and other labor force categories, the standard errors appear in tables B through J of its "Explanatory Notes." Measures of the reliability of the data drawn from the establishment survey and the actual amounis of revision due to benchmark adjustments are provided in tables $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{P}$, and Q of that publication.


| Employment strus and max | Mot erasornaly milusied |  |  | Seasormbly adurated' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nuty } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { tudy } \\ 1900 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { hdy } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Mar. $1990$ | Avo. $1090$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dity } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monirstitationul poputsion' | $\begin{array}{r} 188,149 \\ 127,904 \\ 88.0 \end{array}$ | 189,607 | 189,763 | 188, 149 | 189,198 | 189.326 | 109.467 | 189,607 | 188,783+26.394 |
|  |  | 127,037 | 128,527 | 125,679 | 128,498 | 126,543 | 128,643 | 126,486 |  |
| Perticipation ratre |  | 67.5 | ${ }_{67.7}$ | 66.8 | 68.9 | 66.8 | 66.8 | 65.7 | ${ }^{65.6}$ |
|  | 127,148 | 121,235 | 121,581 | 118,102 | 120,003 | 149.773 | 119,898 | 120.019 | 119.580 |
|  |  | 63.91.630 | 64.11.62718 | (6333 | $\begin{array}{r} 60.4 \\ 1,669 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.3 \\ 1.657 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.3 \\ 1.639 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.3 \\ 1,630 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,627 |
|  | 1,686 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 119.502 \\ 3.713 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 19,605 \\ 3,714 \end{array}$ | 119.054 | 117.436 | 118,334 | $\begin{array}{r} 118,116 \\ 3,133 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,639 \\ 118,350 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,630 \\ 18,389 \end{array}$ | 117.053 |
|  |  |  | 1,58 <br> 116.391 | 1.8217114.2198.87 | 3.200115.133 |  | 3,305115,045 |  | ( $\begin{array}{r}3,085 \\ 114,887\end{array}$ |
|  | 115,7896.736 | 175,891 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,133 \\ 114,983 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6,702 | 6,945 | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \\ 62,470 \end{array}$ | 6.495 | 6,770 | 6,653 | 6.447 | 6,814 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,3 \\ 00,245 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4 \\ 61,237 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \\ 62.700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.3 \\ 62,789 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.3 \\ 62.624 \end{array}$ | 63.141 | 53.463, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mome 16 yeme and own |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{9 0 , 3 1 5} \\ 71,072 \\ 78.7 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{91,087}$ | 91,189 | 90,315 | 20.674 | 90,942 | ${ }^{91,014}$ | ${ }^{91,097}$ | 91.15860,544 |
|  |  | 70.767 | 71.158 | 89.386 | 69.712 | 69,779 | 89,737 |  |  |
| Participation rata' |  | ${ }^{67,174}$ | 78.1 <br> 67.509 | $\begin{array}{r}76.8 \\ \hline 65.939\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}76.7 \\ \hline 68.208\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 66,043 } \\ \hline 6.7\end{array}$ | 76.686.058 | 76.486.000 | 78.385.740 |
| Totel amplowat | 67,784 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employmert-poputation ratio' | 75.9 | 73.7 | 74.0 | 73.0 | 72.9 | 72.6 | 1,472 | 72.5 | 72.1 |
| Fesident Ammet Forcee .-.-..................... | 86,265 | $\begin{array}{r}1,685 \\ \hline 65,709\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 88,462 } \\ \hline 8.48 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,499 | 1,49704.711 | 1,499 |  | 1,46584.535 | - $\begin{array}{r}1,462 \\ 84.278\end{array}$ |
| Civiler mmployed ......................................... |  |  |  | 64,40 |  | 64,544 | 64.586 |  |  |
| Unemptoyed .......... | $\begin{array}{r} 3,300 \\ 4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.593 \\ 5.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.650 \\ 5.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,427 \\ 4.9 \end{array}$ | 1,5055.0 | $\begin{array}{r}3.735 \\ 5.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3.6795.3 | 3.5995.2 | 3.8045.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wonmen, te mere end own |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marinestiutionst paputation' | 87.834 | 88.520 | 06,595 | $\begin{aligned} & 97.834 \\ & 56.313 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98,324 \\ & 56,785 \end{aligned}$ | 80.38356.764 | 98,453 56,808 | 98,520 <br> 68.867 | 09,59556,849 |
| Lubor lorow' .-. | 56.83258.1 | 57.17058.0 | 57,38858.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pastectpation ratre'. |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}56.313 \\ 57.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 56.783 57.8 59,70. | 537.7 | ${ }_{5}^{57.8}$ | 57.7 | 57.7 |
| Toterl employex' -..- | $\begin{array}{r}56,404 \\ 54.6 \\ \hline 5.65\end{array}$ | 54,06454.9 | 54,072 | 53,18354.3 | 53,79554.7 | 53.72954.6 | $\begin{array}{r}53,94 . \\ 54.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 54,019 | 53,839 |
| Employment-population rabion. |  |  | 54.8 |  |  |  |  | 10.8 | ${ }_{185}$ |
| Fraeiderd Armed foross ... | 16753,237 | 53,996 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 53,907 } \\ \hline 185\end{array}$ | [16752.996 | 17253,623 | 15853,571 | 53.784 | 16558,854 | 16553,674 |
| Cwilen empleyed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed | $\begin{array}{r} 3,420 \\ 6.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.109 \\ 5.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,296 \\ 5.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3,150 \\ \mathbf{5} 6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.850$\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | 3,0845.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,975 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ | 2.8485.0 | 3,0405.3 |
| Unerppoymera raio .......................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  and maponely eckrated courros. <br> Incurdea meribers of the Armed Forcas stationed in the United <br> * Labor force as a percent of the norimatitutionsi population. <br> 4 Total employnert as a percert of the noninstithtional population <br> - Unemployment es a percent of the labor force (inchuling the resident Aumed Forces). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





mousermot data

prumbers in thouneral

|  | Met enmenumy melored |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Luty } \\ & 1509 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1000}^{1000}$ | Hepo | 1289 | $1890$ | Apr. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hune } \\ & \text { toen } \end{aligned}$ | dily |
| mepame arnem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuman norinsturdonal poputiton. | $\begin{gathered} 1,813 \\ 0,850 \\ 602 \\ 0,707 \\ 60.0 \\ 851 \\ 6.0 \end{gathered}$ | 14,2770,75308.40,0030.5600.7.2 | 14.3170.88068.70.0326817608.1 | 13.4130.8038.418.57982182488.8 | 14,1500.56367.60.8162.47847.7 |  |  | 14.2788.85187.48.896286847.1 |  |
| OMmenture fores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Putabetion rite uru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emploved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uneriptoyed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unomioponert fite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  cyaned condiral <br> poputation. <br> NOTE: Doted tor the abow race and Meapenticarigin groupa wal not <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


on travanday

| Cangory | mot mimonely acmutad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ming | $\begin{aligned} & \text { teve } \\ & 12000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { und } \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $4010$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{mope} \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. | $100$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 000 \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $1$ |
| cranacterasic | 41,258 <br> 20.561 <br> 6.494 | $\begin{array}{r} 110.005 \\ 40,757 \\ 20.587 \\ 6883 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110,954 \\ 40.707 \\ 20.311 \\ 8.354 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,438 \\ 41,067 \\ 29,620 \\ 8,446 \end{array}$ | 118,33440,090 29.614 8.29t | $\begin{array}{r} 118.118 \\ 40,720 \\ 20.742 \\ 0.325 \end{array}$ | 118.85040.501 30,046 6,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 118,300 \\ 40,554 \\ 29,856 \\ 0,407 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,963 \\ 40,845 \\ 29,009 \\ 6,800 \end{array}$ |
| Cumion eriployed, 16 mars and own |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memried mimh tpousto preemerd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merred worven spocet prement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wormen who maintan tariliee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harch mpustiny and clate of momers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aptarame: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whae and mary workers | 1,802 | 1.803 | 1,054 | 1,805 | 1,020 | 1,621 | 1.720 | 1,005 | 1,8027 |
| 8 mb -9mplopec workers | 1.898 | 1,003 | 1,500 | 1.424 | 1.457 | 1.418 | 1.500 | 1.807 | 1.37 |
| Unpwid kinty morkits | 175 | 146 | 132 | 127 | 115 | 112 | 104 | 108 | $0^{0}$ |
| Nonagriculuma hatusties: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wepe end matery workern | t03089 | 108888 | 107,323 | 105,363 | 108,029 | 108,038 | 108,178 | 105,088 | 108,888 |
| Cownoment | 18, | 17,300 | 17,183 00,155 | 17.501 87.052 | 17.724 88.306 | 17,816 08,12 | 18,113 88.063 | 17.683 | 17.76 68.097 |
| Privty naturat | ${ }^{6981} 1$ | 10,483 | -0,155 | ${ }^{87} 1052$ | ${ }^{\text {68, } 306}$ | ${ }^{60,122}$ | ${ }^{88,063}$ | ${ }^{68,121}$ | 88.007 |
| Pinces houstralde | 1,207 | 1.143 | 1.093 | 1,094 | , 1003 | ${ }_{07} 067$ | ${ }^{67} 121$ | ${ }^{1.050}$ | 07,108 |
| Other maution | 83,774 | 80,320 | 89,062 | 06,750 | ${ }_{07} 302$ | 07.165 | 67.122 | 07,005 | 07,108 8.709 |
| Soff-miployed workers. | 8.675 | 0,794 | a,73 | 8,002 | 8.852 | 8.716 | 6,763 | 6.759 | 3,709 |
| Unpud lamily morkers | 245 | 235 | 284 | 248 | 281 | 250 | 254 | 220 | 200 |
| Merasons at wonm pant taxe' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A1. incustries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pet time for econorte remens | 5,500 | 5,519 | 5.610 | 4.773 | 5.004 | 4,87t | 4,831 | \$,013 | 4,070 |
| Slack wook | 2.290 | 2.402 | 2.573 | 2.301 | 2478 | 2407 | 2,439 | 2480 | 2.585 |
| Cound onty find perteme work ... | 2783 | 2.069 | 2,606 | 2.172 | 2.127 | 2.138 | 2.052 | 2.224 | 2,070 |
| Vabuntary pert ixie ..- | 12.882 | 13,431 | 12,062 | 15,577 | t5,464 | 15,183 | 15,592 | 15,123 | 15,311 |
| Norsagrioutumal induatres: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peot time for economic rasaions | 5,109 | 5.207 | 5.355 | 4.583 | 4.747 | 4.630 | 4.068 | 4.734 | 4.710 |
| Slact work | 2.161 | 2.204 | 2.413 | 2184 | 2.295 | 2218 | 2.317 | 2.284 | 2.408 |
| Coukd onty find pert-irne work. | 2.647 | 2.505 | 2.563 | 2.104 | 2.050 | 2.006 | 2.004 | 2141 | 2.048 |
| Vountary pert ime | 12.419 | 12.888 | 12.238 | 15.138 | 14,075 | 44.804 | 15.084 | 14,687 | 14.922 |

[^5]hOUSEHOLD DATA


| (Percentif |
| :--- |

NA. $=$ not avaiable.


| Catmony | rumber of undipioyed perions in thousands) |  |  | Unemploymume ratal ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tane } \\ & \text { 1sen } \end{aligned}$ | $1000$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { bey } \\ \text { 1989 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & \mathbf{1 0 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | Apr. $1990$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & \text { 10900 } \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{chmex}_{1000}$ | Nay $1990$ |
| CHARACTERISTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totad, 16 yeers and over. | $\mathbf{4}, 577$ <br> 3,427 | 6,4473,590 | 6,814 | 5.35.0 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 53 | 5.3 | 5.55.6 |
| Ment it yeren and over |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.4 |  |  |
| Ment 20 years and ovtr -......................... | 2,821 | 3.019 | 3.148 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Wornen, 16 yesrs and aver ....................... | 3.150$\mathbf{2 . 5 6 8}$ | 2,8482,398 | 3,010 <br> $\mathbf{2}, 492$ | 5.64.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \\ & 4.8 \end{aligned}$ | 5.2 | 5.0 |  |
| Wornen, 20 yosra mad over. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Both sexes, 16 to 19 yedrt ............................................. | +1.188 | 1.030 | 1.174 | 15.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ 14.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ 14.7 \end{array}$ | 15.5 | 14.1 | 16.3 |
| Maried men, epouse prasent..... | 1.250 | 1,323 | 1,393 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 32 | 3.3 |
| Married worten apouse presemh ..................................... | $\begin{array}{r}1.168 \\ \hline 596\end{array}$ | 1,136 | 1,095 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.58.5 |
| Wornen who maimain fammee ..............-......................... |  | 562 | 594 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 8.0 |  |
| Fur-tine motkers ... | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5}, 251 \\ & 1,324 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,120 \\ & 1,357 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,349 \\ & \mathbf{4}, 433 \end{aligned}$ | 5.070 | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ 7.2 \\ 5.9 \end{gathered}$ | 8.18.18.2 | 4.97.48.0 |  | 8.16.0 |
| Peptume workers .... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latior force time losi' .....-.....-.............................. | - | - |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| moustry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonsugicuthas private wrage and satary workert ...--.......... | 4,899 | 4,813 | 5,171 | 5.4 | 5.56.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \\ & 6.0 \end{aligned}$ | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.5 |
| Goocteproducing industims ...-.................................... | 1,823 |  | 1,918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mining --..o. |  | 28 | 30 | 5.8 | 5.910.0 | 4.610.6 | 3.311.5 | 3.6 | 10.4 |
| Conativetion ............................................................. | 657 | 1.070 | 1,236 | 5.1 |  |  |  | 8.7 |  |
|  | 1.124 |  |  |  | 5.55.3 | 5.8 | 5.45.5 | 4.9 | 5.75.8 |
| Durable gooch .-..................wn................................ | 817 | 829 | ${ }^{723}$ | 4.7 |  |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Nondurablo goods | 507 | 441 | 512 | 5.6 | 5.9 | ${ }_{5}^{6.3}$ | 5.0 | 5.0 50 | 5.7 |
|  | 3.176 | 3.209 | 3.183 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 |  | 50 | 5.0 |
| Transportation and pubilc utitities ...............................- | 287 | 194 | 234 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| Whotesaba and rataid trade ......................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 1,441 \\ & 1,468 \end{aligned}$ | 1,461$\mathbf{1 , 5 7 4}$ | 1.475 <br> 1.534 | 6.1 | 8.2 | 4.2 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 6.04.5 |
| Friance end usivice inctustries ....................................... |  |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |
| Govermment workers ................. | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 164 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 530 \\ & 188 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 511 \\ & 192 \end{aligned}$ | 2.88.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 10.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ \mathbf{1 1 . 0} \end{array}$ | 2.57.9 | re.9 | 2.810.6 |
| Agricuthral wigh and setary workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6]Tyblo A-7. Duration of unamployment

| Weeks of unempropmert | Mos mextoraily makuted |  |  | Sestonaly eapizad |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hty } \\ & 1889 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bine } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sey } \\ & \text { seso } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 409 \\ & 1089 \end{aligned}$ | Mers: | $1980$ | $1950$ | $1990$ | $1990$ |
| DURATIOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less dran 5 weeks. | 3.338 | 3.631 | 3292 | 3.156 | 3.194 | 3.204 | 3.028 | 3040 | 3.120 |
| 5 to 14 weeks | 2.070 | 1.731 | 2.268 | 1.85 | 2.049 | 2.175 | 2.238 | 2.049 | 2159 |
| 15 wecks ard owrr .-.............................. | \%.328 | \$.340 | 1.394 | 1.461 | 1333 | 1,388 | 1.374 | 1.408 | 1.513 |
|  | 732 | 732 | 695 | 898 | 702 | 697 | 784 | 763 | 809 |
|  | 616 | 628 | 689 | 823 | 031 | 68 | 610 | 643 | 708 |
| Averape (freens duration, in woeks......................... | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
|  | 5.1 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
| Peacent destribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total unemptoyed | 100.0 | 1000 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Lesse tran 5 menks | 495 | 542 | 47.4 | 47.8 | 48.8 | 47.4 | 45.6 | 48.8 | 45.8 |
| 5 to 14 mecks .-_-_ _-_ | 30.7 | 25.8 | 32.7 | 20.9 | 31.1 | 32.2 | 30.7 | 31.5 | 31.8 |
|  | 19.7 | 20.0 | 19.9 | 22.2 | 20.3 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 21.6 | 22.3 |
| 15 to 23 wooks | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 12.7 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 11.9 |
| 27 woeks ant over ............................................. | 9.1 | 9.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 10.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.4 |





| 8 Sux and ase | unampor of |  |  | Unemploymment rater |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 等 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tune } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $12000$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { taty } \\ \text { iens }}}{ }$ | 1tex | Apr. 190 | $\max _{18 y}$ | ${ }_{1090}$ | 20900 |
| Toten, 16 yepra mid own | 6.577 | 8.447 | 2814 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 3.5 |
| 10 to 24 yeers | 2.410 | 2.171 | 2316 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 112 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 110 |
| 18610 yers | 1,183 | 1,030 | 1,174 | 15.1 | 14.4 | 14.7 | 15.5 | 14.1 | 10.3 |
| 18 to 17 meme | 530 | 442 | 457 | 17.7 | 12.9 | 17.4 | 20.9 | 18.1 | 17.4 |
| 18 to 19 pmes | 630 | 612 | 003 | 13.1 | 120 | 13.0 | 128 | 13.4 | 15.2 |
| 20 to 24 y yers | 1231 | 1,141 | 1.142 | 46 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 0.3 |
| 25 ymaty and ove- | 4.108 | 4.288 | 4.456 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 42 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.3 |
| 251084 yent | 3,688 | 3.850 | 3.658 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 43 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| \$5 ymert and ovir | 480 | 433 | 494 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 28 | 3.2 |
|  | 3,427 | 3.509 | 3,804 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 8.4 | 5.3 | 3.8 |
| 16 to 20 yeme. | 1,233 | 1.230 | 176 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 118 | 112 | 11.1 | 11.6 |
| 16 bo 18 yeme | 000 | 500 | -56 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 15.4 | 17.5 |
| 16 to 17 ymat | 270 | 228 | 249 | 17.8 | t80 | 18.1 | 20.8 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| 10 io to 18 yems | 309 | 350 | 307 | t2. 1 | 19.8 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 163 |
| 20 to 24 yere | 657 | 653 | 683 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 0. | 0.6 |
| 25 yert und 0 ovr - - .i. | 2.132 | 2343 | 2,490 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.4 |
| 25 no 54 yeers | 1.858 | 2088 | 2,173 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| 55 yene end over ............... | 278 | 275 | 321 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 3.15 | 3.8 |
| Wormen it ymer and over | 3.150 | 2846 | 3.010 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| $16.5144^{4085}$ | 1,150 | ccse | 1.037 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 40.4 |
| 16 to 19 yors | 502 | 450 | 514 | 15.5 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 14.8 | 12.8 | 14.8 |
| 10 to 17 Mamb | 281 | 214 | 200 | 17.6 | 16.9 | 18.7 | 19.4 | 159 | 12.4 |
| 10 to 10 7006 … | 329 | 282 | 308 | 14.2 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.2 | ${ }^{11.9}$ | 13.8 |
| 201024 ymers....................--3. | 574 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 519 | 0.3 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 8.0 |
|  | 1.074 | 1,823 | 1,056 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
| 25 to 54 ytrri | 1,771 | 1,768 | 1,785 | 1.5 3.1 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
|  | 20. | 150 | 17 | 1. | 3. | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2. | 20 |

[^7]

| Employment ateris | Mot oremonity apuatad |  |  | Acesorily mipueat |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1809$ | Hene | then | $1$ | $\mathrm{Mer}_{1980}$ | Apr. | $\operatorname{tang}_{\text {tre }}$ | $\operatorname{lume}_{1090}$ | Nuy |
|  | 27,082 | 27,81217,770 | 27,060 | 27,002 | 27,453 | 27,400 | 27,550 | 27,81217,540 | 27,000 |
|  |  |  | 17.970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pertictoption rate .............. | 68.8 16.287 | 6, 4 | 64.4 | 050 | 64.6 | 64.3 | 64.1 | 02.5 | 63.1 |
| Enployd ....) --............................................ |  | 45, 867 | 18,040 | 15,805 | 18,081 | 16,075 | 16.021 | 15,063 | 15,455 |
| Employmmen-poputation raiso | 00.1 | 57.8 | 50.0 | 30.7 | 50.5 | 50.5 | 50.1 | 575 | 1,76310.310.280 |
| Unemployed - ............... | $\begin{aligned} & 1,838 \\ & 10.1 \\ & 0.0977 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,819 \\ & 102 \\ & 1083 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.820 \\ & 10.7 \\ & 9.690 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.697 \\ & 9.400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.067 \\ & 0.04 \\ & 9.728 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.613 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 9.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,040 \\ 0.3 \\ 0,008 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.057 \\ 0.4 \\ 10,072 \end{array}$ |  |
| Unomploymera ris ............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wet in lator toree. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  nuturad cokurma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Ocrupretion | Cutan erratoyed |  | Unumployed |  | Unerseormera ras |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ney } \\ & 18909 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Layy } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { risy } \\ & \text { 1909 } \end{aligned}$ | 1890 | $\underset{1809}{\substack{\text { ung }}}$ |  |
| Totail 16 years end over | 110,502 | 118,854 | 6.738 | 6.945 | 5.3 | 5.5 |
| Maraperied end provesiorad epecatiy - | 30.068 | 30.468 | 685 | 735 | 22 | 24 |
| Exaciuvo, diniristrave, and maraperiad | 15.169 | 15.097 | 330 | 345 | 22 | 22 |
| Proveseiony epecitly ......- - - - | 14,500 | 15,360 | 330 | 350 | 22 | 2.5 |
|  | 30,582 | 35456 | 1,558 | 2.598 | 4.1 | 42 |
| Textricienit end retated tuppoct -- | 3,797 | $3 \mathrm{Em4}$ | 78 |  |  |  |
| Selos occupations .-.......- | 14.181 10.574 | 14.408 16.457 | 8 | ${ }^{683}$ | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Adruinistrative support inchuting dencas --u. | 18.574 | 18.457 |  | 817 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Service ocespasory -............................................................................- | 46.195 | 18.400 | 1.135 | 1.130 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
|  | ${ }_{2} 942$ | ${ }^{831}$ | ${ }^{59}$ | 50 | 5.9 |  |
| Protective mervice | 2013 | 2.110 | 78 | 63 | 3.8 | 29 |
| Service, except prwate housetiotd and protective | 13.230 | 13,459 | 8,001 | 1,023 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| Precision production, crath and repein . | 14,059 | 14,096 | 503 | 727 | 4.0 | 4.8 |
| Mecharicica end repainern | 4,452 | 4.809 | 106 | 173 | 2.4 | 3.8 |
| Construction rrades. | 5,500 | 5.306 | 347 129 | 369 | 3.9 3.0 | 4.3 |
| Other precision procuction, crath, and fepst ...-..---- | 4,104 | 4,161 | 129 | 180 | 3.0 | 4.3 |
| Operatiors, turication, and tabores | 18.488 | 18.180 | 1.620 | 1.487 | 8.1 | 1.6 |
| Mastino cperators, mesembiers, and inspectors. | 8.288 | 8.202 | 700 | E89 | 8 | 7.2 |
| Traneportaion and meteriad moving occupations. | 5.028 | 4,820 | 330 | 303 | 0.0 | 9.9 |
|  | 5.194 | 5.090 | 597 147 | 546 115 | 10.3 14.5 | 11.2 |
|  | ¢,806 4.328 | 4,178 | 147 450 | 413 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Farming. torestry, and fishing . | 4,139 | 4.057 | 203 | 241 | 4.7 | 8.8 |

- Pertorst with no provious work experience and those whose tast pot wes
in the Armed Forces aro included in the unemploryed total.


| Voterans staturaand age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Comann } \\ & \text { neninsurutanse } \\ & \text { population } \end{aligned}$ |  | Cwilan labor force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Totas |  | Employed |  | Unemployed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Number | Porcent of Pabor facce |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vaty } \\ & \hline 18 p a \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { waty } \\ & \text { 1900 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Juty } \\ \text { 1089 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} x+y \\ -1890 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { divy } \\ & \text { cole } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { xoy } \\ & \text { cope } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hiyy } \\ & \text { chese } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Judy } \\ & \hline 1890 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| VIETMAM ERA VETERANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totar, 35 years and over ...............-................ | 7.455 | 7.046 | 8,609 | 0.820 |  |  | 0.570 | ${ }^{8.680}$ | 239 | 241 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 35 to 49 yoars ............. | 0.477 | 6.518 | 0,156 | 8.123 | 5,938 | 5.908 | 231 | 215 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 35 to 39 years ........................................ | 1.731 | 1.403 | 1.821 | 1.305 | 1.561 | 1,248 | 70 | 59 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| 40 to 44 years --...................................... | 3.286 | 3.300 | 3.184 | 3.130 | 3.085 | 3,023 | 99 | 107 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| 45 to 40 years -....---...-........................... | 1,489 | 1,815 | 8.373 | 1,688 | 1,321 | 1.839 | 52 | 49 | 3.8 | 2.8 |
| 50 years and ove ........................................--- | 978 | 1.129 | 651 | 70\% | 634 | 72 | 18 | 20 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| MONVETERAMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 35 to 49 years ........................................... | 18.220 | 17.290 | 15.167 | 10,189 | 14.804 | 15.590 | 483 | 500 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| 35 to 39 yeart ................................................ | 7.451 | 7.972 | 7.085 | 7.581 | 6.541 | 7320 | 224 | 282 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| 40 to 44 years ................-.-.-.....................- | 4.677 | 5.103 | 4,358 | 4.752 | 4.2009 | 4,584 | 150 | 189 | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| 45 to 48 years --.....................--............. | 4.093 | 4.215 | 3.743 | 3.855 | 3,635 | 3.707 | 109 | 148 | 20 | 3.8 |
| NOTE: Male Vietram-ora vetorans ane mon who aerved in tho Amed Forces between Augugyt 5. 1564 and May 7. 1975. Alorveterans are men who have nover served in the Armed Forces: plefistiod data tre Finted to <br> those 35 to 48 years of age. tho prow thet mosi the buth of the viatram-ers veteran pooustion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| 8tate and employment tretue | Mot measornty efyusted' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1898$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Hing } \\ \text { t990 }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yuy } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { tgel }}{\substack{\text { fic }}}$ | $\operatorname{mess}_{1890}$ | Apr. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May. } \\ & \hline 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bene } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dify } \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| Culsomit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crilisen naninstitutional poputetion $\qquad$ Crusem textor torce $\qquad$ | $21,470$ |  | 21.961 | 21.478 | 21.79414.613 | 21,83414,677 | 21,87714,801 | 21.91814.801 | 21,98114.751 |
|  |  |  | 14.865 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed ...-..........-........... | $\begin{aligned} & 13.058 \\ & 8862 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.110 \\ \quad 743 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.115 \\ 850 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 13.857 | 13,947 | 13,881 796 | $\begin{array}{r}13,898 \\ \hline 803\end{array}$ | 14.073 | $\begin{array}{r} 13 ., 995 \\ 750 \end{array}$ |
| Unemplored. .......- |  |  |  | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.4 |  | 4.9 |  |
| Unemploymere rato | 5.8 | 5.0 | 5.7 |  |  |  | 5.4 |  |  |
| Foride |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covilien norininsitititional population $\qquad$ <br> Crulten limbor torco $\qquad$ | 0,0008,344 | 10,111 |  | 10,132 | 8.800 | 10.052 | 10,074 | 10,091 | 10,211 | 10,1320,313 |
|  |  | 6,362 | 0.425 | 8.229 | 8.351 | 8,3385,972 | 6,2825,931 | 8,2945.8808 |  |  |
| Endoyd |  | 5,942 | 8.030 | 5.877 | 6,021 |  |  |  | 5,853 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 383 \\ & 8.0 \end{aligned}$ | $8.6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 395 \\ & 6.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 351 \\ 5.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 330 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 364 \\ 5.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 351 \\ 5.6 \end{array}$ | 408 | 360 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.5 | 5.7 |  |
| minoly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.034 | 8.8778.059 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,878 \\ & 6,174 \end{aligned}$ | 8,8345.976 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,859 \\ & 6.001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,869 \\ & 6,091 \\ & \hline, 78 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.871 \\ & 5.896 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.876 \\ & 6.100 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employd <br> Unimployed $\qquad$ <br> Unemploynient rite $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,736 \\ 324 \end{array}$ | 5,669370 | 5.788387 | 5.830 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.001 \\ & 5.571 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,887 \\ & 5,670 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,102 \\ & 5,691 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | - 5.8 | 3305.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 369 \\ 6.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 317 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 381 \\ 6.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 411 \\ 6.7 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 5.4 | 6.1 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| maxactureta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covien noninastipitional poputation $\qquad$ <br> Comben wear torce $\qquad$ <br> Employed $\qquad$ <br> Unamploy $\qquad$ <br> Unemployment rato $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.018 \\ 3.257 \\ 3.108 \\ 151 \\ 4.6 \end{array}$ | 4.820 | 4,620 | 4,618 | 4.618 | 4,0193,161 | 4.659 <br> 3,205 | 4.620 | 4.620 |  |
|  |  | 3.235 | 3,224 | 3,188 | 3.178 |  |  | 3.172 | 3.157 |  |
|  |  | 3.044 | 3,014 | 3.050 | 3.006 | 2,980 | 3.0278 | 2.897 | 2.963 |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{180}$ | 0.5 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 1948.1 |  |
|  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cubian norimatiatond popletion $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,065 \\ 4,648 \\ 4,306 \\ 340 \\ 7.3 \end{array}$ | 6,060 | 7.0014659 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 , 0 0 5} \\ & 4,511 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 9 9 7} \\ & 4,591 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,099 \\ & 4.631 \end{aligned}$ | 7.0014.614 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.664 \\ & 4.315 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employd ............... |  |  | 4,326 | $4.572$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,553 \\ & 4,228 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,511 \\ & 4,180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,591 \\ & 4,238 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,631 \\ & 4,294 \end{aligned}$ | 4,614 |  |
| Unumpory |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4.315 \\ 349 \\ 7.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 363 \\ & 7.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3118 \\ & 7.0 \end{aligned}$ | $7.2$ | $7.3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 353 \\ & 7.7 \end{aligned}$ | 337 73 | 34.37.4 |  |
| Unorioty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mow deremy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crilen norimatituitional poputation $\qquad$ Civilimi ithat force $\qquad$ Errotoved | $\begin{aligned} & 6,032 \\ & 4,024 \\ & 3,043 \\ & 163 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.028 \\ & 4.083 \\ & 3.082 \\ & 191 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ | 6,0284.134 | 6,032$\mathbf{3 , 0 6 4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,028 \\ & 4,034 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.028 \\ & 4.002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6,0284.012 | 6.029 | 6,029 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,037 | 4,073 |  |
|  |  |  | 3,922 | 3.798 | 3.844 | 3.1805 | 3,420 | 3,645 | 3.878 |  |
| underptoyed. |  |  | 212 | 185 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unerrployment rate ............... |  |  | 5.1 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |
| Mow Yort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13,8040,867 | $\begin{array}{r}13,801 \\ 8,800 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}13,002 \\ 8,074 \\ \hline 8.15\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.804 \\ 8.809 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 13.7008.800 | 13.7998.709 | 13.8008.775 | 13.6018.732 | \$3.002 |  |
| CWimm labor teree .............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.608 |  |
| Employed | .0 .4454114.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.305 \\ 413 \\ 4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.415 \\ 459 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.250 \\ 419 \\ 4.8 \end{array}$ | 8.238 | 0.288 | 0.388 | 8.28 | 8,2224845.3 |  |
| Unemptoyed |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 437 \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ | $423$ | $\begin{array}{r} 447 \\ 5.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 445 \\ 5.1 \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| morth Carome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CNamen mosinamatonal papatation | 4,940 | 4.096 | 5,002 | 4,940 | 4.980 | 4,1888 | 4,991 | 4,990 | 5.002 |  |
| Culten bibor torte | 3.471 | 3,471 | 3.494 | 3,358 | 3,390 | 3.410 | 3.451 | 3,438 | 3.440 |  |
| Emptoyd | 3,357 | 3,339 | 3,336 | 3,274 | 3.283 | 3.261 | 3,312 | 3312 | 3.252 |  |
| Unemployed. | 114 | 132 | 157 | 114 | 118 | 129 | 130 | 12 |  |  |
| Unemploymend rade ....................................... | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.6 |  |
| Ond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cramen noninaturionel poputaton | 0.28 | 0.283 | 8.286 | 8.252 | 0,278 | 8.278 | 8.201 | 0.283 | 8,206 |  |
| Culien libor tore .......-...... | 5.483 | 5.481 | 5.472 | 5,470 | 5.402 | 5,417 | 5.428 | 5.119 | 5.411 |  |
| Employed ......... | 5.210 | 5.170 | 5,194 | 5.124 | 5,107 | 5.098 | 5,107 | 5.135 | 5,104 |  |
| Unemployed ... | 273 | 301 | 278 | 296 | 205 | 319 | 321 | 284 | 307 |  |
|  | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.7 |  |

see boonotes of end of trite.
mousehold data
hOUSEHOLD DATA


| State and employrnent atritus | Mot mexionelly adpersa' |  |  | Seasonslly malusiaet |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jaty } \\ \mathbf{1} 899 \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{linfo}_{1900}$ | $\underset{1900}{\text { Juty }}$ | ${ }_{180}$ | $\underset{1850}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | May. 1900 | $\underset{1000}{\text { hame }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kery } \\ & 1990 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Pennaptuanta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0,387 | 0,307 | 9,390 | 9,387 | 0,360 | 0,302 | 0,305 | 9,387 | 9,309 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| Cwian normisitution $\qquad$ | 5,921 | 5.974 | 5,974 | 5,003 | 0,004 | 5,645 | 5.941 | 5.894 |  |
| Entployed - | 5.844 | 5.878 | 5,664 | 5,544 | 5.094 | 5.604 | 5.048 | 5.023 | 5,595 |
| Unemployed .................. | 277 |  | 310 5.2 | 259 | 310 5.2 | 34.7 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
|  | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 5.7 |  |  |  |
| Texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covilen nonimsutitutional popudation $\qquad$ <br> Cwiber latbor forct $\qquad$ <br> Employod $\qquad$ <br> Unemployed $\qquad$ <br> Unemploymem retio $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.222 \\ 8,583 \\ 7 ., 97 \\ 816 \\ 7.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,368 \\ 8.548 \\ 0.010 \\ 539 \\ 6.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,376 \\ 0.528 \\ 7.990 \\ 538 \\ 6.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.222 \\ 8.400 \\ 7.821 \\ 585 \\ 7.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.323 \\ 6,447 \\ 7.077 \\ 470 \\ 5.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,337 \\ 8,485 \\ 7.055 \\ 540 \\ 6.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.351 \\ 0.425 \\ 7.860 \\ 545 \\ 6.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.385 \\ 8.452 \\ 7.979 \\ 473 \\ 5.6 \end{array}$ | 12.3790.3717.0595186.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  adminiatration of federal fund alloczion programa. <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Induatry | Mot scanonolly adjusted |  |  |  | Sememelly edjuetad |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\text {finl }}$ | ${ }^{1990}$ | ${ }^{\text {June }}$ |  | 1919\% | ${ }^{1979} 9$ | ${ }^{\text {Apr }}$ 190 | ${ }_{1950}$ | ${ }^{\text {19040 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {fuly }}$ 1900 |
| Tot | 108,360 | 111 | 111,897 | 110,513 | 108,767 | 110,427 | 110,401 | 110 | 110,925 | 110 |
| Total | 91,733 | 92,402 | 93,314 | 93,139 | 91,016 | 92, 113 | 92,187 | 92,296 | 92,414 | 92,569 |
| Ooodr-producing indeatri | 25,904 | 25.4 | 5.8 | 25,599 | 25.669 | 25,606 | 25.681 | 25.450 | 23,403 | 25,346 |
|  | 406.4 | 4215 | 762 429 | $4{ }^{771}$ | 706 <br> 404 <br> 08 | ${ }_{4} 721$ | 755 429 | 758 426 | 764 408 | 763 450 |
| Comstruction general building co. | 4,622 | 2, 573, 315 | . 4.488 | - 5.5829 | 5, 314 | 3,432 | 5,323 | 5,309 | 5,281 | 5,230 |
| Mamufactur Pradut | 19, 568 | 19,340 | 19,474 | 13, 2668 | 13,649 | 13,1931 | 13,403 | 19,363 | 19,360 | 19,353 |
| Durple prosuction.... | 11,690 | 11,5488 | 11, 780 | 11,458 | 11,699 | 14,385 | 21,350 | 11,342 | 11,523 | 11, 713 |
| tumber and | 786 | . 0 | 772. | 732.6 | 767 | 76 | 763 | 761 | 756 | 754 |
| ${ }_{\text {Surn }}$ | 323 617 | 5197.6 | ${ }^{520} 5$ | 599.85 | 556 | 529 | 520 <br> 594 <br> 9 | 592 | 52 | 558 |
| Pripary fotal induatri | 7776 | 766.8 | 271.3 | 759, | 727 |  | ${ }^{766}$ | ${ }^{767}$ | 767 | 767 |
| Fmbiceited mater | 1,4300 2,14 | , 42 |  | 1,401 | 2, 2.46 | 2, $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,420 } \\ & 2,135\end{aligned}$ | 1,424 | - ${ }^{1,422}$ | 2, ${ }^{1}$ | $\xrightarrow{1,422}$ |
| Mochnor axcapt eletrit | , | , 196 | 2, | 2.105.3 | 2, | 2, |  | 3 | 2,973 |  |
| Trenspartotion toui momit . | 2. 023 |  | 2.030.5 83 | 1,96971 | 2,046 | - | 2.015 | 2,0118 | 2.020 |  |
| Instrunonte end releated producti | ${ }_{3}{ }_{31} 1$ | 3920.2 | 3761.4 | 73.8 30.3 | 792 | 735 | 789 | 374 | ${ }_{389}$ | 789 |
| Mondurable proodu. | 8,678 | 7,996 | 5,650 | 5,611 | ${ }^{8,190}$ | 8,632 | 8,643 | 8,692 | \$ 5.685 | 8.040 5.631 |
| Food and | . 711.2 | 1.632 .4 | 1,671.1 | 1,709.5 | 1.675 | 1,669 | 1.676 |  | 1.668 | 2,661 |
| Tobxticic mil | 7973 | $7{ }^{46} 5$ | 709:4 | 698:2 | 730 | $7{ }^{5}$ | 712 | 706 | 769 | 709 |
| Ppporris | 1. 761 | 1,053: 6 | 1, 0574 | 1, 7016.9 | 1, 7961 | 2,059 | 1.0.95 | 1, 6.59 | 1,046 | 1.948 ${ }^{699}$ |
| Printin ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | 1,6099:4 | 1.628. | -634: | 1; ${ }_{1}{ }^{6} 16$ | 1,6991 | 1, 1.66 | 1, 1.626 | 1,650 | 1,632 | 1.631 |
| potroioun sid coal |  |  |  |  | 16.5 |  |  | 165 |  |  |
| Rubbor ond eiscit plastica pro | 年31.2 | 827.? 13.3 | 885.5 <br> 134 | 823 <br> 128.6 <br> 1 | 462 | 324 | ${ }_{154}^{82}$ | 136 | ${ }_{130}^{830}$ | ${ }^{3138}$ |
| Sorvico-producing industr | 82,636 | 85,785 | 86,168 | 84,914 | 23,098 | 84,821 | 84,920 | 85, 320 | 85, 520 | 85, 360 |
| Trensportotion end | 3,737 | 5,897 3,683 | 5,944, | 5,914 | 3,736 | 5,875 3,660 | 3,875 | 3, 3 , 85 | 3,905 | 5,910 |
| Comanication and | 2:234 | 2, 214 | 2,231 | 2,236 | 2:212 | 2,215 | 2,218 | 2,216 | 2,218 | 2.214 |
| Whol esent | 6, 3.727 | \$ 3.7546 | 6.398 | 6.392 | 6,237 | 6,342 | 6,355 | 6,369 | 6,761 | 6.355 |
| Mondurabie 800 | 2.557 | 2,588 | 2.615 | 2,611 | 2,537 | 2,586 | 2;579 | 2,591 | 2,597 | 2,593 |
| Roturil trade | 2, 29.685 |  |  | 2.19 .946 | 19,5886 | 19,7835 | 19,812 | 19,846 | 19,823 | 19,845 2,446 |
|  | - | 2.36. |  |  | cole | 3,363 | 3, 3179 |  | - 3.342 |  |
| Automotive doolera and sor: | 2, 182.6 | 2,112.6\| | 2,737.21 | 2,202.1 | 2, 6,375 | 2, $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2, } 1780\end{aligned}$ | -2,173 | ${ }^{2,178}$ | 6, ${ }^{2} 178$ | 2.176 $\mathbf{6}, 529$ |
|  | (6,913 | 6.932 <br> 3.385 <br>  | 7,006 | 3,039 | 6,815 | 6,922 3,361 | 6,972 | 6,933 | S.936 | 6,942 |
|  |  |  | (1.437 | 3.186 <br> 1.497 <br> 1 | 2,131 1,360 | $c2 c13621399$ | a, <br> $\begin{array}{l}2,161 \\ 1,391\end{array}$ <br> 1 |  |  |  |
| Servicos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Businase gervic Henl th sarvicer. | 5,8685.8. | 5:979:1 | 5, 5828.6 | 5,954.6 | 7,7848 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,902 \\ 5,033 \\ 0,03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 ; 8 ; 89 \\ 8,074 \\ 8,07 \end{gathered}$ | 2;921 | 5,188 | 5,219 |
| ror | 16.807 | 18,430 | 18,583 | 17,374 | 17,751 | 18,114 | 18, 312 | 18,474 | 18,51 | 18,337 |
|  |  | 5:38 | 4,122 | 4.08 | , 145 | 3.205 | 4,267 | , | ${ }_{4}$ | - 4.2185 |
| 10 | 9,353 | 11,193 | 11,091 | 10,164 | 10,6.96 | 10,821 | 10,852 | 10,909 | 10,92 | 10.941 |

p * prolizinery.

| Note en tanipertiry emaus worker: <br> The number of temporary workers assoctated with the 1990 ownsus has an incact in the employment levids for the Federe! povernment, as well as for higher aggrepatios. The eatimate of thaso workort wien $22,000 \mathrm{in}$ denualy, 27,000 in Fubruary, 117,000 in March, 178,000 in 4 Aprl, 378,000 in May, and 387,000 in luna. For Jly. the esthimed number (proliminary) was 205,000 , which may be exblect to edanticant revation. |
| :---: |
|  |  |

able b-2. Averape weckly howrs of production or nonsuparvisory workersl/ on orivate nomagricultural payrolls by industry


[^8][^9]establisinemt gata
able b-3. Averspe hourly and monkiy earainge of production or nonsupervisory morkeraly on privete

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Industry} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Avarage hourly earninga} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Avarage meskly earnings} <br>
\hline \& 941\% \& 1900 \& ${ }_{1900}{ }^{\text {J }}$ \& $$
\underset{1990 \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{July}}
$$ \& july \& 1990 \& $$
\mathrm{Sung}_{199 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{E}}}
$$ \& suly <br>
\hline Totel privete.jugi*
Seeman \& 48.63 \& 99.97 \& 49.98
10.05 \& $$
1010.02
$$ \& ${ }_{+}^{358} 37.01$ \& 4183.97
345
382 \& *548.30 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
4350.70 \\
550.12
\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline Mining. \& 12.95 \& 13.45 \& 13.49 \& 13.55 \& 350.38 \& 582.86 \& 601.65 \& 590.78 <br>
\hline Construetion. \& 15.53 \& 13.51 \& 13.48 \& 13.56 \& 518.54 \& 516.08 \& 525.72 \& 520.70 <br>
\hline Manufacturing. \& 10.47 \& 10.80 \& 10.84 \& 10.88 \& 424.04 \& 440.64 \& 445.52 \& 440.64 <br>
\hline Durable poods. \& 10.99 \& 11.32 \& 11.35 \& 11.37 \& 469.49
352

2 \& ${ }^{469.78}$ \& 573.71 \& 465.03
36.16 <br>
\hline Furnitura mend fixtur \& 8.82 \& 8.9 .14 \& 8.12 \& 8.20 \& 352.36
389 \& 370.68
582
58 \& 372.10
355 \& 366.16
329.02 <br>
\hline Stons, eley, and olsex \& 10.75 \& 11.05 \& 11.07 \& 11.10 \& ${ }^{456}$. 88 \& 56.63 \& 473.80 \& ${ }^{467.31}$ <br>
\hline Priarry ctol industri. \& 12.40
14.53 \& 12.76
14.72 \& 12.87
14.76 \& 12.99 \& 528.24
619.06 \& ${ }_{643}^{548.26}$ \& ${ }^{555.98}$ \& 554.67
662.56 <br>
\hline plagt furnbeest and basic, \& 10.53 \& 10.76 \& \& 10.81 \& 428.57 \& 447.62 \& 651.44 \& 444.25 <br>
\hline Fobriceted matal probuctsinery \& 11.35 \& 11.62 \& 11.67 \& 11.73 \& 475.57 \& 486.88 \& 491.31 \& 486.80 <br>
\hline Eilecticel and electronic \& 10.41 \& 10.64 \& 16.71
16.24 \& 10.78
14.07 \& ${ }_{566.18}$ \& \& 610.90 \& \$51.20 <br>
\hline Trangportation. mounoment. \& 46.07 \& 14.78 \& 14.90 \& 14.58 \& 582.50 \& 647.36 \& 655.60 \& 621.11 <br>
\hline Instrumenta end relilet product \& 10.31 \& 10.61 \& 10.64 \& 10.73 \& 420.65
319 \& 435.01 \& 439.53 \& 456.71
335 <br>
\hline Mi meollaneous manfacturing.. \& 8.29 \& 8.61 \& 8.64 \& \& \& 337.51 \& \& 33.70 <br>
\hline Mendurable poode \& 9.71 \& 10.09 \& 10.11 \& 10.19 \& 390.80 \& 402.59 \& 406.42 \& 406.54 <br>
\hline Food and kindred \& 4.35 \& 17.32 \& 17.62 \& 17.69 \& 342.42
619 \& 788.35 \& \& <br>
\hline Tobacce manufactures \& 19.66 \& 17.22 \& 1. $0^{1}$ \& 88.01 \& 311.00 \& 322.00 \& 327.22 \& 318.40 <br>
\hline  \& 6.28 \& 6.60 \& 6.63 \& 6.58 \& 250.48 \& 240.29 \& 24. \& 24.46 <br>
\hline Papper and aliled producte. \& 12.04 \& 12.26 \& 12.22 \& 12.35 \& 516.32
405 \& 578.41 \& 42. \& 519.85
419.65 <br>
\hline Printinp and publistiing. \& 10.33 \& 13.43 \& ${ }_{13} 11.15$ \& 13.56 \& 553.66 \& 569.43 \& 573.82 \& 572.25 <br>
\hline Chonicals and allied produc \& 15.34 \& 16.19 \& 16.36 \& 16.67 \& 679.56 \& 709.12 \& 765.65 \& 773.49 <br>
\hline Rubbopend mise, plostics pre \& 9.85 \& 9.70 \& 9.72 \& 9.83 \& 383.56
247.21 \& 601.58
259 \& 464.35
263 \& 492.55 <br>
\hline l tenther and leether praduets. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Tranmportation and public utilities \& 12.58 \& 12.84 \& 12.87 \& 15.00 \& 500.68 \& 502.04 \& 510.94 \& 517.40 <br>
\hline Wholesale trado \& 10.40 \& 10.71 \& 10.71 \& 10.81 \& 398.32 \& 406.98 \& 410.10 \& 415.10 <br>
\hline Retall trade. \& 6.49 \& 6.77 \& 6.78 \& 6.78 \& 194.05 \& 194.98 \& 198.65 \& 202.12 <br>
\hline Finence, insurance, and resl entate. \& 9.59 \& 9.92 \& 9.92 \& 10.03 \& 348.12 \& 353.15 \& 355.14 \& 364.09 <br>
\hline Servicas \& 9.33 \& 9.77 \& 9.74 \& 9.81 \& 308.82 \& 516.55 \& 318.50 \& 324.71 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 , see footnote 1 , table $3-2$.


| Industry | 1919\% | ${ }_{19 \%}$ | ${ }^{\text {199\% }} 9$ | ${ }^{\text {198\% }}$ | ${ }^{\text {dincem }}$ | ${ }^{\text {juty }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Conyruction.: <br>  urbitit trado Finance, insurance, ind reil estiont sorvices. |  |  |  | Mas |  | (10.09 |  |
|  <br>  <br> to bocesorated out vith wufficions <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Establ IShment pata
ESTABLIShaENT DAFA
 (1977-100)

| Incustry | Not aceamilly adjugted |  |  |  | Soesenaliy edjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | italy | $\int_{1090}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Juns } \\ & 1990 \mathrm{E} \delta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{JyPr} \\ & 1990 \mathrm{y} \end{aligned}$ | fuly | ${ }_{1990}{ }^{\text {Pr }}$ | $\left.\right\|_{1990}$ | $1590$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jung } \\ & 198 \theta_{R^{\prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fuly } \\ & 1990{ }_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| Potal privete. | 131.2 | 129.9 | 132.8 | 133.1 | 129.2 | 150.3 | 130.0 | 238.1 | 130.7 | 130.1 |
| Goodm-aroducing induntrio. | 103.6 | 101.6 | 104.0 | 102.8 | 103.0 | 102.3 | 100.9 | 101.6 | 102.0 | 101.1 |
| Mining. | 80.7 | 48.8 | 92.6 | 90, 8 | 80.3 | 47.7 | 38.5 | 89.6 | 92.1 | 90.7 |
| Construetion | 156.1 | 143.9 | 151.6 | 151.1 | 142.7 | 146.7 | 139.3 | 141.4 | 142.8 | 138.0 |
| Manufacturing. | 94.5 | 94.0 | 55.4 | 92.4 | 96.3 | 94.4 | 94.0 | 94.5 | 94.5 | 94.5 |
| Durable gaods. | 91.6 | 91.8 | 92.8 | 89.7 | 93.8 | 91.9 | 91.3 | 91.9 | 91.9 |  |
| lumber and wood prod | $1 \begin{aligned} & 105.4 \\ & 107.9\end{aligned}$ | 103.6 | 106.3 | 1103.6 | 102.6 | 103.9 | 105.3 | 105.31 | 102.4 | 101.8 |
| Furniture and axturass | 147981 | 107.1 | ${ }_{90} 9.4$ | 1048 | 115.2 90.0 | 108.9 | 108.1 | 109.2 | 109.2 | 109.2 |
| Priarry netal industrios | 66.21 | 65.3 | 66.6 | ${ }_{64.6}^{88 .}$ | 67.9 | 65.2 | ${ }_{64}{ }^{87} 1$ | 85.91 | 86.1 | 86.3 |
| fabrist furneces and besicastool | 52.71 | 51.2 | 51.6 89.7 | 51.7 | 32.01 90.7 | 50.0 81 | 50.81 | 81.4 | S0.8 | S1.6 |
| maphinery, exempt ol octricei | 91.91 | 91.7 | 89.7 91.9 | 88.8 | 90.71 | ${ }_{91.9}^{81}$ | ${ }_{98}^{88.51}$ | 99.15 | 88.8 91.3 | 89.7 98 |
| Electrical and elettranic oq | 94.9 | 94.3 | 95.6 | 92.0 | 97.6 | 96.9 | 95.8 | 95.6 | 95.6 | 94.8 |
| Tramsportation equipaent.... | 88.1 | ${ }_{86} 97.4$ | 88.8 | 83.5 | 88.5 | 85.0 | 85.2 | 95.91 | 97.1 | 97.8 |
| Instrumants and related product | 114.7 | 114.5 | 116.1 | 114.1 | 115.9 | 114.7 | 115 | 154.15 | 115.3 | ${ }^{86} 116.9$ |
| Miscallaneous manufecturing. | 81.9 | 85.1 | 85.7 | 80.6 | 85.7 | 86.8 | 85.1 | 85.4 | 84.8 | 84.6 |
| Nondurable goods. | 198.9 | 97-2 | 99.2 | 97.5 | 100.1 | 98.0 | 98.1 | 98.4 | 98.5 | 98.4 |
| Food and kindred pr | 108.6 | 101.8 | 105.3 61.9 | 107.5 62.9 | $1{ }^{106.4}$ | 104.7 | 105.6 66.5 | 106.1 6 | 105.2 66.2 | 206.1 70.3 |
| Toxtile mill producta... | $7{ }^{3} 5$ | 76.4 | 77.7 | 74.9 | 81.3 | 76.6 | 76.7 | 76.91 | 76.2 |  |
| Apparel ond other textio p | ${ }^{1} 8.5$ | ${ }^{3} 0.2$ | ${ }^{161.6}$ | 17.5 | 84.9 | 79.7 | 80.2 | 80.0 | 80.1 | 81.0 |
| Papur and alitied produc | 136 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 101.7 \\ & 1397\end{aligned}$ | 103.7 139.5 | 102.3 | 102.7 | 102.1 | 1102.9 | 102.7 | 103.0 | 102.7 |
| Chami eals and alijed prod | 101.3 | 101.1 | 102.5 | 101.4 | 101.5 | 101.5 | 101.5 | 140.7 | 141.4 | 101.7 |
| Potrolum and cool products.. | 86.3 | 25:5 | 93.4 | ${ }^{92} 2.4$ | ${ }^{83.2}$ | ${ }^{86} .4$ | 84.9 | 34.7 | 89.8 | 89.4 |
| Rubbor and misc. olastics produe | 1152.2 | 116 | 127.9 52.5 | 113.8 | 118.8 54 | 153.1 | 16.7 51.8 | 116.2 | 116.5 | 117.5 |
| Servico-producing industrime | 146.5 | 145.5 | 148.7 | 150.4 | 145.7 | 145.8 | 146.1 | 145.8 | 146.6 | 147.1 |
| Transpertatian and public utilitias | 118.8 | 120.5 | 123.3 | 123.2 | 117.7 | 120.6 | 120.5 | 120.8 | 122.0 | 121.9 |
| Mholasale trade | 128.7 | 128.7 | 130.9 | 151.2 | 127.2 | 129.01 | 129.2 | 129.0 | 129.8 | 129.6 |
| Retali trede. | 132.6 | 128.7 | 232.1 | 134.2 | 128.9 | 128.7 | 129.4 | 129.0 | 129.5 | 230.3 |
| Finance, insurance, and real ontato | 147.6 | 145.0 | 147.8 | 150.9 | 145.0 | 145.8 | 146.7 | 145.6 | 146.0 | 147.9 |
| Sorvices | 173.9 | 174.8 | 178.2 | 140.3 | 170.8 | 175.1 | 175.0 | 175.0 | 175.9 | 176.3 |

1 Set footnote 1, table b-2.

| Time asan | Jan. | Fat. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aus. | Sept | Oet. | Mov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Privite nonagricultural payrolis, 349 industriasl/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 60.7 \\ 64.3 \\ 88.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.5 \\ 69.5 \\ 37.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.0 \\ & 61: 6 \\ & 52.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62.8 \\ 98.8 \\ 97.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.3 \\ & 55.6 \\ & 55.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.2 \\ 59.7 \\ \times 49.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.6 \\ \begin{array}{r} 55: 6 \\ \times 51.9 \end{array} \end{array}$ | 58.0 | 55:9 | 553.3 | 68.2 | 54:6 |
|  | 34.8 ${ }_{3}^{31.6}$ | 65.6 | 69.5 51 51 5 | 70.2 61.9 51.1 | \%71.1 <br> 6.6 <br> $\times \times 9.4$ |  | 71.2 | ${ }_{53} 6.4$ | 65.3 | 50.1 | 73:\% | 76: 7 |
|  | 64.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 70.2 \\ & 39.5 \\ & 52.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}73.9 \\ 66.0 \\ \hline 685\end{array}$ | 73.\% | 69.1 |  | 74.6 | 53.5 | 73.9 | 76.3 | 75.8 |
|  <br> 1989 <br> 1990 |  | 76.15 | 74.8 69 | 74.6 67 | 75.8 66.6 | 74.9 | 78.1 63.6 | 75.5 63.2 | 75.5 |  | 74.9 | 2759.7 |
|  | Monufacturing peyralia, 161 industriany |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 58.5 \\ & 62.5 \\ & 45.4 \end{aligned}$ | 56.0. | 55.0 53.2 43.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.9 \\ & 94.6 \\ & 46.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.5 \\ & \substack{56 \\ 96.8 \\ 96} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}61.7 \\ \times 81.4 \\ \hline 4.6\end{array}$ |  | ${ }_{45}^{51.1}$ |  | 62.8 | 64.9 | 588.5 |
|  | 63.1 62.4 42.2 | 61.8 68.8 41.5 | 62: 54: 48 | 64:9 |  | 67.0 $\times 8.6$ | 64.5 | 58.2 | ${ }_{41} \mathbf{6 1 . 1}$ | 66.7 | 71.3 46 | 40.9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 66.3 \\ & 69.5 \\ & 68.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | - $\begin{array}{r}67.7 \\ \hline 579 \\ \hline 39\end{array}$ |  | 66.7 | 64.2 | 66.0 40.1 | 70.9 | 68.84 | 69.9 | ${ }_{40}^{71.6}$ | 74.15 |
| Gvar 12-month epant 1989 <br> 1990 |  | 780.2 | 70.9 | ${ }^{71} 5$ | 72.0 | 69.9 42.9 | 80.9 | ${ }_{69}^{69.1}$ | 71.6 | 70:2 | 69.9 | - 67.9 |
| and 6 -month apana and unediusted data for the 12 -manth <br> span. Dete ort centered within the empen. <br> Rote: Finimuras ery: <br> of industries with |  |  |  |  | employment incrensing plus one-helif of the industrias <br>  decrossing mployment. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Representative Hamilton. Now, the decline of employment by 435,000 in July, the unemployment rate jump of three-tenths of 1 percent-there are a lot of other signs of weaknesses.

Do you see the unemployment rate, the July increase, as a first sign of a recession?

Mrs. Norwood. We have been reporting for many months very slow employment growth. It is unusual for such slow employment growth to be accompanied by a stable unemployment rate, because of the size of the labor force increase.
We have been lucky, I think, in one sense that the population movements have been such that the labor force growth has slowed considerably. This month we have had an increase in unemployment for adult men. That is probably associated with many of the goods-producing industries which have been in decline.

I think it's too early to read anything into this single month of numbers. But, obviously we would prefer to be here with a better report.

Representative Hamiliton. You would not view these numbers as a significant deterioration in the state of the economy?

Mrs. Norwood. I think there has been a deterioration in the employment situation, without any doubt.
Representative Hamilion. Now, in your statement, you give a lot of emphasis to the teenager problem.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamiliton. Was the increase in unemployment in July concentrated mostly among teenagers or were adults affected as well?

Mrs. Norwood. Men, aged 25 to 54, were affected. But, the largest part of the increase in unemployment was concentrated among the youth of the country.

Representative Hamilion. Is that problem with young people, the teenagers, a cyclical problem or is it the long-term problem that we associate with dropouts and low-educational performance?

Mrs. Norwood. I think it's a bit of both. Clearly, the longer term problems are with us and particularly for the minority youth they are extraordinarily serious.

The cyclical problems, I would suggest, are related to the slowdown, the very real slowdown, in growth in retail trade, which has been essentially flat for some months, and in some of the services industry, a little bit in construction perhaps where at least in the summer youth do tend to find jobs. There are just fewer jobs there for them to find.

Representative Hamilton. Now, the labor force declined in July by 70,000 ; is that correct?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamiluon. And, the number of people not in the labor force rose by 230,000 .

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilion. Why has the labor force growth been so much less than in recent years?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, first, the labor force tends to grow in fits and starts. And, we need to look at it over several months.

But, you are quite right. We have had, for some months, very, very slow growth.

Part of that is because of the slower population growth which is the result of the low birth rate some years ago. That's an important part of all this.
Part of it is that there seems to be some reduction in the participation rates, particularly for teenagers. And, we are not quite sure really how to explain that.

Representative Hamilon. Do we have a lot more people becoming discouraged now about job opportunities and dropping out of the labor force?

Mrs. Norwood. As you know, we get those data only once a quarter. There was a very large increase in the last quarter in the number of discouraged workers.
I would feel that it would be better to wait for another quarter to be sure about that, because it did seem as though it could perhaps have been an outlier. But, we will have to wait and see.
Representative Hamilton. Was there any unusual increase in the automobile industry? We have heard there about building up inventories.
Mrs. Norwood. I don't think so, not this month.
Representative Hamilion. No, not so?
Mrs. Norwood. Sales of autos are rather slow.
Representative Hamilon. Yes.
Mrs. Norwood. But, I think what has been happening is that the automobile industry has been adjusting its work force by shutting down for a week or two at a time in order to adjust its inventories. And, their inventories seem to be in pretty good shape.

In fact, generally, inventories seem to be in pretty good shape, which is an encouraging sign, given the sort of lackluster nature of the economy.
Representative Hamilion. If you take a little longer view here, economic growth slowed in the second quarter to 1.2 percent compared to the 1.7 percent in the first quarter. And, private sector employment grew only 60,000 during the quarter.

Despite that slow growth, the unemployment rate actually declined during the quarter from 5.4 to 5.2 percent. Why did the unemployment rate decline during a period of slowing growth?
Mrs. Norwood. Basically, because we did not have the increase in the labor force that we have normally had. And, that is perhaps two phases.

One is there are fewer people, particularly teenagers. The number of teenagers who are of labor force age is down. And, part of it may be that fewer people are encouraged to go into the labor force when there is very, very little or slow job growth.

Representative Hamilton. Well, during the 1970's and the 1980's we saw these big increases in the number of women and teenagers.

Mrs. Norwood. Oh, yes.
Representative Hamilton. Are those trends now at an end do you think, or are they coming to an end?
Mrs. Norwood. I wouldn't say they are coming to an end. I think that insofar as women are concerned, we did have the very vigorous increase in labor force participation during the 1960's and the 1970's. I believe that an increase is going to continue over a longer time, if you take a longer view, but I don't think it will continue at the same rate of increase.

I think we've already had that big rate of increase. Women will continue to come into the labor force in greater numbers than before, but the rate of increase of the labor force participation for them will be less.

For young people, again one of the big factors that has permitted us to maintain a fairly stable unemployment rate has been the fact that there is less upward pressure on unemployment, because there are fewer youngsters. And, that's just in their total number, as well as those coming into the labor force.
A lot of them are staying in school, but there are also just fewer of them in the population. So, these very high unemployment rates for youngsters, which we will always have I believe-and, by the way, that is not necessarily a bad thing, because young people should be experimenting with jobs and going back and forth to school and work.
But, nevertheless, the fact that we've had a smaller number of people with those very high unemployment rates has meant that there is much less upward pressure on the overall unemployment rate.

Representative Hamilton. Congressman Wylie.
Representative Wylie. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. It's always a pleasure to welcome you, Mrs. Norwood, and your colleagues to this hearing.

It has been a few months since I have had the opportunity to visit with you. But, over the years I have looked forward to your incisive and knowledgeable testimony. And, Mrs. Norwood is always one of our very best witnesses who appears here, Mr. Chairman.
The July employment report is not real encouraging, at least from this Member's perspective. I note that employment in both the household and payroll surveys declined.
Of course, the rise in the civilian unemployment rate is not pleasant news. But, I'm glad to hear you say that we should not be tempted to jump to the conclusion that the economy is contracting.

I think it's fair to say that we should be cautious about drawing a conclusion on just 1 month's employment data. I would hope that 1 month is not a trend to make.
Is that a fair appraisal of what you have just said?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, I think so. I don't want to overemphasize that, however, because I think it's very clear not just from these data, but from data on the gross national product that the economy is in a very slow growth stage.

We are not heading downward-I think that's the important thing-quite yet. And, I don't know whether we will or not. I don't think these data tell us that.
But, it is clear that economic growth in general is very slow.
Representative Wyus. I am not sure that you are equipped to answer this question, although I have learned from past experience not to make a judgment as to the extent of your knowledge, Commissioner.

If the economy is fairly weak, it may be vulnerable to policy mistakes. And, I'm asking this question in the context of talk about a tax increase.

If a policy mistake causes a recession to begin in September or October, how long would it take this downturn to be reflected in the employment data.
Mrs. Norwood. I don't think anyone can really answer that question with any degree of accuracy, because it depends really on what action is taken and what sectors of the economy are affected.
It's quite clear that there are some very difficult policy choices facing the Congress and the administration and the Government as a whole.
Representative Wyle. Speaking of sectors of the economy, within the manufacturing sector, employment in the durable goods industries appears to be especially weak.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Wyles. What are the causes of this?
Mrs. Norwood. That has been going on for some time. It you just looked at manufacturing by itself over the last, oh, 9 or 10 months I suppose, we would say that it is pretty much in that particular industry that it has been going down steadily.

Part of it has been the automobile industry, which has been adjusting its inventories by adjusting workers. Part of it has been export markets which, as you know, sometimes go up and sometimes go down. And, we don't seem to have been terribly successful in some of them.

Part of it has been that the high-tech industries, which as you know, tend to go up and down, at the moment are more in a downtrend. Clearly, construction has not been doing very well. The housing market all over the country, for a variety of reasons, is in difficulty.
And, it would be surprising, I think, given the data on permits for new buildings, to see much of an increase in construction. So, after seasonal adjustment, there is quite a decline in construction.

I think what has been holding the economy up has been services, and in particular the services industry itself. And, it is not clear whether the changes that we are seeing in July in that particular industry-health care, for example-will hold up. That is still growing, but it's just not growing at as fast a pace as it was.
Business services, which early in the expansion period were growing very fast and have slowed considerably and in recent months really have been showing a couple of declines or very, very slow growth.

Representative Wyrie. I would like for you to comment on the regional patterns in the employment situation. As you see them from your data here, it would appear that the Northeast is bearing the brunt of the economic slowdown, if I may use that expression.
In other words, this appears to be the area which is sort of dragging, if I may use that expression, too, the employment rate down.
Is that fair to observe? And, if so, what is the cause?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, let me just say that part of that is the up and down nature in the high-tech industry which, of course, has been an important element in Massachusetts as well as some of the other New England States.

But, Mr. Plewes can tell you more about that.

Mr. Plewes. I really think there are two things going on regionally. And, I think you are quite correct in saying that the Northeast is bearing the brunt of this economic slowdown.

Over the year, for example, the unemployment rate for the Northeast States has gone up by eight-tenths of a percent. The rate for the Midwest States has gone up two-tenths of a percent. The rate for the South has gone down two-tenths. And, the rate in the West has gone down two-tenths.

Another thing that is happening is that these rate changes have led to a convergence in the unemployment rates around the country. We are getting much more alike each other, if you will, now that those events have occurred.

Indeed, no regional rate this month was more than two-tenths of a percentage point above or below the national average. So, I think we are getting a lot more of the same in these changes.

Representative Wyme. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Representative Hamilton. Congressman Solarz.
Representative Solarz. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I just have one or two questions.

I have been a little bit puzzled by the unemployment rate in a place like New York, for example, that it hasn't gone up more than it has, in view of all the anecdotal reports one hears about the precipitous decline in real estate values, people being laid off in the Wall Street brokerage houses, law firms cutting back on hiring. And, everybody I speak to in business in New York is grumbling business is off. You know, apartments and homes can't be sold and when they are sold they are sold for much less than people had originally asked for.

And, yet the unemployment rate-you know, it has gone up a little bit but not all that much. How do you explain that?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, the housing situation, which you refer to, is true really not just in New York but certainly in most of the major cities of the country, possibly not so much in the Southwest as in other parts of the country.
Part of it again is the labor force. The population in New York has not been increasing. It has been increasing rather rapidly in the western part of the country, maybe in the South, but certainly not in New York.

And, therefore, there is less upward pressure, less pressure from the labor force of people coming in.
Representative Solarz. Why has unemployment gone down in the West and the South, whereas it has gone up in the Northeast and the Mid-Atlantic States?

Mrs. Norwood. I think it is basically the industry composition of those areas. We are seeing a resurgence of the oil and gas extraction, which was down so much during the last recession. And, some of the aerospace industry and some of the other developments on the west coast, lumber and things of that sort, have been doing better.

So, it's industry composition, probably.
Representative Solarz. What impact on unemployment do you think Saddam Hussein's latest real estate acquisition will have?

Mrs. Norwood. I really have no idea, but it is very worrying.

Representative Solarz. Let me ask you finally, I gathered last October you did a survey of the 2.5 million young people who graduated from high school in 1989.
Could you tell us how many of them went on to college and how many tried to find jobs?
Mr. Plewes. We do this survey every October. Last October, we found that 60 percent of the high school graduates from the year previously were enrolled in college in October.
Representative Solarz. Sixty percent?
Mr. Plewes. Sixty percent, yes, sir.
Representative Solarz. Is that pretty much what it has been for the last few years?
Mr. Plewes. That is going up somewhat overall and, unfortunately, down for some population groups. But, yes, it's up somewhat.
I think that the interesting things have to do with what happens with persons who are-as the Commissioner talked about-persons who are dropouts, who are not in school and look at their labor force situation. And, I think that I commend you to that portion of her testimony. We talk about that.

Representative Solarz. I saw a rather shocking statistic awhile ago to the effect that there were more young black males in the prison system than in college. Do you know if that is accurate?

And, if you don't know, is it possible for you to do some research into this and get back to us to whether it is accurate? By the prison system, they didn't mean necessarily in prison but perhaps on probation or parole.

And, it seemed a truly shocking figure.
Mrs. Norwood. I think it's a staggering situation there, and I have seen some of those data. If you would like, we could check with the Bureau of Justice Statistics and the Center for Educational Statistics to see what they have.
Representative Solarz. Could you?
Mrs. Norwood. Sure.
Representative Solarz. It seemed so stunning and shocking and staggering.
Mrs. Norwood. We will be glad to do that.
Representative Solarz. I wanted to get some sense of whether the numbers were really accurate or not.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
[The following information was subsequently supplied for the record:]

```
Honorable Stephen J. Solarz
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. }2051
```

Dear Congressman Solarz:
I am responding to the inquiry you made during my August 3
appearance before the Joint Economic Committee regarding the
number of young black men in college and in prison.

Data collected from the Current Population Survey in October 1989 indicate that about 330,000 black males ages 18 to 24 were attending college. In comparison, the Bureau of Justice Statistics of the U.S. Department of Justice estimates that the number of black males ages 18 to 24 in jails or prisons was about 133,000 in 1989. (See below.)

```
Local jalls........ 55,000
State prisons...... 77,000
Federal prisons.... 1,325
```

Total............. 133,325
Data on young black males on parole or probation are not tabulated separately by the Justice Department. For additional information on these topics, your office may wish to contact the Bureau of Justice Statistics directiy, on 307-0765.

Please let me know if $I$ can be of further assistance.
sincerely yours,

JANET L. NORWOOD
Commissioner

Representative Hamilon. OK. I wanted to ask a question or two with respect to inflation.
What are the trends in compensation and wages that affect the outlook for inflation and how is inflation affected?
Mrs. Norwood. We are seeing a bit of heating up in compensation costs, both the fringe benefit side and the wage and salary side. It is still rather small.
But, nevertheless, there is some evidence that there could be in the future a little bit of upward pressure there.
Thus far, the second quarter of the year has seen moderate rates of inflation. We did have, as you know, that very, very vigorous growth of prices in the first quarter, an 8.5 percent rate; whereas, the seasonally adjusted rate for the second 3 months was only 3.5 percent.
Representative Hamilton. How do you describe your reaction to this? Is this something that is alarming? Is it something we have to be concerned about? Is it run of the mill?

How do you assess it?
Mrs. Norwood. Well-
Representative Hamilton. Disturbing?
Mrs. Norwood [continuing]. I think that we have seen a change in inflationary expectations in this country. Moderation and inflation now seems to be 4 or 5 percent.

As you know, some years ago, in the 1970 's-
Representative Hamiliton. Wage and price controls.
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, exactly. So, it depends on where you are coming from.

I think any heating up of inflation is, of course, a matter of concern, because it will affect very much both the Federal Government's budget as well as the budget of all people.

Representative Hamiliton. Excuse me, I didn't mean to interrupt you.

Mrs. Norwood. One point I would like to make is that we talk all the time about rates of increase of the CPI. And, when they are slow we look at that as being very good news. And, it is.

Nevertheless, we should recognize that much of these increases are cumulative, so that when you have to go to the grocery store and there is a very small increase in food prices this month, you are still facing all the larger increases added up that occurred in the previous couple of years.

So, there are serious problems here.
Representative Hamilton. We had an increase in the minimum wage that took effect April 1.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. When you get a jump like that in the minimum wage, do you usually see an increase in the inflation rate or not? And, could you detect it this time?

Mrs. Norwood. We have no evidence that there has been a direct effect of the minimum wage yet in our data. It may be a bit soon to see it.
But, even in the wage data there is not a lot of evidence of big movement. Now, some of that may be because, as you recall, the change in the minimum wage is really making it a somewhat more
realistic minimum. Many people were already above the minimum. There are still some who were not, who are moving up.

Often, what we see is that when the bottom moves up the whole wage structure moves up. It's not just the people at the bottom.

But, we don't have any evidence of that yet. We looked at it rather carefully in our employment cost index, where we would be seeing this structural change. We don't see a lot of evidence in it.

There is some evidence that we are beginning to look at from the current population survey, and we will be watching that with some care.

Representative Hamiton. Congressman Wylie.
Representative Wylie. I note that the unemployment rate rose from 5.2 percent to 5.5 percent nationally for the month of July. And, I need to get provincial for just a minute.

I was looking at the figure for Ohio. And, in May it was 5.9 percent and then it declined to 5.2 percent, which is a rather decided drop. And, now, it's back to 5.7 percent. But, the employment force was $5,107,000$ in May and it's $5,104,000$ now, which isn't a really big difference.
And, yet the unemployment rate jumped. Is there something significant about that?

Is that a significant increase in unemployment?
Mrs. Norwood. It's borderline. It's marginal. It requires eighttenths percent to be significant and it was five-tenths percent. It's close.
I think, again, we need to see what happens in the next couple of months.

The unemployment data for the States and local areas are a real problem for us statistically, because the only way you can really do them well is to have huge samples. And, that obviously is very expensive and it's a very great burden on the population of the country.

So, we try to use a mixture of survey data, and Ohio is one where we do have survey data. But, the samples are rather small. And, then we try to use administrative data to see how we can get a better fix on that.

I think that over the year we can look at it, from year to year very well, or over a period of several months.

Representative Wyuse. Does your Bureau collect that data? Or, do you depend on data which is supplied to you by the Bureau of Employment Services in Ohio?

Mrs. Norwood. No, no, no. We have a combination really. The data for the State of Ohio come from the current population survey, which is what we are using for our report this morning, to give you an indication of what is happening to the Nation as a whole.
In addition, of course, we have our business survey where the Employment Security Agency of the State of Ohio is a cooperating partner, and they collect data for us. And, then we have a series of administrative data, which give us some of the sub-State estimates, an ability to break this down for some of the areas within the State of Ohio.
But, the basic data we are talking about now on unemployment for the State of Ohio comes from the current population survey.

And, of course, it has a much larger margin of error surrounding the estimate than the national estimate does, because it's a smaller sample.
Representative Wylse. All right. That's interesting information. And, it's not something to be really alarmed about as far as Ohioans are concerned.
Mrs. Norwood. No, I don't think so, not this change. I think it has been fairly stable really for the year.

Representative Wylie. For the year?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Wycie. OK. Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Representative Hamilton. Mrs. Norwood, you are going to get a break this morning. We have a vote here and a lot of things popping. So, I don't think we are going to try to come back.
Thank you very much for your appearance. We may submit some additional questions to you in writing that we didn't get to here. If you could handle those, we would appreciate it, for the record.

And, without objection, those responses will be made part of the record.

We stand adjourned.
Mrs. Norwood. Thank you very much.
[Whereupon, at 10:06 a.m., the committee adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.]
[The following written questions and answers were subsequently supplied for the record:]

RESPONSES OF HON. JANET L. NORWOOD TO ADDITIONAL WRITTEN QUESTIONS
POSED BY REPRESENTATIVE HAMILTON
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## 20astrugtul, DC 20510-6502

August 3, 1990
The Honorable Janet Norwood
Commissioner
Bureau of Labor Statistics
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20212
Dear Madam Commissioner,
Thank you very much for appearing before the Joint Economic Committee this morning and for your excellent testimony on the employment and unemployment situation for July.

Because of the early vote, I was not able to address all of the issues I had hoped to raise during the hearing and I would appreciate having your answers to the following questions for the hearing record:

1. During the June hearing, you reported that payroll employment grew 160,000 in May and that all of this growth resulted from temporary hiring for the 1990 Census. With this moming's release, the total was revised to 370,000 , including 110,000 jobs in the private sector. I've noticed that similar revisions have occurred on several other occasions during the past year or so. What causes this kind of situation and what is BLS doing to resolve it?
2. During this moming's hearing, you discussed the labor market difficulties of black and Hispanic teenagers, particularly those who are high school dropouts. The Bureau of Labor Statistics' recemt release on the labor market activities of 1989 high school graduates suggests that even those who remain in school until they graduate are having unusual difficulties finding jobs. To quote the release,
any advantage in job prospects resuling from a reduced labor supply appears to have been offset by a dectine in the number of job opportunities available to them.

What has caused this decline in job opportunities for high school graduates? is it the result of permanent long-term changes in the economy or do you expect the problem to disappear when economic growth picks up again? Finally, is the problem concentrated among black and Hispanic high school graduates or do all high school graduates face bleak employment opportunities?
3. During the past year and a half, the economy grew at an annual rate of around 1.2 percent. Yet, until July, the unemployment rate was virtually steady at 5.3 percent. What has happened to Okm's Law, which said we need $2 \frac{1}{3}$ percent growth to keep the unemployment rate from rising? Can we keep unemployment steady with 1.2 percent growth in the future? Could labor shortages limit the ability of the economy to grow at a $21 / 2$ to 3 percent rate in the next few years? If the economy rebounds to an annual growth rate of $2 \%$ to 3 percent, would that tighten labor markets to such an extent that it would cause inflation?

I would appreciate having your response to these questions at your earliest convenience.

Again, thank you for your excellent-gestimony.


Lee H. Hamilton
Chaiman

## U. S. Department of Labor

Commissioner for
Bureau of Labor Staitstics
Washington, D C. 20212
SEP 7- 1990

Honorable Lee H. Hamilton
Chairman, Joint Economic Committee
Congress of the United States
Washington, D.C. 20515
Dear Mr. Chairman:
I am responding to your letter of August 3, in which you raised three questions that could not be covered during my testimony before that day's Joint Economic Committee session.

Your first question related to recent revisions to the monthly payroll employment figures. As you know, these estimates are derived from a monthly survey of approximately 340,000 business establishments that is conducted as a Federal/State cooperative program, with the States collecting the data by mail and forwarding them to the Bureau for use in producing the national estimates. Due to the very tight timing requirements for our initial publication, not all of the data are submitted by the companies in time for the initial publication of a given month's estimates. During the subsequent 2 months, as data from the full sample are mailed in, revised estimates are produced. Thus, the principal cause for the revisions is the delay in the collection process.

While revisions are a normal part of the current survey process and while their size does vary--with occasional large revisions taking place during the year--their average size has decreased considerably over the past decade. Nevertheless, the Bureau is still very much concerned with the revisions. To deal with the problem, we have been conducting research on highly automated collection methods, including pioneering efforts in the area of computerassisted telephone data collection. We have successfully developed systems for computer-assisted telephone interviewing, which, along with systems which allow for employer touchtone data entry reporting and voice-recognition reporting, will speed up the receipt of data from reporters. The President's budget for the upcoming fiscal year contains a funding request for the initiation of these automated collection methods in the largest States. If put in place, these automated data collection systems would be a first step in reducing the magnitude of the revisions to the payroll employment estimates.

Honorable Lee H. Hamilton--2
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The second issue you raised concerned the labor market difficulties facing the high school graduates of 1989 who did not go on to college. As you noted, our June 26 news release compares the employment situation of the 1989 high school graduates who did not enter college with similar youth 10 years earlier. We found that, despite a 38 -percent decline in the number of high school graduates over the period, the unemployment rates for the two groups were not significantly different.

Before conjecturing on the possible causes for this situation, it is worth noting that the absolute decline in the number of high school graduates not attending college was a function of both demographics--the 1989 graduates are part of the relatively small "baby-bust" cohort--and of an increase in the proportion of high school graduates attending college. About a third of the decline can be attributed to a rise in college enrollment rates, which increased from 49 percent in 1979 to 60 percent in 1989 .

A partial explanation for the stubbornly high unemployment rates for high school graduates may lie with the paucity of job opportunities for young jobseekers in the manufacturing industry. Current employment levels in manufacturing are well below the levels found in l979, so that, while 29 percent of employed men age 20 to 24 worked in factories in 1979, only 19 percent did so in 1989.

The labor market difficulties faced by young high school graduates are not confined to blacks or Hispanics, although such problems seem to be more serious for these individuals. The unemployment rate for white high school graduates of 1989 not enrolled in college was 13.6 percent, compared with a rate of 23.3 percent for their black counterparts. (Due to the small size of the Hispanic cohort and the resulting high sampling error, unemployment rates for this group are not published.) Numerically, whites accounted for nearly 8 of 10 of these unemployed high school graduates.

It should be noted that the unemployment rates of workers at every level of educational attainment typically decline with age, and this can be expected to help even those who do not go on to college. However, the employment requirements we project for the year 2000 suggest that job opportunities in the fastest growing occupations will require education beyond high school. Such projections do not bode well for the employment and earnings prospects of the less well educated in our society.

Honorable Lee H. Hamilton--3
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The final issue you raised concerned the relationship between unemployment and the growth rate of real Gross National Product (GNP), as modeled by "Okun's Law." In its original formulation, okun's Law was an empiricallybased observation relating a change in the unemployment rate with a change in the growth rate of GNP. Data for the 1950 s and 60 s suggested that a 1 -percentage-point increase in the unemployment rate would be accompanied by a 3 -percent decline in GNP. Structural change in the economy during the $70 s$ and $80 s$ altered this relationship, lowering the ratio to about 1:2.5.
An alternative interpretation of Okun's Law relates a certain growth rate of potential GNP with constant unemployment, as you have suggested. If one accepts 2.5 percent annual growth as a correct estimate of potential GNP, then the low growth rate of GNP and the fairly steady rate of unemployment which have been observed over the past 2 years do indeed seem inconsistent with Okun's Law. However, Okun's Law is based on observation of past trends. We suspect that there will be periods in which the exact relationship does not hold; indeed, there have been such periods in the past.
Estimates of potential GNP are principally based on steady growth rates of the labor force and productivity. During the past year, we have experienced particularly slow labor force growth, which goes a long way in explaining the lack of much upward pressure on the unemployment rate. As for the future, in the Bureau's moderate growth scenario, we projected a 2.3-percent annual growth rate of GNP to the year 2000 and an annual labor force growth of 1.2 percent.
I hope I have satisfactorily answered your questions.
Please let me know if $I$ can be of additional assistance.
Sincerely yours,
graet

[^10]
## EMPLOYMENT-UNEMPLOYMENT

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 1990<br>Congress of the United States, Joint Economic Committee, Washington, $D C$

The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:32 a.m., in room 2359, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. James H. Scheuer (member of the committee) presiding.

Present: Representative Scheuer.
Also present: William Buechner, Steve Baldwin, and Jim Klumpner, professional staff members; and Joe Cobb, minority staff director.

## OPENING STATEMENT OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHEUER, PRESIDING

Representative Scheuer. The Joint Economic Committee will come to order.

In the absence of Chairman Hamilton, I am very pleased to welcome Commissioner Janet Norwood of the Bureau of Labor Statistics before the Joint Economic Committee this morning.

Commissioner Norwood and her colleagues are here to testify on the employment and unemployment data for August 1990.

Commissioner Norwood, we welcome you and we are very pleased to have you here, and I am delighted to have the chance to chair this hearing.
Before you present your statement on the August figures, I want to focus briefly on the jobs situation for young people this summer.

Earlier this week the BLS issued a release on the youth labor force this summer which presented some very disturbing data, which you very clearly identified yourself.

According to that release, the labor force participation rate among young people aged 16 to 24 years old fell 2.3 percentage points between last summer and this summer, and what is most disturbing, and what you found disturbing I presume from your language, is the decline in the labor force participation of black youths.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Scheuer. Only 62 percent of the black youths worked or looked for work this summer, 6 percentage points less than last summer. By contrast for white youths, it was down only 1.3 to 78.3 points as contrasted to 62 percent for the black youths.

You yourself in your press release said, "The 16 percentage point gap between the races in the July labor market participation rates is the broadest since separate statistics for blacks were first tabu-
lated in 1972." So you yourself clearly identified this as an area that should give us deep concern.

Your data showed the same discrepancy in the percentage of each group that actually found jobs; 71.4 percent of all white youths aged 16 to 24 had jobs this summer, while only 46.9, roughly 47 , percent as against 71 percent of white youths, but only 47 percent of black youths had jobs.

Not only was the gap large to begin with, but it also grew almost 6 percent since last summer.

This summer there were 1.1 million fewer jobs for young people than last summer, according to your own press release, and this cutback in summer jobs, particularly for black youths, hurts our society and our economy badly at a time when we are trying to make our economy more competitive and more productive, and when we are trying to give young people an incentive to stay in school and out of criminal activity, out of drugs, out of welfare, and when we want to make them tax producers and not tax eaters. And we certainly want to do everything we can to stop and impede and slow down the growth of a subgroup of young people in our society who clearly aren't going to make it.
It's a terribly disturbing thing to us. So we may want to discuss this with you further today or perhaps when you come back next month.

In any event, we appreciate your highlighting these significant and troublesome trends. We didn't have to ferret them out for ourselves. You presented them very fairly and in a very straightforward fashion.

We're very happy to have you here to testify. Please take as much time as you may need.

STATEMENT OF HON. JANET L. NORWOOD, COMMISSIONER, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, ACCOMPANIED BY KENNETH V. DALTON, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF PRICES AND LIVING CONDITIONS; AND THOMAS J. PLEWES, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS
Mrs. Norwood. Thank you very much. We are very happy to be here.

As usual, I have with me on my right Kenneth Dalton, our Associate Commissioner for Prices and Living Conditions, and on my left Thomas Plewes, who is our Associate Commissioner for Employment and Unemployment.

The Nation's employment situation showed further signs of weakness in August. The survey of business establishments indicates that substantial job losses occurred in the goods-producing industries and that the private service-producing sector had relatively little employment growth.

The civilian unemployment rate, at 5.6 percent, was three-tenths of a percentage point above the 5.3 rate that generally prevailed through 1989 and the first half of this year.

A closer look at the results from the business survey shows that construction employment fell by 40,000 in August. Job losses in that industry since May now total nearly 100,000 .

Factory jobs declined in August by 45,000. Since manufacturing employment began to slide early last year, 455,000 factory jobs have been lost.

In August, 30,000 jobs were lost in the electronic equipment and transportation equipment industries combined, accounting for the bulk of the over-the-month decline in manufacturing.

The service-producing sector has also weakened, after many years of strong job growth during the expansion. Now, only health services and State and local government are sustaining a strong pace of job creation.

Health services provided 45,000 additional jobs in August, and State and local governments added 60,000 more between them. And employment in other service-producing industries continued weak, so that the expected decline of census workers resulted in a decline of 75,000 in overall business payroll employment.

Our household survey data suggest that the civilian jobless rate has begun to move up, following an usually long period of stability.

When compared with a year ago, both teenagers and adult men have higher jobless rates. The jobless rate for adult men has increased by a full half a percentage point over the year to 5 percent, and the rate for teenagers, at 16.7 percent in August, was 2 percentage points higher.

In addition, the size of the teenage labor force continues to shrink-and at a rate far faster than the decline in their population. Over the last year, for example, the total number of 16- to 19-year-olds fell by 450,000 , but their labor force fell by more than twice that amount.

For black teenagers, rising unemployment combined with declining labor force participation means that fewer than one in four black teenagers now holds a job.

The employment-population ratio for white teenagers has also been on a downswing, but the proportion of white teenagers with a job is twice that of black teenagers.

I should also note that the August data show the first signs of trouble in two unemployment indicators: Both the number of recently unemployed persons-those jobless for less than 5 weeksand the number of unemployed job losers rose this past month.

In summary, the statistics for August released today provide evidence of further weakness in the Nation's job market. The manufacturing and construction industries continued to lose jobs, and only a few industries in the service-producing sector maintain much forward momentum.

Teenagers seem to have had the most problems in recent months, but few worker groups have been completely immune from the slowdown.

Mr. Chairman, we would be glad to try to answer any questions you have.
[The table attached to Mrs. Norwood's statement, together with the Employment Situation press release, follows:]

Unemployment rates of all civilian workers by alternative seasonal adjustment methods

| Month and year | Unadjusted rate | X-11 ARIMA method |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { X-1 } 1 \text { method } \\ \text { (official } \\ \text { method } \\ \text { before } 1980 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Range 2-9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Official procedure | Concurrent (as first computed) | Concurrent (revised) | Stable | Total | Residual | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text { month } \\ \text { extrapola- } \\ \text { tion } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August...... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | . 1 |
| September... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | - |
| October...... | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | - |
| November..... | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.4 | . 1 |
| December.... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.4 | . 1 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January..... | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | - |
| February.... | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| March........ | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.2 | . 2 |
| Apri1....... | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | - |
| May.......... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | . 1 |
| June......... | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | . 1 |
| Ju1y......... | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | .1 |
| August....... | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | . 1 |

(1) Unacjustec rate. Unemployment rate for all civilian vorkera, not seasoanlly adjusted.
(2) Official procedure ( $\mathrm{X}-11$ ARTMA gerhod). The published seasonaliy adjusted rate for
all civilian vorkers. Each of the 3 gejor civilian labor force componente-agriculcural
 females, ages 16-19 and 20 years and over-are seasonally adjusted independencly using dara from January 1974 forward. The dara series for each of these 12 coaposents are extended by a year at each end of the original eeries using ARima (Auto-Regresive, Integrated, Moving Aversge) models chosen apecifically for each series. Each extended eeries is then seasonally adjusted witb the I-11 portion of the $\mathrm{I}-11$ ARIMA progras. The 4 teenage unemployant and nonagricultural employment component are adfuated vith the additive adjustant model, while the other components are adjusted with the multiplicative aodel. The uneaploywent rate is computed by curaing the a masomaliy adfusted unemployment componeots and calculating that total as a perceat of cbe civilian labor force total derived by sumang all 12 seasomally adjusted coeposeass. All the sasonaliy adjusted series are revised at the end of each year. Extrapolated factors for January-June are computed at the begianing of each year; extrapolated factors for July-December are computed in the addele of the year after the June deta becose avallable. Each set of 6 -month factore are published in advance, in the Jazuary and July issues, respectively, of Emplogent and Earniogs.
(3) Concuifent (as first computed, $x-11$ arima method). The official procedure for compuration of the rate for ail civilian vorkers using the 12 components is followed except that extrapolated factors are not used at all. Each component is seasoanlly adjuated With the $X-11$ ARIMA program each month as the cost recent data become avallable. Rates for eact gonth of the current gear are show os first compured; they are revised only once each year, at the end of the gear then data for the full gear become avallable. For erample, the rate for january 198i would be baead, during 1984, on the adjustment of data from the period Jaousry 1974 through Januaty 1984.
(4) Concurrent (revised, X-11 ARIMA wethad). The procedure used is identical to (3) above, and the rate for the current month (rhe last moneh diaplayed) vill alvays be the same in the tuc columes, Howeve, all previous months are subject to revision each month bssed on the seasonal adjustetnt of all the components uith data through the current month.
(3) Stable ( $\mathrm{X}-11$ arima gerhod). Each of the 12 civilian labor force components is extended using aRIMA models as in the official procedure and then run through the $X-11$ part of the prograf using the stable option. This option assumes that seasonal patterts are basically constant from year-toyear and computes final messonal factors as unveighted averages of all the seasonal-irregular componeats for each month across she ensire span of the period adjusted, as in the official procedure, factors are ext tapolated in 6 -month intervais and the eeries are revised at the end of each year. The frocedure for computation of the rate from the seasorally adjusted comporents is alsc dencical to the official procedure.
(6) Iotal (X-11 arima method). This is one alternative aggregation procedure, in vhich cotal unemploymat and civillan labor force levele are extended uith ARIM models and directly adjusted vith mulriplicative adjustment models in the X-11 part of the progras. The rate is compured by taking teasonally adjusted total unetuploymeta as a percent of ceasonally adjusted cotal civilian labor force. Factors are extrapolated in b-month intervals and the series revised at the ead of each year.
(7) Residual ( $X-11$ ARIMA wethod). This is avocher alternative aggregetion method, in which rosal civilian employmeot and civilian labor force levels are extended using ARIMA models and chen directig adfusted with mitiplicative adjustmat models. The eesconally adjusted uneaploymant level in derived by subtracting aeasonally sdjused employmar from seasonally adjusted labor force. The rate is then computed by taking the derived unemploynat level as a percent of the labor force level. Factori are extrapolated in 6-month iatervals add the ceries reviaed at the end of each jear.
(8) 12-nooth extrapolation (X-11 ARIMA method). This approach is the ase as the official procedure except that the factors are extrapolated in 12 -apoth intervels. The factors for Jatuary-Decesber of the curreat yaar are computed at the begianday of the year based on data through the precedint gear. The values for Jamusy through Juse of the eurrent jear are the sase at the official values since they reflect the ame factors.
(9) X-11 Eethod (officisl method before 1980). The Ethod for computation of the official procedure is used except that the beries art oot extended vith ARIMA modela and the factors are projected in 12 -aonth intervale. The standard $\mathrm{X}-11$ progras is used to perform the seasomal adjustment.

Merhods of adfusteant: The $X-11$ AkIMA method was developed at Statiotics Canada by the Seasonal Adjustmant and Times Series Staff under the direction of Eatela Bee Dagum. The tethod is deseribed in the $X-11$ Ahima Seasonal adjusraent Method, by Ectela see Degum, Statistics Camade Catalogue No. 12-564E, Fedruary 1980.

The standard X-11 eethod is described in X-11 Variant of the Census Merhod II 5easonal Acjustmant Prograf, by Jullus Shiakin, Alian Young and John Musgrave (fechnical Paper No. 15, Bureau of the Ceraus, 1967).
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| Technical information (202) | $523-1371$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $523-1944$ |
|  | $523-1959$ |
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THE EMPLOMENT SITUATION: AUGUST 1990

Employment continued to be weak in August and unemployment rose slightly, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor reported today. The civilian worker unemployment rate edged up to 5.6 percent in August, after a more substantial increase in July.

Nonfarm payroll employment, as measured by the survey of business establishments, was little changed at 110.7 million in August, the second consecutive month it has failed to show any growth. Total civilian employment, as measured by the survey of households, fell for the second month in a row, to 117.7 million in August.

## Unemplovment (Household Survey Data)

Both the number of unemploved persons and the civilian worker unemployment rate edged up in August, after seasonal adjustment, with the number of unemployed reaching 7.0 million and the rate 5.6 percent. Prior to July, the jobless rate had fluctuated around the 5.3 -percent mark for nearly 2 years. (See table A-2.)

Over-the-month movements in the jobless rates for most individual worker groups were very small but generally upward. August rates were 5.0 percent for adult men, 4.9 percent for adult women, 16.7 percent for teenagers, 4.8 percent for whites, 11.8 percent for blacks, and 7.8 percent for Hispanics. (See tables A-2 and A-3.)

The number of unemploved persons who lost their last jobs rose by 280,000 in August, while there was little change in the number who voluntarily left their last jobs or in the number who were entering the

[^11]Table A. Major indicators of labor market activity, seasonally adjusted


| HOUSEYOLD DATA | Thousands of persons |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force 1 | 126,300 | 126,550: | 126,466. | 126,394; | 126,300. -94 |
| Tutal employment $1 /$ | 119,758: | 119,927: | 120,019 | 119,580: | 119.298: -282 |
| Civilian labor forc | 124,619: | 124,908 | 124,836 | 124,767 | 124,660: -107 |
| Civilian employment. | 118,077: | 118,285: | 118,389: | 117,953: | 117,658: -295 |
| linermployment. | 6,541. | 6,623. | 6,447 | 6,814: | 7,003: 189 |
| Not in labor for | 62,793. | 62,916. | 63,141: | 63,369: | 63,601: 232 |
| Discouraged work | 747 . | 89.3 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A., N.A. |


| Unemployment rates: | Percent of labor force |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers 1/...... | 5.2: | 5.2: | 5.1. | 5.4: | 5.5: | 0.1 |
| All civilian workers: | 5.2; | 5.3: | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.6: | . 1 |
| Adult men.. | 4.6 : | 4.8: | 4.7: | 4.9: | 5.0 : | . 1 |
| Adult women. | 4.7: | 4.6 : | 4.5 : | 4.7: | 4.9: | . 2 |
| Teenagers | 14.5. | 14.8: | 14.1: | 16.3: | 16.7: | . 4 |
| White. | 4.6: | 4.6 : | 4.5: | 4.6 : | 4.8: | . 2 |
| Black... | 10.8: | 10.4: | 10.4: | 11.3: | 11.8: | . 5 |
| Hıspanic origin.... | 7.5: | $7.6:$ | 7.1: | 7.9: | 7.8: | -. 1 |
|  | : | : | : | : |  |  |


| ESTABLISHMENT DATA 2.' | Thousands of jobs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonfarm employment | 109,911: | 110,541. | 110,829 | pl10,740 | p110,665: | p-75 |
| Goods-producing. | 25,262: | 25,178: | 25,162 | p25.100 | p25,008: | p-92 |
| Service-producing | 84,649. | 85,363: | 85,667 | p85,640 | p85,657 | pl 7 |


|  | Hours of work |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average weekly hours: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total privatte...... | 34.5 | 34.6 | 34.7 , | p34.5 | p34.5: | p. 0 |
| Manufacturing | 40.8 . | 40.9 | 41.0 | P40.9: | p41.0 | p. 1 |
| Overtime. | 3.6. | 3.7 | 3.8 | p3.8: | p 3.9: | p. 1 |

[^12]sarch 1989 benchmarks, conversion to the
1987 Standard Industrial Classification
(SIC) structure, and updaterd seawinal
adjustment factors.
labor force. The number of newly unerquloyed persons, those jobless for less than 5 weeks, rose by 200,000 to 3.3 million. (See tables A-7 and A-8.)

## Civilian Employment and the Labor Force (Household Survey Data)

Total civilian employment fell by 300,000, seasonally adjusted, to a level of 117.7 million . Most of this decline occurred among teenagers. Total employment has declined by 730,000 in the last 2 months. As a result, the proportion of the working-age population that is employed (the employment-population ratio) declined to 62.5 percent in August, down by half a percentage point over the past 2 months. (See table A-2.)

The number of persons in the civilian labor force, 124.7 million , and the labor force participation rate, 66.2 percent, were little changed over the month, after seasonal adjustment. Over the past year, the labor force has increased by only 570,000 , as growth in the working-age population has slowed and the percentage participating in the labor force has diminished. Virtually all of the reduced labor force participation has occurred among teenagers, whose participation rate was down by about $5-1 / 2$ percentage points from a year earlier. (See table A-2.)

## Industry Payroll Employment (Establishment Survey Data)

Payroll emplovment continued to be weak in August, as job declines occurred throughout the goods-producing sector. These losses were only partly offset by small gains in the service-producing sector. Largely because of a further decline in the number of terporary census workers, total payroll employment edged down by 75,000 over the month, following a decrease of 90,000 (as revised) in July. Employment growth in the private sector, which had been slowing since early 1989, has essentially halted during the last 2 months. (See table B-1.)

Goods-producing employment fell by 90,000 in August. The number of manufacturing jobs declined by 45,000 , with virtually all of the losses occurring in durable goods industries, particularly in electronic equipment and transportation equipment. Since reaching a prist-recession peak in January 1989, the number of factory jobs has declined by 455,000. The industries with the largest losses include electronic: equipment ( $-100,000$ ), motor vehicles $(-80,000)$, apparel $(-55,000)$, fabricated metals $(-50,000)$, and instruments $(-40,000)$.

Construction erployment continued its recent downtrend with a $\mathbf{4 0 , 0 0 0}$ reduction in August and has lost nearly 100,000 jobs in the last 3 months. Employment in mining, which had grown by 60,000 since last July, decreased by 7,000 in August.

In the service-producing sector, the number of services industry jobs rose by 70,000 in August. Much of the gain cane from health services, which has accounted for more than a quarter of the total job growth over the past year. In contrast, business services showed no change in August, following a small decline in July; this industry, which had seen rapid job gains during much of the expansion, has had much slower growth since early 1989.

State and local qovernments continued their empioyment expansion in August, adding 60,000 jobs. Overall government emplownent fell by 65,000 jobs, however, because of further reductions in the number of decennial census workers (which was down by an eatimited 120,000 over the month). Retail trade showed little change over the month and has been unurually sluggish for most of this year. The wholesale trade, transportation and public utilities, and finairse, insurance, and real estate irctustries all experienced gmall jab gains in Ausust after incurring small losses. in the prior month.

Weekly Hours (Establishment Survev Data)
The average workweek of production or nonsuppervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls was unchanged- in August at 34.5 hours, geasonally adjusted. In mamfacturing, the worloveek and overtime each edged up by 0.1 hour to 41.0 and 3.9 hours, respectively. (See table B-2.)

The index of aggregate weekly hours of private production or nonsupervisory workers-which combines the effects of employment and hours--inched downward in August to 124.6 (1982=100), after geasonal adjustment. The index for mamufacturing also edged down, to 107.2. Both indexes have shown little change thus far during 1990. (See table B-5.)

Fourly and Weekly Earnings (Establighnent Survey Data)
After seasonal adjustment, average hourly and weekly earnings each edged up 0.2 percent. Prior to seasonal adjustinent, average hourly. earnings declined 1 cent to \$9.99, while average weekly earnings fell \$1.35 to $\$ 347.65$. Over the year, average hourly earnings rose 4.0 percent and average weekly earnings were up 3.7 percent. (See tables B-3 and B-4.)

## Revisions in Establishment Survey Data

In accordance with anmal practice, the establishment survey data have been revised to reflect comprehensive universe counts of payroll jobs (benchmarks). These counts were derived principally from unerployment insurance tax records for March 1989. In addition, all industry series have been converted to 1987 Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes. This structure replaces the 1972 SIC coding structure previously in effect for industry estimates.

The impact of SIC restructuring was negligible at the total nonfarm and major inctustry division levels, but more gignificant in some of the detailed industries presented in this release. In particular, electronic and other electrical equipment (SIC 36), instruments and related products (SIC 38), and business services (SIC 73) were affected by sizable coverage changes due to the SIC revision.

As is the usual practice with the introduction of new benchmarks, geagonal adjustment factors have been recalculated based on the experience through May 1990. As a result, seasonally adjusted series back to Jamary 1985 are subject to revision. BIS usen the X-l1 ARIMA (Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average) seasonal adjustment methodology to seasonally
adjust establishment-based employment, hours, and earnings data. In June 1989, BLS began the computation of projected factors twice a year for use in seasonally adjusting establishment-based employment, hours, and earnings data. This schedule was interrupted by the timing delays in the benchmark adjustment occasioned by the incorporation of the SIC revision (which affected some 3,600 industry series). As a result, with the release of data this month, new seasonal adjustment factors for the 9 -month period, August 1990 through April 1991, are being introduced. Factors for the 6month period May-October 1991 will be computed and incorporated with the release of May 1991 estimates, reestablishing the practice of publishing 6 months of factors in advance.

A new moving-holiday extension of X-11 ARIMA was introduced in April 1990 and was used to seasonally adjust the average weekly hours series and manufacturing overtime series. Historical seasonally adjusted series have now been recomputed from January 1980 forward to incorporate this ad justment.

All unadjusted establishment data series from April 1988 forward and all seasonally adjusted series from January 1985 forward are affected by both the benchmark and SIC revisions announced today. Industry series that are affected by revisions in the SIC have been revised back to the inception of the series, to the extent possible. Also, all published constant-dollar and indexed series have been recomputed on a 1982 base, replacing the previously published 1977-based data.

The September 1990 issue of Employment and Earnings will contain a more detailed description of the effects of the benchmark and SIC revisions, seasonal adjustment methodology, and the revised seasonal adjustment factors to be used for August 1990-April 1991. That issue will also present revised estimates for all regularly published tables containing national establishment survey data on employment, hours, and earnings. All of the revised historical series, as well as historical series unaffected by the revisions, will be published in a historical bulletin, Employment, Hours, and Earnings, United States, 1909-1990. Persons wishing further explanation of these revisions may call BLS staff members on 202-523-1172.

The Enployment Situation for Septenber 1990 will be released on Friday, October 5, at 8:30 A.M. (EDT).

## Explanatory Note

This news release presents statistics from two major surveys, the Current Population Survey (household survey) and the Current Employment Statistics Survey (establishment survey). The household survey provides the information on the labor force, total employment, and unemployment that appears in the A tables, marked HOUSEHOLD DATA. It is a sample survey of about $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 0 0}$ housetolds that is conducted by the Bureau of the Census with most of the findings analyzed and published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

The erablishment survey provides the information on the employment, hours, and earnings of workers on ponfarm payrolls that appears in the B tables, marked ESTABLISHMENT DATA. This information is collected from payroll records by bls in cooperation with State agencies. The sumple includes over 340,000 establishmems employing over 40 million people.
For both surveys, the date for a given month are actually collected for and relate to a particular week. In the bousehold survey, unless otherwise indieated, it is the calendar week that contuins the 12 th day of the month, which is called the survey week. In the establishment survey, the reference week is the pay period including the 12 th, which may or may not correspond directly to the calendar week.

The data in this release are affected by a number of technical factors, including definitions, survey differences, seasonal adjustments, and the inevitable variance in results between a survey of a sample and a census of the entire population. Each of these factors is explained below.

## Coverage, defliltions, and differences <br> befween surveys

The sample households in the household survey are selected $s 0$ as to reflect the entire civilian noninstitutional population 16 years of age and older. Each person in a houschold is classified as employed, unemployed, or not in the labor force. Those who hold more than one job are classified according to the job at which they worked the most hours.

People are classified as emptoyed if they did any work at all as paid civilians; worked in their own business or profession or on their own farm; or worked 15 hours or more in an enterprise operated by a member of their family, whether they were peid or not. People are also counted as employed if they were on unpaid leave because of illness, bad weather, disputes between labor and management, or personal reasons. Members of the Armed Forces stationed in the United States are also included in the employed toral.

People are chasified as unemplowed, regardless of their eliaibility for unemployment benefits or public axsistance. if they meet all of the following criteria: They had no employment during the survey week; they were available for work at
that time; and they made specific efforts to find employment sometime during the prior 4 weeks. Persons laid off from their former jobs and awaiting recall and those expecting to report to a job within 30 days need not be looking for work to be counted as unemployed.
The labor force equals the sum of the number employed and the number unemployed. The unemployment rete is the percentage of unemployed people in the labor force (civilian plus the resident Armed Forces). Table A-S presents a special grouping of seven mearures of unemployment based on varying definitions of unemployment and the labor force. The definitions are provided in the table. The most restrictive definition yields U-I and the most comprehensive yields U-7. The overall unemployment rate is U-5a, while U.5b represents the same measure with a civilian labor force base.

Unlike the household survey, the entablistiment survey only counts wage and salary employees whose names appear on the payroll records of nonfirm firms. As a result, there are many differences berween the two surveys, among which are the following:
 berger sefmen of the population; the exsablishmens turvey actudes aqriculture. the zulsemployed, unpedid femily wortern. bivivit bouncold worters, and members of un restoman Anmed forces:

- The hocsetrold aurvey bectuodes people of unbeid keve tunona the employed; the exteblistiment gurwe does not:
 exablishment surwy is pot linithed by ase:
- The houschodd survey hau no dupticarion of individuals, beccuuse each in-
 more than one job or ocherwise appearing on more then one pegroll would be counted separaseity for exch appeannce.

Other differences between the two surveys are described in "Comparing Employment Estimates from Houschold and Payroll Surveys," which may be obtained from the bus upon request.

## Seasonal adjuatment

Over the course of a year, the size of the Nation's labor force and the levets of employment and unemployment undergo sharp fluctuations due to such seasonal events as changes in weather, reduced or expanded production, harvests, major holidays, and the opening and closing of schools. For example, the labor force increases by a large number each June, when schools close and many youns people enter the job marker. The effert of such seasonal variation can be very large: over the course of a year, for example, teasonality may sccount for as much as 95 percens of the month-to-month changes in unemployment.

Because these seasonal events follow a more or less regular pattern each year, their influence on statistical uends can be eliminated by adjusting the statistics from month to month. These adjustments make nonseasonal developments, such as declines in economic activity or increases in the participation of women in the labor force, easier to spot. To return to the school's-out example, the large number of people entering the labor force each June is likely to obscure any other changes that have taken place since May, making it difficult to determine if the level of economic activity has risen or declined. However, because the effect of students finishing school in previous years is known, the statistics for the current year can be adjusted to allow for a comparable change. Insofar as the seasonal adjustment is made correctly, the adjusted figure provides a more useful tool with which to analyze changes in ceonomic activity.
Measures of labor force, employment, and unemployment contain components such as age and sex. Statistics for all employees, production workers, average weekly hours, and sverage hourly earnings include components based on the employer's industry. All these statistics can be seasonally adjusted either by adjusting the total or by adjusting each of the components and combining them. The second procedure usually yields more accurate information and is therefore followed by 8Ls. For example, the seasonally adjusted figure for the labor force is the sum of eight seasonally adjusted civilian employment components, plus the resident Armed Forces total (not adjusted for seasonality), and four seasonally adjusted unemployment components; the total for unemployment is the sum of the four unemployment components; and the overall unemployment rate is derived by dividing the resulting estimate of total unemployment by the estimate of the labor force.
The numerical factors used to make the seasonal adjustments are recalculated regularly. For the household survey, the factors are calculated for the January-June period and again for the July-December period. For the establishment survey, updated factors for seasonal adjustment are also calculated twice a year. In both surveys, revisions to historical data are made once a year.

## Sampling variability

Statistics based on the household and establishment surveys are subject to sampling error, that is, the estimate of the number of people employed and the other estimates drawn from these surveys probably differ from the figures that would be obrained from a complete census, even if the same questionnaires and procedures were used. In the household survey, the amount of the differences can be expressed in terms of standard errors. The numerical value of a standard error depends upon the size of the sample, the results of the survey, and other factors. However, the numerical value is always such that the chances are approximately 68 out of 100 that an estimate based on the sample will differ by no more than the standard error
from the results of a complete census. The chances are approx imately 90 out of 100 that an estimate based on the sample will differ by no more than 1.6 times the standard error from the results of a complete census. At approximately the 90 -percent level of confidence-the confidence limits used by als in its analyses-the error for the monthly change in total employment is on the order of plus or minus 358,000 ; for total unemployment it is 224,000 ; and, for the overall unemployment rate, it is 0.19 percentage point. These figures do not mean that the sample results are off by these magnitudes but, rather, that the chances are approximately 90 out of 100 that the "true" level or rate would not be expected to differ from the estimates by more than these amounts.
Sampling errors for monthly surveys are reduced when the data are cumulated for several months, such as quarterly or annually. Also, as a general rule, the smaller the estimate, the larger the sampling error. Therefore, relatively speaking, the estimate of the size of the labor force is subject to less error than is the estimate of the number unemployed. And, among the unemployed, the sampling error for the jobless rate of aduh men, for example, is much smaller than is the error for the jobless rate of teenagers. Specifically, the error on monthly change in the jobless rate for men is .25 percentage point; for teenagers, it is 1.29 percentage poims.

In the establishment survey, estimates for the 2 most current months are based on incomplete returns; for this reason, these estimates are labeled preliminary in the tables. When all the returns in the sample have been received, the estimates are revised. In other words, data for the month of September are published in preliminary form in October and November and in final form in December. To remove errors that build up over time, a comprehensive count of the employed is conducted each year. The results of this survey are used to establish new benchmarks-comprehensive counts of employment-against which month-to-month changes can be measured. The new benchmarks also incorporate changes in the classification of industries and allow for the formation of new establishments.

## Additional statistics and other Information

In order to provide a broad view of the Nation's employment situation, BLS regularly publishes a wide variety of data in this news release. More comprehensive statistics are contained in Employment and Earnings, published each month by bls. It is available for $\$ 8.50$ per issue or $\$ 25.00$ per year from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 20204. A check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents must accompany all orders.

Employment and Earnings also provides approximations of the standard errors for the houschold survey data published in this release. For unemployment and other labor force categories, the standard errors appear in tables B through J of its "Explanatory Notes." Measures of the reliability of the data drawn from the establishment survey and the actual amounts of revision due to benchmark adjustments are provided in tables $M, O, P$, and $Q$ of that publication.



Tedo A-2 Employment staturs of the ctrilian popudation by sex and age

|  | Wot messonally majuated |  |  | Seasomaly aduradi' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aup } \\ & 1899 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nefy } \\ & \text { to90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alug } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACOF: } \\ & \text { 18BO } \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Mayy}_{1050}$ | $0$ | ${ }_{1000}$ | Aus. <br> 1890 |
| total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilen noninatiutional popudation | 186.598 | 188,136 | 188,261 | 188,598 | 187,068 | 167,820 | 187,977 | 188.136 | 180.261 |
|  | 125,444 | 128.900 | 128.012 | 124,070 | 124,885 | 125,004 | 124,836 | 124,787 | 124,680 |
| Partipation rate ..........-.................................... | 67.2 | 67.5 | 86.9 | 68.5 | 68.5 | 68.6 | 68.4 | 60.3 | 68.2 |
|  | 119.092 | 119.854 | 119.174 | 117.550 | 118.118 | 110,350 | 110,389 | 117,953 | 117,659 |
|  | 63.8 | 63.8 | 63.3 | 83.0 | 82.8 8.70 | 83.0 8.85 | 83.0 | 62.7 6.814 | 62.5 |
|  | 6.352 | 6.045 | 6.837 | 8.520 | 8.770 | 8,653 | 6,447 | 6,814 5.5 | 7,003 5.6 |
| Unampabyment rato ................................................... | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hern, 20 years end over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covilan nonimstitutionsel popputation | 81,754 | 82.790 | 82,862 | $\begin{aligned} & 61,754 \\ & 63.717 \end{aligned}$ | 82,48764,251 | ${ }^{82,561}$ | 82.878 | 122.790 | ${ }^{62} 882$ |
|  | 64.167 | 64,963 | 64.773 |  |  |  | 84,364 | 64,344 | ${ }^{828832}$ |
|  | 78.5 | 78.3 | 78.2 | 77.960,881 | 77.961,138 | 77.981,265 | 77.9 | 7.7 | 77.7 61.143 |
|  | 61,603 | 61.85174.8 | 81.862 |  |  |  | 81,345 | 61,186 | 61.143 |
|  | 75.4 |  | 74.7 |  | $\begin{array}{r}74.1 \\ 2,258 \\ \hline 2.28\end{array}$ | 2,389 | 74.2 2400 | 73989 |  |
| Agricutare ..........................-.................................... | 2.529 | 2.486 | 2.435 |  | 58,879 |  | 2,400 | 2,282 | 2,246 |
|  | 58.074 | 59,464 | 59.427 | 58,521 |  |  | 58,945 | 50.934 | 58,697 |
|  |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.856 \\ 4.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.913 \\ 4.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.047 \\ 4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.019 \\ 4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3.148 \\ 4.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3.219 5.0 |
| Women, 20 yeart and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilisn noninstitutionsal popudation $\qquad$ Clullian tabor force $\qquad$ Perricipation rate $\qquad$ | 90.684 |  | 98,581 | 91,689 | 90,634 | 81.330 | 91,4:4 | 01,485 | 01.581 | 91,688 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}52.000 \\ 57.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 52.85357.7 | 52.974 | 52,352 | $\begin{array}{r} 52,854 \\ 58.0 \end{array}$ | 53, 14658.1 | 53,17458.158 | 53.21158.1 | 53.31550.1 |
|  |  |  | 57.8 | 57.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}46.352 \\ 54.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 50,210 | 50,183 | 48.875 | 50.427 | 50,709 | 50,776 | 50,719 | 50,089 |
| Empoymera-population retio' |  | $\begin{aligned} & 54.8 \\ & 676 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.7 \\ 674 \end{array}$ | 55.0 <br> 642 | 55.2689 | $\begin{array}{r}55.5 \\ \hline 680\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}55.5 \\ 700 \\ \hline 0.077\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}55.4 \\ \hline 505\end{array}$ | 55363950.080 |
| Agricuthrs ....-..................-........................ | 54.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonagpicuturel incuatios .......................................... | $\begin{array}{r}48,670 \\ 2,848 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.533 \\ 2.644 \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ | 48,5092.791 | 48.2332.477 | 48.7582.528 | 50,0292,438 | 50.0772.398 | 50.135 | 50,08028164.8 |
| Unemployed...-........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.482 |  |
| Unemptoyment rata | 5.1 |  | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.7 |  |
| Both saxes, 40 to 18 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civitien nonimstitutional poparation | 14.160 | $13.764{ }^{\prime}$ | 13.711 | 14,160 | ${ }^{13,852}$ | ${ }^{13,832}$ | 13,8087,298 | 13,784 7.212 |  |
| Clvilian labor torce .... | $\begin{array}{r} 9.276 \\ 65.5 \end{array}$ | 9.183 | 8.265 | 8.001 | 7,681 | 7.545 |  | 7,212 | 8,883 |
| Pericipation rate... |  | 66.7 | 60.3 | 56.5 | 55.4 | 54.6 | 52.9 | 524 | 50.9 |
| mployed | 8.137 | 7.79456.6 | 7.129 | 6.814 | 6.551 | 8,376 | 8,2489 | 0.038 | 5.815 |
| Empoymem-popelation ratio' ...................................... | 57.5 , |  | 52.0 | 48.1 | 47.3 | 48.1 | 45.4 | 43.9 | 42.4 |
| Apricuturs ..................--...................................... | 422 7715 | 4117,383 | $\begin{array}{r}364 \\ \hline 6.766 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}293 \\ \hline 6.521 \\ \hline 1.67\end{array}$ | 206$\mathbf{6 , 3 4 5}$ | 2376.138 | 2480.019 | \% 238 | 2515.584 |
| Nonagricitural incustios | 7.715 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.789 |  |
| Unemptoyed ................................................................ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,440 \\ 12.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.389 \\ 15.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,136 \\ 13.7 \end{array}$ | 1,18714.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,130 \\ 14.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.168 \\ & .15 .5 \end{aligned}$ | 1.03 .1 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,474 \\ 18.3 \end{array}$ | 1.86816.7 |
| Unemployment rate ................................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - The poputabion figuras are not edfusted for seasonal varistion; therotore. identical numbera appear in the unadiusted and seasonally siducted coblemse. <br> 'Cinilian empioyment as a percom of the ovitian nonirsertutional popuiation, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




hOUSEHOLD DATA


| Emptoynert fation rata max, apen and | Not enemonery mikutud |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ang } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 0}$ | $180$ | teop | $1000$ | max |  | $\operatorname{sen}_{1000}$ | $\operatorname{lng}_{1000}$ |
| mapance onacre | $\begin{array}{r} 13.853 \\ 8,494 \\ 60.5 \\ 8,806 \\ 62.68 \\ 8838 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.317 \\ 0.830 \\ 6.9 .7 \\ 0.032 \\ 63.1 \\ 786 \\ 8.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,358 \\ 9,41 \\ 68.5 \\ 9.087 \\ 63.2 \\ 774 \\ 7.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.833 \\ 9,361 \\ 67.6 \\ 8541 \\ 61.7 \\ 800 \\ 88 \end{array}$ | 14.1800.81867.7080002.97806.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.238 \\ & 0069 \\ & 67.9 \\ & 6027 \\ & 627 \\ & 742 \\ & 7.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14,317 \\ & 9078 \\ & 975 \\ & 0.50 \\ & 6.2 \\ & 787 \\ & 7.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14388 \\ 9.707 \\ 67.0 \\ 0851 \\ 825 \\ 757 \\ 7.8 \end{array}$ |
| Cxvion noritatitutional poputaition |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,277 \\ 9681 \\ 678 \\ 0.87 \\ 6.8 \\ 634 \\ 7.1 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| CNien whor force ................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pratcipetion rate - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Erployed -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment-poputation ratio'. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unuritypal -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unerphoyneritay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Trate A-A. Batected employnorert incticatori
(in thoumande)

| Cerapary | Not measonaty athertad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1889 \end{aligned}$ | $1900$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1909 \\ & 1809 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agr. } \\ & \text { I000 } \end{aligned}$ | $1000$ | Now | 1000 | $7800$ |
| cramactiantic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cwillen employed 18 yeers and over | 1180092 40, 880 28,068 6,298 | 119,954 40,707 20,3116,35 0,354 | 110,174 40,720 29,280 6.301 | $\begin{array}{r} 117,580 \\ 40,723 \\ 28,250 \\ 0,371 \end{array}$ | 118,1 t6 40,730 20,7426,325 | 118,380 40,831 30,046 6,400 | 140.389 40.534 6,467 | $\begin{gathered} 117,063 \\ 00,645 \\ 20,000 \\ 6,300 \end{gathered}$ | 11708040.0420.0498.365 |
| Maried men, spouse preeent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marted wornen, epoucie primert. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whomen who mahtan tomites .- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MALOR MUDUSTRY AND CLASS OF WORXEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,958 \\ 1,494 \\ 181 \end{array}$ | 1.0341.508132 | 1.804 | 1.723$\mathbf{1 . 4 1 0}$ | 1,6211.429112 | 1,1002 | 1,0001,508108 | 1808 | 1883 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1277 | $\begin{array}{r}1257 \\ \hline 00\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | 128 | 133 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whepe and sitry wortion | $\begin{array}{r}\text { to3,390 } \\ 16.887 \\ \hline 6.389\end{array}$ | 107,300 | 108.879 | 108,317 | 105,989 | 108.178 | 105883 | 105,083 | 105,601 |
| Governmert |  | 17,183 | 17,184 | $\begin{aligned} & 17.550 \\ & 87,758 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,169 \\ & 80,122 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,113 \\ & \text { ex,0es } \end{aligned}$ | 17,808 | 17,780 | 17.842 |
| Privite inctatres |  | 80,158 | 89,615 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8,124 \\ \mathbf{t}, 056 \end{gathered}$ | 06.007 | 107848 |
| Provite houthorde. | $\begin{aligned} & 1.217 \\ & 08.268 \\ & 8.797 \end{aligned}$ | 5.093 | 1.105 | 1.147 | $\begin{gathered} 88.122 \\ 657 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 ., 003 \\ & 041 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Ohere incuatries |  | $\begin{array}{r} 89.062 \\ 8,779 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80,410 \\ 8.799 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0,611 \\ 8,621 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87,165 \\ 8,76 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87,122 \\ 8,703 \end{gathered}$ | 87.056 | 87,100 | 03818 |
| Smibernployed workert |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,750 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,700 \\ 200 \end{array}$ | 8820 |
| Unpedd temly workers | 273 | 284 | 220 | 272 | 258 | 284 |  |  |  |
| PERSONS AT WONK PAFT TEEE' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All induabios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 5.125 \\ 2.250 \\ 2.415 \\ 12.480 \end{array}$ | 5.810 | 5.388 | 48002 | 4,971 | 4.831 | 8.013 | 4,870 | 5,098 |
| Shat monk |  | 2.573 | 2332 | 2281 | 2.407 | 2439 | 2,409 | 2.585 | 2,424 |
| Could orty find pert-bme work .............................. |  | 2,803 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,332 \\ 12,332 \end{array}$ | 2,14245,550 | $\begin{array}{r}2.138 \\ \hline 15.159\end{array}$ | 15,502 | 15,125 | 2070 | 2.123 |
| Voluntry part time - |  | 12,682 |  |  |  |  |  | 16,311 | 15,377 |
| Nonegricaftural tinuatieas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peot time tor economic reasors .-..................................... | $\begin{array}{r} 4.049 \\ 2,004 \\ 2,309 \\ 11,985 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 , 3 5 5} \\ & 2,413 \\ & 2,563 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.072 \\ & 2.105 \\ & 2.293 \end{aligned}$ | 4,5672.1292,07615.071 | $\begin{gathered} 4,050 \\ 2.218 \\ 2,008 \\ 14,804 \end{gathered}$ | 4,8682,317200415,084 | 4,734 <br> 2204 <br> 2141 <br> 1402 | 4,710 <br> 2400 <br> 2.046 <br> 1802 | 4,7802,2422,08914,889 |
| Slack work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coudd ondy find pert-time work ....................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12.236 | 11,860 |  |  |  | 14,827 | 14,022 |  |

"Exctudes persons "with a jot but not at monk" during the ourve priod tor much reseorst as vacation, timesk, or incusstial dispute.

$\qquad$

| Mentirs | Oumbity andrepe |  |  |  |  | montuty |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1809 |  |  | 1990 |  | 1800 |  |  |
|  | 1. | III | N | 1 | 1. | 4nm | 知 | Ana |
| U1 Persons unemployed 15 weeks of longer as a percert of tha civilian tator torce $\qquad$ | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 12 | 1.3 |
| U-2 2 dot lowe as a percent of the civilan thor force | 23 | 24 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 25 | 2.7 |
| U-3 Unemployed persons 25 yoers and ower as a percont of the <br>  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 42 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
|  full-time chvilan tabor torce $\qquad$ | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 8.0 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 32 |
| U-Se Total unemployed ese a percenct of the tebor forcon, lnciviling the rembert Armed Forcets | 5.2 | 52 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 6.5 |
| Usb Total unemptoyed as a percent of the chritw inbor force. | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 53 | 5.6 |
|  <br>  <br>  |  |  | 72 |  | 73 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| U.7 Total fulltine pobseekers pats $1 / 2$ part-ime jabsoukers phat 1/2 bita on patt time tor economic reasors phate dacourgeged workies as a percert of the ckvian letabor force plus dscouriged workers lase $1 / 2$ of the peri-lime tahor torco $\qquad$ | 7.3 | 72 |  | 7.2 |  |  |  | NA. |

N.A = nor ivatione


| Catagory |  |  |  | Unomploymera rasas' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ang } \\ & 1009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { daly } \\ & \mathbf{1 0 c o} \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Alog}_{1000}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mug } \\ & 1909 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{d y y} \\ & \mathbf{1} \times 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{Ang}}{1000}$ |
| CHARACTERISTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totat, 16 yeers and over | 0.520 | 6.814 | 7,003 | 53 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| Men, 16 yours and over | 3,485 | 3,804 | 3,003 | 5.1 | 55 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 5.7 |
| Men, 20 youre and over | 2056 | 3,146 | 3,216 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 50 |
| Wornen, 16 years end ovir ...................................... | 3,036 | 3,010 | 3.140 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 5.5 |
| Wornen, 20 yeres mad over | 3.477 | 2.482 | 2,616 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| Both mexis, is to 19 wert _-................. | 1,887 | 1,174 | 1,168 | 14.0 | 14.7 | 18.5 | 14.1 | 16.3 | 18.7 |
| Marriod men, epouse prosent | 1,309 | 1,393 | 1,403 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 32 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| Meried wornem, mpura prowid | 1,176 | 1,085 | 1.206 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 35 | 3.9 |
| Women who mertan farmites ........................ | 552 | 504 | 591 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 14 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| Fidelme workers | 3,281 | 5,349 | 5.545 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.2 |
|  | 1,284 | 1,403 | 1,459 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 7.8 |
| Labor force time loar' .................................................... | - | - |  | 0.0 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| noustiy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonsoricutural prwate wege and satary workere ...............- | 4,067 | 5,111 | 5,327 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.7 |
| Goods-producing indestries ......... | 1,831 | 1,019 | 1,009 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.8 |
| Mhing ... | 47 | 30 | 37 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 13 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 4.9 |
| Construction | 634 | 632 | 650 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 11.5 | 0.7 | 10.2 | 11.1 |
| Mamutactiring. | 1,150 | 1.238 | 1.273 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 5. |
| Durabio goode | 631 | 723 | 767 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 8.5 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
| Noncturate goods ..................................................... | 619 | 532 | 505 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 52 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
|  | 3.138 | 3.183 | 3.338 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 32 |
|  | 240 | 234 | 208 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 32 | 30 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| Whotesale and ratein treo | 1.415 | 1,425 | 1,408 | 0.0 | 62 | 63 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 8.2 |
| Frierce and surve indutiles ................................... | 1.481 | 1,534 | 1,604 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 |
| Gowemment workert .................................................. | 488 | 511 | 511 | 27 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 28 | 2.8 |
|  | 170 | 192 | 178 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 7.8 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 9.7 |

[^13]
## 

## phemben in tracenct

| Weabes of unmmpoymert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { A00 } \\ \text { tgo }}}{ }$ | $\underset{1000}{\substack{\text { den }}}$ | $1000$ | Ano 1809 | Apr. <br> t800 | $\operatorname{memp}_{t 90}$ | $\operatorname{lincos}_{t \rightarrow 0}$ |  | A1000 |
| DUALTON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lemerten 5 meeke | 3.0282.152 | 3.292 | 3.225 | 3.125 | 3.204 | 3.020 | 3.0462048 | 3,200$\mathbf{2} 180$ | 388520048 |
| 8814 mads |  | 2,289 | 2.197 | 2,002 | 2.178 |  |  |  |  |
| 45 meder and Over | 1.978 | 1,384 | 1.414 | 1,358 | 1,386 | 1374 | 1,400 | 1.513 | 1.000 |
| 18 to 80 manka - | $\begin{aligned} & 612 \\ & 506 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{6085}^{608}$ | 674 | 759 | 007 | 74 | res | 000 | 968 |
| 27 melat end over |  |  | 741 | 570 | 680 | 610 | 043 |  |  |
| Avertoe enempl durstion, in weaka $\qquad$ <br>  | $\begin{array}{r} 11.3 \\ 8.0 \end{array}$ | 11.4 | 12.15.2 | 11.45.0 | 12.18.0 | 11.63.4 | 1205.1 | 12058 | 12.3 |
| namepr oestinuthom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toes unamployed | 100.047.8 | 100.0474 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Lex tren 5 wame |  |  | 47.2 | 48.3 | 47.4 | 45.6 | 409 | 45.8 | 47.8 |
| 8 80 14 medes | 33.8 | 32.7 | 32.1 | 31.0 | 322 | 32.7 | 31.5 | 31.0 | 29.3 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 78.5 \\ 9.6 \\ 8.8 \end{array}$ | 19.810.0 | 20.70.0 | 20.7 | 20.510.310.3 | 20.711.6 | 21.611.7 | 28.3 | 22.0 |
| 15 to 23 mater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 matke end over n_............................................... |  | 0.8 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 102 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 10.0 |

## Trict ant momen for tumapoyment

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Remers:} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Mot anomonily minuoted} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{} <br>
\hline \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Auge } \\
& 1989
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2ly } \\
& 1000
\end{aligned}
$$ \& Aup \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aug } \\
& 1009
\end{aligned}
$$ \& An. 1990 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mey } \\
& 1000
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\operatorname{line}_{1000}
$$ \& $$
\mathbf{1 4 0 0 0}
$$ \& $$
{ }_{100}
$$ <br>
\hline Mummer of Unemplove \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{2,780
730} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2,560} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3.145} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2.934} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3.147} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3,171} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{1.151

018} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{3000} \& <br>
\hline tet veners ... \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 3,987 <br>
\hline On maft \& \& 604 \& 824 \& 085 \& 009 \& . 979 \& \& \& 073 <br>
\hline Ofer lob lovers ..-. \& 2.030 \& 2.104 \& 2.320 \& 2.090 \& 2.140 \& 2.192 \& 2,203 \& 2123 \& 2304 <br>
\hline  \& 1.128 \& 1,071 \& 1,070 \& 1,091 \& 1,179 \& 1,014 \& 905 \& 1,027 \& 04 <br>
\hline Pewnente \& 1,614 \& 2.013 \& 1,035 \& 1.772 \& 1,700 \& 1.820 \& 1,760 \& 1,800 \& 1.870 <br>
\hline  \& 650 \& 893 \& 680 \& 643 \& 617 \& 663 \& 534 \& 607 \& 67 <br>
\hline mancart bestumution \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline  \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 1000 \& 1000 \& 100.0 <br>
\hline  \& 43.5 \& 42.7 \& 40.0 \& 46.2 \& 468 \& 47.4 \& 48.7 \& 46.7 \& 40.7 <br>
\hline  \& 11.6 \& 12.4 \& 12.1 \& 13.5 \& 14.9 \& 146 \& 142 \& 142 \& 14.1 <br>
\hline  \& 32.0 \& 39.3 \& 33.8 \& 327 \& 31.9 \& 32.8 \& 34.6 \& 31.5 \& 44.7 <br>
\hline Jat toturn .n.u.unoumu....w............................................. \& 17.7 \& 15.4 \& 15.8 \& 16.1 \& 17.5 \& 18.2 \& 18.4 \& 16.2 \& 14.3 <br>
\hline  \& 28.6 \& 29.0 \& 28.3 \& 27.6 \& 28.5 \& 27.2 \& 27.7 \& 290 \& 27.2 <br>
\hline  \& 10.2 \& 12.8 \& 9.8 \& 10.0 \& 0.2 \& 10.2 \& 0.8 \& 10.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unemingiti ac a mencant of the CNTLAN LABOM FORCE \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Jot looere ............................................................................ \& 2.2 \& 2.3 \& 2.6 \& 24 \& 25 \& 2.5 \& 2.5 \& 2.5 \& 27 <br>
\hline Jot Votvere ......................................................................... \& \& . 8 \& . 9 \& ${ }^{8}$ \& 9 \& A \& . 8 \& - 8 \& . 0 <br>
\hline  \& 1.4 \& 1.6 \& 8.5 \& 1.4 \& 1.4 \& 1.5 \& 1.4 \& 1.6 \& 1.8 <br>
\hline  \& . 5 \& . 7 \& . 5 \& 5 \& 5 \& 5 \& 4 \& . \& . 5 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| 8ex and ape | Nember of unimpicyed pertors of thoustands) |  |  | Unemploymert matis' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ang. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ady } \\ & 1860 \end{aligned}$ | Aug | Ang <br> 1569 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr: } \\ & \text { 1900 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ming } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bures } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dey } \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Al00 } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Tatue, 16 yeure and over | 6.520 | 6,814 | 7,003 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| 16 to 24 yers ......... | 2.437 | 2.314 | 2.387 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 11.5 |
| 16 to 10 yeers | 1,107 | 1,174 | 1,168 | 14.6 | 14.7 | 18.8 | 14.1 | 16.3 | 10.7 |
|  | 545 | 457 | 494 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 20.0 | 16.1 | 17.4 | 19.2 |
| 18 to 19 veers ....................-................................ | 623 | 693 | 663 | 128 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 15.2 | 15.0 |
| 20 to 24 yeers .-.- -...................................................... | $\pm .250$ | 1,142 | 1,219 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 6.8 |
| 25 years and over .-......................................................... | 4,069 | 4,458 | 4,617 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 44 |
| 25 to 54 yeers .-......................-............................... | 3.568 | 3.958 | 4,028 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| 55 yeer and over ............................................. | 473 | 494 | 539 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
| nem 18 yeers and over .................................................. | 3,485 | 3.804 | 3,883 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| 16 to 24 y yars | 1,330 | 1,279 | 4,253 | 14.5 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 11.8 |
| 16 to 19 years .-....................................... | 629 | 856 | 64 | 15.1 | 15.4 | 10.0 | 15.4 | 17.5 | 17.8 |
| 18 to 17 years ............................................... | 295 | 248 | 287 | 17.7 | 18.1 | 20.6 | 16.4 | 18.4 | 21.5 |
| 18 to 19 yedert ...................................................... | 325 | 387 | 351 | 13.1 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 14.8 | 16.3 | 15.5 |
| 20 to 24 years ......................................................... | 701 | 423 | 609 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| 25 yeern end over .......................................................... | 2.143 | 2,499 | 2,616 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.6 |
| 25 to 54 yenty .............................................. | 1,821 | 2,173 | 2,234 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| 55 years and over ............................................................. | 293 | 321 | 338 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Women, 16 yeart and ovwr ................................................ | 3.005 | 3.010 | 3.140 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 8.5 |
| 18 to 24 years .............................................................. | 1,107 | ¢,037 | 1,134 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 11.4 |
| 16 to 19 ytert ............................................................. | 558 | 518 | 524 | 14.8 | 13.9 | 14.9 | 12.8 | 14.9 | 15.6 |
| 16 to 17 years ........................................................... | 250 | 209 | 207 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 18.4 | 15.9 | 18.4 | 16.6 |
| 18 to 10 yeers ............................................................ | 298 | 308 | 302 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 13.9 | 14.4 |
| 20 to 24 yours... | 548 | 518 | 810 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
|  | 1.028 | 1,958 | 2.001 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| 25 to 54 yeart......... | 1,747 | 1.785 | 1,704 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| 55 yeers and over ................................................................... | 180 | 173 | 203 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2:5 | 24 | 2.6 | 3.1 |

- Unemploymert as a percemt of the civitan labor force.

Tablo A-10. Employment status of bisck and other workers
(Numbers in thousands)

| Employment ataius | Mot meabonslly edputed |  |  | Seasonally eckruted' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alug. <br> 1699 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | Aug. | Aung 1989 | Apr. <br> 1990 | $\underset{i s 90}{M}$ |  | $\underset{1600}{ }$ | Ang. <br> 1800 |
| Civilian neninstitutional population .. | 27.128 | 27,868 | 27.711 | 27,128 | 27,499 | 27,556 | 27.812 | 27,688 | 27,711 |
| Clvilian tator force ............................................................. | 17.848 | 17.970 | 17.773 | 17,574 | 17,607 | 17,660 | 17,540 | 17,448 | 17,498 |
| Parricipation rate ....................................................... | 65.6 | 64.9 | 64.1 | 64.8 | 64.3 | 64.1 | 63.5 | 63.1 | 60.1 |
| Employed ................................................................. | 16.154 | 16.040 | 15,950 | 15,868 | 16,075 | 16,021 | 15,883 | 15,656 | 15,671 |
| Employment-poputation ration ....................................... | 59.5 | 58.0 | 57.6 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 50.1 | 57.5 | 58.8 | 56.6 |
| Unemptoyed ................................................................ | 1,692 | 1.920 | 1,815 | 1,709 | 1,513 | 1,640 | 1,657 | 1,703 | 1,828 |
| Unernploymemt rato .................................................... | 9.5 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 9.3 | 0.4 | 10.3 | 10.4 |
| Not in labor force .............................................................. | 9.282 | 9.688 | 8.938 | 9.554 | 9.812 | 0.858 | 10.072 | 10.220 | 10.213 |
| 1 The population figures ene not edjustad for seasonal variation; theretore, icomical numbers appear in the unnadinated and coasonally sdiutted columins. <br> 'Civilan emptoyment as a percent of the civilian nontinstitutional poputation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


akuribers in mousental

| Cocupation | Ovinen employed |  | Unemplayd |  | Uneruploynome fate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aug | $1900$ | Ans <br> 1009 | $\begin{aligned} & 4000 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | Ang <br> 1800 | Anco <br> 1800 |
| Totat, 16 years and over' | 118,092 | \$19,174 | 6,352 | 8,897 | 8.1 | 5.4 |
| Manapertey and protessionst epecietry | 29,00915,02414.085 | 30,50515,112 | 642317 | 607364 | 2.1 | 2.62.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2.1 |  |
| Protessional speciaty |  | 15,383 | 325 | 443 | 2.1 | 28 |
| Tecturicel, seles, and edininistrative support | $\begin{gathered} 38,679 \\ 3,735 \\ 14,397 \\ 18,597 \end{gathered}$ | 36,2443,782 | 1,494 | 1.8091 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| Tectriciens and ratatad support |  |  |  | 134 | 2.2 |  |
| Sales occupationa ........ |  | 14,02118,481 | 458 | 646 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Admbistrative support, tratuding clerical |  |  | 763 | 901 | 3.8 | 4.7 |
| Strrice occupations | $\begin{gathered} 18,082 \\ \frac{1085}{2,146} \\ 21,891 \end{gathered}$ | 18222 824 2,145 13.250 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,204 \\ 67 \\ 60 \\ 668 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,103 \\ 36 \\ 73 \\ 697 \end{array}$ | 6.46.7368.0 | 6.44.13.37.0 |
| Privata houssehold |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prosective service - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Precision production, crath, and repar. | 14,002 <br> 4,497 <br> 5,360 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,859 \\ 4,492 \\ 5,302 \\ 4,005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 659 \\ & 132 \\ & 317 \\ & 211 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 772 \\ & 179 \\ & 377 \\ & 217 \end{aligned}$ | 4.52.85.64.8 | 5.33.86.86.1 |
| Mechanica and reparees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consinction trades |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Othes precision procuction, crath, and repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operators, fatricators, and latorene. | $\begin{gathered} 10,350 \\ 8,307 \\ 4,828 \\ 5,118 \\ 645 \\ 4,271 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,351 \\ 8,244 \\ 4,021 \\ 5,107 \\ 678 \\ 4,228 \end{array}$ | 1.490.682 | 1.467 | 7.5 | 7.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation and mataras moving occupations |  |  | 297 | 250 | 5.7 | 4.9 |
| Manctors, equipners cteanors, helpers, and saboren |  |  | 512 | 503 | 9.1 | 0.0 |
| Construction laborers |  |  | 147 | 146 | 14.8 | 14.2 |
| Other handers, aquprnewt cleeners, heperth and laborens .............................. |  |  | 388 | 357 | 7.9 | 7.8 |
| Farming. forestry, and fating | 4,100 | 3,903 | 218 | 223 | 5.1 | 53 |

' Persona whit no provious work eaperionce and those whose last job was
in the Anred forces ere incuided in the unmpioyed toted.
 (Numbers in thousencis)

| Voteran exatheand | norimatiantionts population |  | Camitan labor torce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total |  | Employed |  | Unumployed |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A109 } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Number | Percent of |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 180 \\ & 189 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Algo } \\ & \text { jevo } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{g}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1090 \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | $1099$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} 49 \\ \hline 1899 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| VIETMAM-ERA VETERAES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 35 years and over ........................................ | 7.474 | 7.858 | 6,827 | 6,857 | 8,025 | 8,808 | 202 | 259 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| 35 to 48 yeern ............................................... | 6,492 | 6,513 | 0.185 | 6.155 | 5,973 | 5,822 | 182 | 232 | 3.1 | 3.8 |
| 35 to 39 years .-..............-............................ | 1,702 | 1,382 | 1,589 | 1,310 | 1,521 | 1,242 | 77 | 67 | 4.8 | 5.2 |
| 40 to 44 yetrs ............................................ | 3,281 | 3,289 | 3,157 | 3,104 | 3,088 | 2898 | 72 | 109 | 2.3 | 3.5 |
| 45 to 48 ysars .................. | 1,469 | 1,048 | 1,409 | 1,741 | 1,306 | 1,084 | 43 | 57 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| 50 years and over ........................................... | 989 | 1,145 | 632 | 803 | 852 | 76 | 10 | 27 | 1.6 | 3.3 |
| MONVETERANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 35 to 49 years ............................................. | $\begin{array}{r}18,309 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 17.479 | 75,282 | 16,340 | 14,783 | 15.771 | 489 | 570 | 33 | 3.5 |
| 35 to 39 veere ............. | 7,487 | 8.018 | 7.094 | 7.587 | 8,849 | 7,321 | 245 | 278 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| 40 to 44 yeers ............. | 4.714 | 5,256 | 4,382 | 4.885 | 4.230 | 4.727 | 152 | 157 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| 45 to 49 yeara ............................................... | 4,100 | 4,207 | 3,783 | 3,859 | 3,885 | 3,722 | 101 | 137 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| NOTE: Mato Viotnempera vetorans are men who served th the Armed Forces bstween August 5, 1964 and May 7, 1975. Norwerterens ase men who have never meived in the Armed Ferces; published data are lamitad to |  |  | those 35 to 49 yoers er epa the group that most closely corresponda to une buk of the Vratnam-rea vetoran pocuration. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


(Numbers in thousands)

| State and employment stata | Not memerently milustud ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 8easomatly maturstar |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & \text { t809 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { huly } \\ 1890 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{1890}^{A_{1}}$ | $\operatorname{Augg}_{1989}$ | Apr. 1890 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maty. } \\ & 1050 \end{aligned}$ | $1990$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hoy } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alug } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ |
| ceatoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clulian monimitititiond popurtsen ..-- | 21,518 | 21,961 | 21.899 | 21.518 | 21,834 | 21,977 | 21,878 | 21,861 | 21,899 |
| Clikian lebor torce | 14,878 | 14.965 | 14,940 | 14.574 | 14.677 | 14.801 | 14.801 | 14.751 | 14,816 |
| Employed | 13,994 | 14,115 | 14,128 | 13.ag9 | 13,851 | 13,998 | 14,073 | 13,895 | 14.010 |
|  | 684 | 850 | 813 | 675 | 790 | 803 | 728 | 756 | 808 |
|  | 4.7 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.4 |
| Fortal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covilian noxinsuithionsal poputation $\qquad$ Clutian tabor torce $\qquad$ | 9,919 | 10,132 | 10,150 | 9,919 | 10,071 | 10,0916,282 | 10,1116,294 | 10,1328,313 | 10,150 |
|  | 6,273 6,033 | 6,425 | 8,455 | 6,176 | 6,336 |  |  |  | 6,365 |
| Civitan labor larce Empatoyect $\qquad$ | 5,933$\mathbf{3 4 0}$5.4 | 6.030395 | 6,014 | 5,809 | 5,972 | 5,931 | 5,886 | 5,953 | 5,939 |
| Unemptoyed $\qquad$ <br>  |  |  | 440 | $\begin{array}{r} 327 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 384 \\ 5.7 \end{array}$ | 3515.6 | ${ }^{408}$ | 3805.7 | 428 |
|  |  | 8.1 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.7 |
| Mrincla | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cviluar norninstitutionsol popudation $\qquad$ Chiltan labor force | 8,837 | 8,876 | 8,876 | 88.897 | 8,863 | 8,867 | 8,874 | 8,878 | 8,878 |
|  | 6.073 | 6,174 | 6,025 | 5,898 | 6,091 | 5,887 | 5,588 | 6,102 | 5,954 |
| Empdoyed .-. |  | 5,786 | 5,644 | $\begin{array}{r}5,638 \\ \hline 380\end{array}$ | 5,722 | 5,070 | 5,625 | 5,691 | 5,568 |
| Unemployed .- |  | $\begin{array}{r} 387 \\ 6.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 381 \\ 6.3 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 369 \\ 6.1 \end{gathered}$ | 3175.3 | 381 | 4116.7 | 3886.5 |
| Unemploynent rate ................ | $\begin{aligned} & 353 \\ & 5.6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3806.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |
| Hepesachuspoth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clvilan nonimsithational popudation $\qquad$ Cullise lator force | 4.618 | 4.620 | 4.620 | 4.618 | 4,619 | 4,819 | 4,620 | 4.820 | 4,820 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,253 \\ & 3,128 \end{aligned}$ | 3.224 | 3.031 | 3.1833.051 |  | 3.2033,028 | 3.172 | 3.157 | 3.171 |
| Employed <br> Unemployed $\qquad$ <br> Unerriployment rate $\qquad$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3.014 \\ 209 \\ 6.5 \end{array}$ |  |  | 3,188 2,888 |  | 2,387 | 2.963 | 2.860 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,128 \\ 127 \\ 3.9 \end{array}$ |  | 207 | 132 | 173 5.5 | 175 5 | 185 | 194 | 211 |
|  |  |  | 6.4 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| Michtgan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covitar norinstitutional popectation $\qquad$ Chitan latior force $\qquad$ |  | 7,001 | 7,0024,697 | 6,987 | 6.995 | 6,997 | 6,989 | 7.001 | 7,002 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 6,987 \\ & 4,691 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,689 \\ & 4,326 \end{aligned}$ |  | 4,597 | 4,511 | 4,591 | 4,631 | 4,814 | 4.599 |
| Employed ............................................... | 4.3793126.7 |  | 4,348 | 4,273 | 4,180 | 4,238 | 4,294 | 4,271 | 4.237 |
| Unemployed .................................... |  | 383 | 349 | 324 | 331 | 353 | 337 | 343 | 362 |
| Unomployment rato .......................................... |  | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.9 |
| New dersay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crilian norrinstitutional popudation ...........................- | 6.032 | 6,028 | 6,028 | 6.032 | 6,028 | 6.028 | 6.020 | 6.028 | 6,028 |
| Civilian labor torce ............... | $\begin{aligned} & 4,012 \\ & 3,042 \end{aligned}$ | 4.134 | 4,104 | 3.974 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,002 \\ & 3,805 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,012 \\ & 3,820 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,037 \\ & 3,345 \end{aligned}$ | 4,0733,879 | 4,0663,872 |
| Employed .............. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,922 \\ 212 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,915 \\ 189 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3,788 \\ \hline 176\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemptoyed. | $\begin{array}{r} 3,842 \\ 170 \\ 4.2 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 197 | 182 | 192 | 194 | 3,872 194 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clutuen noningtitutional poputation $\qquad$ Crutien labor force $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,804 \\ 8.727 \\ 8,306 \\ 421 \\ 4.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,802 \\ 8.824 \\ 8.415 \\ 459 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ | 13,801 | 13,804 | 13,799 | 13,800 | 13,801 | 13,802 | 13,801 |
|  |  |  | 8,7318.311 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,598 \\ & 8,152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,709 \\ & 8,286 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,775 \\ & 8,328 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,732 \\ & 8,297 \end{aligned}$ | 8,6888,222 | 8,585 |
| Employed <br> Unemployed $\qquad$ <br> Unomployment rato $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.1554315.0 |
|  |  |  | $420$ | $\begin{gathered} 438 \\ 5.1 \end{gathered}$ | $4.8$ | $\begin{array}{r} 447 \\ 5.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 445 \\ 5.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 484 \\ \hline 5.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morth Carolina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutiontal population $\qquad$ Chilisen labor force $\qquad$ | 4,945 | 5,002 | 5,006 | 4.945 |  | 4,985 | 4.991 | 4,996 | 5.002 | 5,006 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,435 \\ 3.315 \\ 120 \\ 3.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,494 \\ 3,336 \\ 157 \\ 4.5 \end{array}$ | $3,418$ |  | 3.410 | 3,451 | 3,438 | 3,410 | 3,370 |
| Employed ................ |  |  | $3,300$ | 3,282 | 3.281 | 3,312 | 3,312 | 3,252 | 3,247 |
| Unemployed ................................................. |  |  | $118$ | 125 | 129 | 139 | ${ }^{128}$ | 150 | 123 |
| Unemployment rate ......................................... |  |  | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| Orio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cudten nonimptitutional poputation ........................... | 8,264 | 8,298 | 8,298 | 8,264 | 8.278 | 0,281 | 8,283 | 8,288 | 8,288 |
| Cwitan labor force ............................................... | 5,497 | 5,472 | 5.504 | 5.427 | 5,417 | 5,428 | 5,419 | 5,411 | 5,448 |
| Employed ............................--1........................ | 5.223 | 5.194 | 5.245 | 5.162 | 5.098 | 5.107 | 5.135 | 5,104 | 5.174 |
| Unemployod .................................................... | 259 | 278 | 258 | 265 | 319 | 321 | 284 | 307 | 272 |
| Unemployment rate .......................................... | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5.0 |

See tootnotes at end of table.

HOUSEHOLD DATA
HOUSEHOLD DATA





| Indoustry | Averose hourly cornimea |  |  |  | Avarsee meckly corninex |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1978 | jutror | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ 19909 | ${ }^{4} 59$ | 1998 | $1980^{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {Ave\% }}$ |
| Total privito | 9.98 | ${ }_{10}^{19} 9$ | 110.08 | 10.89 | ${ }^{6355} 548$ |  | ${ }^{\text {a } 344.49}$ |  |
| ntanns. | 13.22 | 13.66 | 13.65 | 13.59 | 573 | 606 | 395. | 97.\% |
| construetion | 13.31 | 13.63 | 13.70 | 13.74 | 313.54 | 332.93 | 324.71 | 335.66 |
| Manufaturing | 10.46 | 20.85 | 10.48 | 10.84 | 427.41 | 4.45 .94 | 440.64 | 443.36 |
| Dursble poode. | 10:99 | 41.37 | 11.817 | 41.88 | 453.29 | 474.13 | 46.58 | 469.94 |
| Furniturd mod trurexa | 3:30 | 9:52 | 9:17 | \% ${ }^{1}$ | 329:31 | 373: ${ }^{3}$ | 3tis: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3717 |
|  | 12.45 | 12.19 | 111:20 | 12.19 | 426.61 | 319.26 | \$ 971.62 | 373:31 |
|  |  | 10:39 | 189.92 | 12:76 | ¢ ${ }_{4}$ |  | 45197 | 43:12 |
|  | 11:37 | 10.75 10.27 |  | liter | (17.27 | 494:9 | ${ }^{41} 4$ |  |
|  | 11.4 | 14.20 | - | 14.14 | \% 71 | ${ }^{7} 7.76$ | St: 21 | 19:30 |
|  | 10:16 | 14:27 | 11:56 | 14.42 | 399:96 | 93:96 | 4 | ${ }^{81}$ |
|  | 8.20 | ${ }_{6} .61$ | 8.61 | 6:64 | 321.44 | 300.16 | 335:22 | 315:69 |
| Mondurable poode | 8:37 | 10.18 | ${ }^{29} 9.19$ | 19.12 | ${ }_{3} 327.12$ | 407.94 | 403, 37 | 307.69 |
|  | 19.72 | ${ }^{1} 17.74$ | 17:47 | 16:19 |  | 30989 |  |  |
| Ampart mil moducta | 6 | ${ }^{6} 6.6$ | \% ${ }^{51}$ | ${ }^{6} \cdot 6$ | 25.21 | 23:92 | 23 | 329.32 |
|  | 11:41 | 12.23 | 12.33 | 12.30 | 516.24 | ${ }^{53}$ | 513:32 | S3132 |
|  | 13.10 |  |  | 13.30 15 15 |  |  | 7170:37 |  |
|  | 3:20 | ${ }^{16} 8$ | (1.23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tranasortation and mublic utiliti | 12.61 | 12.46 | 12.96 | 12.95 | 490.53 | 506.68 | 510.62 | 310.23 |
| Whelesple trede. | 10.56 | 18.76 | 10.43 | 16.75 | 393.68 | 411.93 | 416.79 | 4es. 58 |
| Retail trada. | 6.49 | 6.75 | 6.74 | 6.75 | 172.10 | 197.78 | 260.18 | 191,45 |
| Finances, inaurance. and real entat. | . 97 | 9.90. | 10.00 | 9.93 | 339.03 | 334.42 | 362.09 | 354.56 |
| Sar | -. 30 | P:75 | 9.74 | 9.76 | 503.04 | 318.83 | 322.7 | 321.10 |
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Representative Scheuer. Thank you very much.
I'm going to suspend for just a couple of minutes for an emergency phone call I must make.
[Brief suspension.]
Representative Scheuer. Well, you have given us some very disquieting figures, and I'm sure you feel somewhat disquieted yourself, Commissioner.

Given the trends that you have outlined to us, do you have enough statistical data to determine whether our economy is in a recession?
Mrs. Norwood. I don't think you can read the definition of a recession into the data we have released today for several reasons.
First of all, there is still some job growth in the service-producing sector in particular in health industries and State and local governments.

Representative Scheuer. Can I ask you about that 105,000 people more employed in health. Does that mean we are delivering health services, or does that just mean we are adding more manpower in a health service delivery system that is already by far the most inefficient and least productive in the developed world?
Mrs. Norwood. You left out one word, "expensive."
Representative Scheuer. Pardon.
Mrs. Norwood. More expensive as well.
Representative Scheuer. More expensive, yes. As you are well aware, we spend about 12 percent of GNP on health.
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Scheuer. That's 50 percent more than the average of the OECD countries, 50 percent more than the developed countries. Japan spends about 6 percent, just half of the percentage of its GNP for health services, and it has significantly better statistically identifiable health outputs in terms of life expectancy at birth, infant mortality, and a whole range of statistical indexes.
So when you say we have added 105,000 people to the Federal, State, city, and county health rolls, what does that mean to us? I'll point out to you that Sweden has about 1.3 employees per hospital bed and we have about 4.3, as I recall, and there is absolutely no indication that Swedish hospitals are less health enhancing or not as safe as our hospitals, but we have an enormously greater expenditure of labor per hospital bed.
So what I'm trying to get from you, and I don't want to belabor the point, what does this 100,000 -plus increase in health services delivered at the Federal, State, county, and municipal level mean to us?
Mrs. Norwood. I think what you have raised is a very complex set of problems about the delivery of health care. All that I can tell you from our data today is that approximately 45,000 people were added to the health industry, and that is across the board. That tends to coincide with State and local government, some of which could have been in municipal health facilities, but many of which may have been in other kinds of municipal activities like police and like education.
Representative Scheuer. So that 100,000 figure is not an accurate one. It's misleading. We didn't add 100,000 people to the health services industry?

Mrs. Norwood. No, 45,000 to the health services, and the rest were in State and local government in a variety of different kinds of municipal services.

The population is getting older and is living longer in this country than it did before, and it's not unusual, it seems to me, for us to be expanding some of the health care facilities.

But I think what you've done is to raise a very interesting question and a very serious question about the condition of our health care industry and about the very high proportion of our GNP that goes into health care expenditures. We at the Bureau of Labor Statistics, who after all only measure these things, are trying our best to come up with some better measures of things like the prices of hospital services so that we can be of more assistance to you in the Congress who have to make decisions about that.

To get back to your original question about recession, clearly the data that we have released today show a great deal of weakness, particularly in the goods-producing sector, and if we only were to look at that, you would have a very, very stark picture. But for many months we have had an economy where the service-producing sector has been building up jobs even as we have been losing them in manufacturing and construction.

What we are seeing now, I think, is a slowing down to a very great degree this counterbalancing force that we've had in services, and therefore we'll have to look very carefully in the next couple of months about this.

As for a recession, the technical definition of a recession, which is defined by the National Bureau of Economic Research, usually is based upon looking at a whole set of data and not just the employment data, and looking at them in three dimensions. First, they consider the depth of the plunge downward, and clearly we're about flat this month, that is the month of August. Second, they consider the dispersion, or how broad based a decline is, and I would say that the deep reductions in employment generally have been restricted to manufacturing and construction, and not yet in services, though services are slowing down a great deal. The last dimension is the duration of these reductions, and we are seeing the beginning of this.

So I think this is the kind of question that needs to be asked several months from now.

Representative Scheuer. Don't we also have to fine tune our thinking on services? Services could be highly skilled and professional services delivered by bankers, accountants, computer experts and what-not, or it could be kids flipping hamburgers at McDonald's, Wendy's, or Burger King Restaurants.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes, and you and I are delivering services, too.
Representative Scheuer. Well, I hope we are. [Laughter.]
Every 2 years there are some people who judge whether I've been delivering an adequate level of services or not, and that accounting period is coming up very fast in the next couple of months.

Is the growth of services composed mostly of high-level skilled jobs in accounting, financial services, communications, and all that, or is it the kids flipping hamburgers at Wendy's, Burger King, or McDonald's Restaurants?

Mrs. Norwood. We've had really both kinds of jobs. In many of the health services there are professional people, physicians, and technical people, but many of them are nurses aides and orderlies or custodians in nursing homes who have very little training and they are paid rather low wages.
The retail-trade sector, which has not been doing very well and has been quite weak, is a sector that has been growing fast in the past until recently. Retail trade tends on average to have rather low-paying jobs, but some of the jobs there, managers, for example, in retail-trade industries do much better. So it depends on what the occupational mix is within the industry.

Finance, insurance, and real estate, which are also in the serv-ices-producing sector, tend to be jobs requiring a little bit more training, more cognitive abilities and they are higher paid. So you have this mixture within the service-producing sector.

Representative Scheuer. All right. Let's change our focus to the current headlines flowing from the Iraqi crisis, the Middle East buildup and so forth.

In your view, Mrs. Norwood-and let's get into some crystal ball gazing-what effect will the Iraqi oil crisis have on the inflation rate for the rest of this year and when will it start showing up in the inflation figures? In other words, the vast moneys that we have built up so extremely rapidly over a period of a month or two, when will they be reflected in the inflation rate and in the inflation figures that you produce?
Mrs. Norwood. That apparently simple question sets out a lot of complex issues. We do know that because of the Middle East crisis there will be problems in the delivery of oil and that as crude oil becomes more difficult to get and more expensive, that there could well be a big increase in the price of finished petroleum products, including gasoline.
If that were to happen or when that happens, and some of it has already taken place, we certainly will see that in our price indexes. A 10 -percent change in the price of gasoline, for example, at the retail gas pump translates into about a four-tenths percent rise in the CPI.
Representative Scheuer. Four-tenths percent, almost a half a percent.
Mrs. Norwood. That's right. That's gasoline and fuel oil.
Representative Scheuer. Yes.
Mrs. Norwood. And in the producer price index we have a number of finished petroleum products that also will translate into slightly different but similar types of increases. But that's just the direct effect of this.

Then, you find that industries in the United States which use petroleum will be paying higher prices, and so you will have a more indirect effect that actually begins to be pervasive through the entire economy. The indirect effect would be about as large as the direct effect.
But then there are a whole series of other kinds of issues. There is a great deal of money being spent to support the Armed Forces in the Middle East, and we don't know yet how that is going to be financed and whether that financing will be expansionary or not.

We don't even know whether we are going to have budget decisions by the beginning of the fiscal year.

So there are a whole lot of issues there that need to be addressed when we are looking at the inflationary effects of this, but it is something that we need to track, and we are trying very carefully to see what happens.

Part of the problem with this is the timing of our data collection and the timing of price changes. So, we may have an index that shows a price increase, and then there may be a decline the following week, which would not be picked up until the following month's index. We will try our best to emphasize in all of our price releases, the timing of our collection and its effect on the data.

Representative Scheuer. Well, let me just ask you one more question on the question of timing. Do the current prices for gasoline and heating oil fully reflect the rise in the price of crude oil that has taken place in the last 30 days, let us say since midAugust, or can the consumers of America fully expect further price increases?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, that would depend, I would assume, on the regularity of the supply of crude oil which isn't yet quite clear.

Perhaps Mr. Dalton has something further to add to that.
Mr. Dalton. Well, in a limited technical way I can say that the latest information we have published is for July, and that information reflects price levels before the Middle East hostilities began.
Mrs. Norwood. It will be a month or two.
Mr. Dalton. In terms of the information that we have published, they do not reflect the increases in prices that have subsequently taken place.
Mrs. Norwood. But next week we will be putting out a producer price index and the week after consumer price index for the month of August. So we should have August prices, and to the extent that price increases occurred in August, they will be reflected in those indexes.
Representative Scheuer. All right. Let's go back now to the question of the labor market for young workers.

You tell us that the labor force participation rate for young people this summer went down about 2.3 points from the summer of 1989. How do you explain that and how do you explain the 6percent decline in the percentage of black youths who were looking for work this summer? And why would there be a record 16 -point gap between the labor force participation rates of black youths and white youths for this summer, that you yourself have pointed out?
Mrs. Norwood. There has always been a gap in the participation rates of black and white youth. Another way of looking at this situation is to focus on the employment-population ratio, the proportion of the youth population that has a job. I think that statistic is a somewhat better measure for this purpose because it includes the effect of both labor force activity and unemployment.

The employment-population ratio for white young people has been for a long time very much higher than for the black youth population.

What is happening now is I think two things together. One is that the size of the youth population as a whole is declining. The other is that fewer young people seem to be participating, as you
say, in the labor force. Now one can ask why. I don't know the answer to that. I can surmise that since so many of these youngsters work in the retail-trade industry or in other services establishments, and since those two industries have slowed their employment growth enormously in recent months, that there are fewer jobs there for them. I don't have concrete evidence of that, but I believe that that may be a good explanation.

There is also the question of the regional changes that are going on. We think that probably the Southwest is faring somewhat better than, for example, the Northeast, which has been suffering a good bit, and we have a lot of disadvantaged youth in the Northeast in this country.

Representative Scheuer. So, you would characterize the job market for young people this summer as weak?

Mrs. Norwood. Very, very weak, yes, very discouraging.
Representative Scheuer. Would you care to comment on what we might do as a society to remedy that in future years, or would you rather duck that one?

Mrs. Norwood. It's not a question of ducking it. I think we all would like to feel that we knew what to do. It's quite clear to me as I look at the data, without getting into policy issues, but the data suggest to me that we are developing what many economists have called an underclass that is becoming quite resistant to change, and that is a very, very worrying thing. It seems to be related to the availability of jobs, to the kind of training that these people have received, and to their educational preparation, as well as I believe very strongly, too, their home and family situations.

I believe that if you have young people growing up in families in poverty under terrible conditions, that they grow up without much hope and without much drive for improvement, and it is very difficult to expect them suddenly, if you present them with an opportunity to learn, having had this background, to take advantage of that. So I think we have very serious problems there.

Representative Scheuer. Are you familiar with the report that was issued last June by the Commission on the Skills of the American Work Force? This was funded ${ }^{\circ}$ and organized by the Carnegie Foundation and it was cochaired by former Labor Secretaries Bill Brock and Ray Marshall.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Scheuer. Are you familiar with that report?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes. I haven't gone through it in detail, but I have had many discussions with the people who were involved in developing it.

Representative Scheuer. Well, maybe the next time you come here we'll have some more detailed discussions of it.

Mrs. Norwood. All right. I would be glad to go into it in greater detail.

Representative Scheuer. I would appreciate that very much, and I think that would be very useful.

The commission analyzed the education and training systems of the United States and six competitor nations-Denmark, Germany, Ireland, Japan, Singapore, and Sweden-and issued a broad range of recommendations calling for changes both in attitudes and in or-
ganizations in order to move toward a high-wage, high-value-added economy.
One of the things that they emphasized was the painfully inadequate way that our country addressed itself to the needs of non-college-bound youth, that 70 percent of the population that is probably not going to finish college. They may get some postsecondary education of one kind or another.
They make a very good case that the way these other countries, and in fact the way almost all developed countries, perceive of their non-college-bound youth as a real asset to be nurtured and holding a great potential contribution to society really beggars us and puts us to shame.
They point out that the transition between the world of study and the world of work is made a very easy, almost automatic and pleasant and agreeable one with all kinds of interfacing between secondary schools and the world of work, services, and production. They mention that production people, plant managers, department store heads will come to the school system and work for 6 months, and then the folks involved in the vocational education programs will go to the plant or the department store for 6 months. So they are constantly honing their skills and producing programs in school that are designed to meet the needs of the corporate culture out there into which these kids supposedly will transition.
I don't want to burden you with having to discuss matters today that are a little bit outside of your orbit, but perhaps the next time we meet I'll ask you to address yourselves to that and perhaps give us some recommendations as to the kind of changes we should make in our education system and our transition system from school to work that will reverse some of those discouraging trends that you've outlined this morning.
Mrs. Norwood. It is certainly a very fascinating subject, and I will look at that report more carefully.

Mr. Plewes could tell you now a little bit about what we are doing to help the commission that Secretary Dole has set up within the Department of Labor on improving skills.

Representative Scheuer. I would like to hear that very much.
Mr. Plewes. That is a special group that is on going now, and one that is again headed by Mr. Brock. They are looking at-Representative Scheuer. By former Secretary Bill Brock?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Scheuer. He is an outstanding able fellow.
Mrs. Norwood. Oh, yes.
Representative Scheuer. He has testified several times before the Joint Economic Committee, and he is really an adornment to our society and to the Republican Party. He just has a superb potential to contribute in this very agonizing and frustrating area.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes. We served with him in the Department of Labor, and we can certainly agree with you about his ability.

Representative Scheuer. He is quite terrific.
Mr. Plewes. They are taking a look at a more narrow question, but a very important one, and that is: Are we training for the right skills in our educational system? You've mentioned some of the things that we may not be doing and we may not be identifying carefully enough.

Representative Scheuer. Are we producing buggy-whip makers?
Mr. Plewes. That's correct, sir.
Representative Scheuer. Are we producing a whole generation of kids trained to make Stanley steamers?

Mr. Plewes. Well, that is what this commission is looking at, and we are cooperating with them. We will be furnishing them statistical information and helping them understand at least the way in which we look at jobs and the way in which the educational system looks at jobs.

I can tell you now that there is a tremendous disconnect between just the way we talk about jobs and the way in which jobs are described in both of our systems. So these things are moving together.

We are pleased that the educational system is joining with the Department of Labor in this Secretary's initiative. So we see some possibility of some improvements there.

Mrs. Norwood. We have also been engaged in some preliminary discussions within the Department about the possibilities of doing some pilot work with employers to try to find out what they really are finding they have to do with training.
There is no really hard information on what training is done in a business establishment and how employers find out that they need to train and how much money they spend on training, for example. We are discussing with the Employment and Training Administration in a very preliminary way the possibility of a pilot survey to see whether this is the sort of thing that we could be helpful in.

Representative Scheuer. You know, on this subject, as long as you're talking to Bill Brock, you might want to talk to Marc Tucker. I believe Marc Tucker was the executive director of that survey. You will remember that we had a set of eight or nine hearings here on what we need to do to improve the competitiveness of the American work force, and Marc Tucker helped us design those hearings. He helped us put together our witness list, including Bill Brock and Ray Marshall and a number of people who several years later ended up being deeply involved in the Carnegie Report. I would think if you chatted with him for a bit, you would find him an enormous source of insight and information.

Anyway, this a very critical area. It seems to me if we had structured ways for kids to segue from the world of study into the world of work that were pretty much formalized and institutionalized, involving a lot of summer work as they work their way up through secondary school before they got to their last year, the 12th grade, if we had structured formalized ways for them to, in effect, become summer apprentices, it seems to me that you might significantly narrow this discrepancy between blacks and whites, young black high school kids and young white high school kids, because we would be paying specific attention to the needs of transitioning black kids from the world of study to the world of work.
They wouldn't just be given a hunting license to find a job when they got out of school. It would be eased for them, it would be formalized for them and it would be structured for them, so that discrepancy might be reduced. And then taking the whole secondary school population, their employment also might become less subject to random swings in the economy.

Again, if really substantial portions of the high school community were to be in some kind of apprentice relationship with corporations over summers, that would be part of the basic overhead of the business community and not a variable that would swing sometimes wildly and erratically, depending upon fluctuations in the economy.

Am I going beyond the database that we have to justify these presumptions?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, I'm not really an expert on training, but what you say is quite fascinating. There certainly will be fewer young people, we know that, and therefore the jobs should be somewhat easier.

Representative Scheuer. That's right. It should be somewhat less of a challenge.

Let me discuss the question of the labor market for recent high schools graduates, and really that's what we're talking about as much as anything else.
Last October you did a survey of the 2.5 million youths who were graduated from high school in 1989; 1.5 million of these went on to college. After last month's hearing you may recall Chairman Hamilton sent you a letter with questions on this subject and your reply is being made part of the hearing record.

Let me just go on to ask a question or two about these high school graduates.

What was the labor market experience of the million high school graduates who did not go to college last year? Did they have an easy or a hard time getting jobs, and was it easier or harder than for those who stayed in high school?
Mr. Plewes. I think that we can characterize their transition as quite difficult. In fact, it's more difficult as we say it than it was 10 years earlier, and perhaps for many of the reasons that you talked about.

At the time we took the survey, about 85 percent were in the labor force. Now of that group the unemployment rate was about 14.7 percent. The rate for young men was 13 percent and for young women it was 16.9 percent.
I think that any advantage they might have had in job prospects from a reduced labor supply, the point the Commissioner was just making, was offset by a decline in the number of job opportunities for them. What I mean by that is that if you compare what happened between 1979, for example, and when we took this survey in 1989, many fewer jobs in manufacturing were available for young folks, and many of the kinds of jobs that high school graduates just stepped into that were reasonably good for transition are just no longer existing given the service sector growth.
If you look at black youth, black high school graduates, their unemployment rate was still quite high in 1989.
Representative Scheuer. Graduates you're speaking of.
Mr. Plewes. High school graduates during this time period in the survey, their unemployment rate was still quite high, it was about 22 percent, but 10 years earlier it had been much higher. So what one can draw from that picture is that a black youth with a high school education, though still much worse off than a white youth, had shown over that 10-year period some improvement.

So there is at least a bit of a silver lining to this report, but still the fact is that black young people had a rate still much higher than other young people even as high school graduates. The whites had shown no improvement, and we see that tied a bit to declines in manufacturing and in other sectors in which high school graduates traditionally find their first jobs.
Representative Scheuer. Well, I think that is a slight ray of hope if over that decade the employment record of black high school youth who received their high school diplomas was significantly better than those black high school youth who dropped out along the way. While the situation is far from perfect, and while our society has a long way to go to remedy the problem by reducing the discrepancy between black high school graduates and white high school graduates, at least as far as the individual black high school graduate is concerned, there is a lesson there that he is going to do a hell of a lot better than his friends who dropped out of school if he hangs in there and acquires skills that the job market will respect and will compensate him for.

Mr. Plewes. That's right.
Representative Scheuer. So while there is a hell of a long way to go in terms of eliminating this discrepancy between black and white high school graduates, still the black high school graduate has to see a far more promising picture if he finishes high school, and that is precisely the message that we're trying to send him.
The release reports that about 450,000 youths dropped out of high school last year and that we now have a total of about 4 million dropouts between the ages of 16 and 24; 4 million is a big figure. What kind of opportunities do these young people have in the labor market? Is there anything encouraging that you can say about the labor market situation for high school dropouts, or is the signal a pretty bleak one in which you would say if you do not graduate from high school and employers cannot be sure that you have reading, writing, accounting and processing information skills, your job prospects are really quite awful?

I mean it seems to me that is the signal that young black people, Hispanic people and white people for that matter ought to understand, that the promise of a decent, independent, satisfying, and rewarding life is vastly reduced if they don't get a high school education.
But I don't want to put words in your mouth. What can you tell us about the labor market situation for high school dropouts?
Mrs. Norwood. You're absolutely correct that the situation is extremely bleak for those people who do not have at least basic educational attainment and, in my view, it's going to get worse because at least our projections of the future and most of the others that I've seen, most of which, by the way, are based on our projections, suggest that demand for workers in the future is moving now and is going to move even more so in the future toward the occupations that require greater training.

Now some of that training is done in school and some of it is done in the business establishments, but the basic educational attainment of the workers is essential for that I think. Furthermore, there is going to be much greater competition for the jobs that do
not require special training and, therefore, it will be much, much harder for that group of dropouts to survive.

Representative Scheuer. Yes. Did you want to say something?
Mr. Plewes. I was just going to add some additional facts to this. Among dropouts the unemployment rate was double that of those who graduated. So we talked earlier about rates for those who graduated being quite high. Just double those, and that's the rate for dropouts.

## Representative Scheuer. That's a powerful statistic.

Mr. Plewes. At every level the jobless rate for blacks was twice that of whites. So if you take high school dropouts having twice the rate as high school graduates and blacks having twice the rate of whites you can begin to draw a picture of the difficulties especially that young black dropouts have in the labor market.

There is another point, too, that I guess should be made also. We have been talking about people who are in the work force, who have made themselves available for work, who are actually seeking work and not finding it. If you look at female dropouts, less than half of them are even in the work force looking for work and so forth. Many of them, of course, have family responsibilities and other kinds of situations which contribute to their dropping out, but they aren't even in the work force. They are just out of the picture entirely in many cases, and that's a special kind of group I think that needs some special attention.
Representative Scheuer. I think Marc Tucker and Ray Marshall and Bill Brock and some of their colleagues from the Fortune 500 testified several years ago that in New York three-fourths of the jobs that will be created during the decade of the 1990's, this decade, that three-fourths will require some postsecondary education, and that only 10 percent of the black and Hispanic youth in the job market are qualified for those jobs. So this is the classic job gap.

The corporations are chasing 10 percent of the minority young people for 75 percent of their job needs, whereas for the 25 percent of the corporate culture jobs that don't require literacy skills, processing information skills and in effect some of that postsecondary education, for the 25 percent of them that don't require that, 90 percent of the black youths are chasing 25 percent of the jobs, and the corporations are chasing 10 percent of the black young to fill the 75 percent of their jobs that do require those skills and do require some secondary education.

So you have a tremendous gap between the job requirements of the corporations and the skills that the young people have to offer. That's a very, very painful prospect.
Do you have any insight on what's happening to employment and unemployment in my State of New York?

Mrs. Norwood. We do. Over the past month in August, that is from July to August, there was really very little change in the employment situation. The unemployment rate has held fairly steady at 5 percent, and though employment is leveling off in many areas, there has not been the large increase in unemployment that we've seen in some other States. That doesn't mean, of course, that every area within the State is in the same situation, but the State itself has had a good bit of stability over the last year and last month.

Representative Scheuer. Well, actually New York State as a State has performed very, very, well and better than the national average.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes, it has.
Representative Scheuer. But it's a differentiated picture, as you very well know, and while the overall statistics might be good, the statistics for black males who are dropouts are really awful.

Mrs. Norwood. I would agree with that.
Representative Scheuer. Pardon.
Mrs. Norwood. I would agree with that.
Representative Scheuer. Our society just has to zero in and concentrate on that and learn whatever lessons we can from experience. We should learn the lessons that flow from the Carnegie Commission Report and try and pass some legislation and produce some programs specifically addressed to cutting off the growth of that subgroup in our society of young people who really face a lifetime of probably never having a real job and of floating from flipping hamburgers in one of the fast-food joints to washing dishes, car washing, and other low-skilled, low-paid jobs that do not provide the underpinnings for a very successful or rewarding life.
Do you have anything you would like to add, Commissioner?
Mrs. Norwood. No, sir.
Representative Scheuer. All right.
Anybody? [No response.]
All right. With your indulgence, I will talk to the chairman and try and set aside some time next month for us to talk about some of the lessons that we can learn from that Carnegie Commission Report and what light your office has to shed on some of their recommendations. OK?

Mrs. Norwood. Fine.
Representative Schever. We appreciate your coming very much, and the hearing is adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.
[Whereupon, at 10:28 a.m., the committee adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.]
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```
Honorable James H. Scheuer
House of Representatives
washington, D.C. 20515-3208
Dear Congresmman Scheuer:
I appreciated the opportunity to testify before you
during my monthly testimony on the employment situation
last Priday. The discussion of issues of school-to-work
transition and the labor force status of minority youth
addressed problems of considerable importance.
During the testimony, you expressed an interest in the
Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skillg,
Which Secretary Dole formed to improve the skills of young
percons entering the workforce. The commission is chaired
by Secretary Brock, and has membership from business, labor,
and the public sector. The enclosed flyer summarizes ita
mission and approach.
If the Bureau of Labor Statistics can be of further
assistance to you, please let me know.
Sincerely yours,
```

JANE' L. NORWOOD
Commissioner
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cc: Bill Buechner
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## Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills

"Throughout America's history, the key that has unlocked tomorrow's door of opportunity has been found in our school-houses. Today, however, many of our young people are discovering that the locks have been changed." These are the words that U.S. Secretary of Labor Elizabeth Dole used when she formed the Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills or SCANS.

SCANS was established on February 20, 1990 to help the nation fashion a new key. The search begins by defining the skills needed to succceed in the new economy.

The Commission will define skills that:
-0- Are needed to gain access to career ladders.
-o- Are generic and cut across job levels and classifications.
-o- Can be defined, taught and assessed.
SCANS will identify generic workplace abilities that all high schoul graduates need if they expect meaningful employment. SCANS' contribution to the ongoing national debate on reform in education will be confined to delineating those skills, recommending assessment tools, and proposing levels to describe attainment levels needed for good and productive employment.

The Honorable William E. Brock accepted Secretary Dole's invitation to chair the Commission which is composed of thirty distinguished leaders - thirteen from business, six from labor and eleven from state government and education.

The work of the Commission is supported by a small professional staff and two contractors - Research Evaluation Associates for logistics and Pelavin Associates, Inc. for technical support and research. Dr. Amold H. Packer serves as the executive director.

SCANS is divided into the five task forces, shown on the opposite page, that represent the various segments of our economic system. The task force chairs along with three members at large serve as a steering committee to plan the work of the Commission. In addition, ad hoc groups composed of Commissioners as well as others not on the commission have been formed to look at cross-cutting issues. The ad hoc groups are education, labor, technology, assessment and dissemination.

## THE CHARGE

The charge to the commission is four-fold:
Recommend the skills required by high school graduates to achieve work readiness, including such areas as critical thinking, reading, communicating, and listening skills anci adapting through math, science and other disciplines to today's new workforce complexities

Suggest the most effective ways to measure individuals' abilities, with special attention to the potential of computer technology and

Propose acceptable levels of proficiency
Propose options for dissemination of skills guidelines and the measurement techniques by business and trade groups, unions, schools and education associations, and federal and state governments.

## TASK FORCE ASSIGNMENTS

## William E. Brock, Chair

(1) Manufacturing, Construction, and Agri-business.

*James D. Burge (Business) Frank P. Doyle (Business)<br>William H. Gregory (Business) Charles E. Bradford (Labor)<br>* Joan Patterson (Labor) Thomas G. Sticht (Education)

(2) Health and Human Services (e.g. day care, education)

* Gabriel Cortina (Education) Edward Aguirre (Business)

Thomas W. Chapman (Business) Gary D. Watts (Labor) Gloria J. Conn (Education) Patricia L. Brockett (State)
(3) Office, Financlal, and Government Services

- Walton E. Burdick (Business)

Badi G. Foster (Business)
Lauren B. Resnick (Education)
${ }^{* * J . ~ V e r o n i c a ~ B i g g i n s ~(B u s i n e s s) ~}$
Gerald Whitbum (State)
(4) Accommodations (e.g. hotel, food), and Personal Services

| *Richard E. Rivera (Business) | Roger D. Semerad (Business |
| :--- | :--- |
| Steffen Palko (Education) | Yvette Herrera (Labor) |
| Dale Pamell (Education) | Maria Tukeva (Education) |

(5) Trade, Distribution, and Communication.

| * Bruce Carswell (Business) | Jay H. Foreman (Labor) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Madelyn P. Jennings (Business) | John Zimmerman (Business) |
| James P. Black (Education) | Sharyn Marr Wetien (Education) |
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## Points to ponder

In the next decade America will choose between:

- A high-skill, high-wage, high-productivity Workforce $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ OR a continued decline in average wages (Since 1969, real average weekly earnings fell by $12 \%$.)
-o- A restructured education system that is intemationally competitive OR one that comes in 14th in international comparisons.
-o- Meeting the education goals agreed to by the President and the Governors OR continuing with today's dropout rate ( $25 \%$ ) and functional illiteracy ( 25 million workers).
- A growing gap between the "Forgotten Haff" who do not go on to college OR reversing the fall in their average wages (male high school graduates wages fell by 28\% between 1973 and 1986).
-o- A competitive economy that serves all our citizens OR one that leaves over $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ of our youngsters in poverty with even higher rates among minority children.


## COMMISSION MEETINGS SCHEDULE *

May 18, 1990
September 21, 1990
November 29, 1990
January 18, 1991 (Chicago)
March 15, 1991

May 17, 1991
September 20,1991
December 6, 1991
February 21, 1992

* Meetings will be held in Washington, DC unless otherwise specified.


## SCANS Office:

Room C-2318, U. S. Department of Labor 200 Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20210

Phone: 202-523-4840
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